#### APPROVED MINUTES OF MEETING 1 CALIFORNIA LAW REVISION COMMISSION 2 March 25, 2021 3 A meeting of the California Law Revision Commission was held on March 25, 4 2021. Consistent with Executive Orders N-25-20 and N-29-20, the meeting was 5 held as an online video webinar. 6 Only those members of the public who expressly consented to being 7 identified in the Minutes are listed as attendees. 8 9 **Commission:** Present: 10 Crystal Miller-O'Brien, Chairperson Richard Simpson, Vice-Chairperson 11 David A. Carrillo 12 Ana Cubas 13 Jane McAllister 14 Richard Rubin 15 Absent: Assembly Member Ed Chau 16 Cara Jenkins, Legislative Counsel 17 Victor King 18 Senator Richard D. Roth 19 20 Staff: 21 Present: Brian Hebert, Executive Director Barbara Gaal, Chief Deputy Director 22 23 Steve Cohen, Staff Counsel Absent: Kristin Burford, Staff Counsel 24 **Other Persons:** 25 Wendy Bogdan, Department of Fish and Wildlife 26 Angela Donlan, Department of Fish and Wildlife 27 Marjorie Murray, Center for California Homeowner Associations Law 28

Thomas Suhr, Center for California Homeowner Associations Law

29

	CONTENTS
1	Approval of Actions Taken2
2 3	Minutes
3 4	Administrative Matters
5	Commissioner Suggestions
6	2021 Legislative Program
7 8	Study J-1407 — Statutes Made Obsolete by Trial Court Restructuring (Part 8)
9	Study R-100 — Fish and Game Law
10	APPROVAL OF ACTIONS TAKEN
11	Unless otherwise indicated, the Commission decisions noted in these Minutes
12	were approved by all members present at the meeting. If a member who was
13	present at the meeting voted against a particular decision, abstained from voting,
14	or was not present when the decision was made, that fact will be noted below.
15	MINUTES
16	The Commission considered Memorandum 2021-12, presenting draft Minutes
17	for the February 25, 2021, meeting.
18	The Commission approved the Minutes, without change.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS
20	Report of Executive Director
21	The Executive Director reported that staff attorney Steve Cohen has returned
22	from his cross-assignment as a contract tracer.
23	Commissioner Suggestions
24	The Commission decided to postpone consideration of Memorandum 2021-
25	13, discussing a suggestion that the Commission change its citation style for cases
26	in footnotes. That memorandum will be presented again at the April meeting.
27	2021 LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM
28	The Commission considered Memorandum 2021-14 and its First and Second
29	Supplements, discussing the Commission's 2021 Legislative Program. The
30	Commission made the decisions described below.

# Assembly Bill 414 (Maienschein) — Statutes Made Obsolete by Trial Court Restructuring (Studies J-1405.4 & J-1406.1)

- The Second Supplement to Memorandum 2021-14 relates to Assembly Bill
- 4 414 (Maienschein), which would implement the Commission's recommendations
- 5 on Trial Court Restructuring Clean-Up: Regional Justice Facilities Acts and Statutes
- 6 Made Obsolete by Trial Court Restructuring (Part 7): Completion of Studies Under
- 7 Government Code Section 70219.
- The Commission approved the conforming revisions shown in the exhibit
- 9 and inclusion of those conforming revisions in the bill and corresponding
- 10 recommendations.

1

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

2526

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

### 11 Assembly Bill 473 (Chau) & Assembly Bill 474 (Chau) — California Public 12 Records Act Clean-Up (Study G-400)

The First Supplement to Memorandum 2021-14 relates to the following bills:

- Assembly Bill 473 (Chau), which would implement the Commission's recommendation on *California Public Records Act Clean-Up*.
- Assembly Bill 474 (Chau), which would implement the Commission's recommendation on California Public Records Act Clean-Up: Conforming Revisions.
- The Commission approved the revisions of the proposed legislation and Comments that are described in the supplement.

### Senate Bill 315 (Roth) — Revocable Transfer on Death Deed Follow-Up (Study L-3032.1)

The Commission approved a Comment for Probate Code Section 5660, as shown on page 2 of Memorandum 2021-14.

The Commission decided to postpone consideration of an amendment to change the standard of care for a beneficiary's duty to give notice to heirs.

# Senate Bill 391 (Min) — Emergency-Related Reforms: Common Interest Development Meetings (Study X-100)

The Commission acknowledged and corrected a typographical error in Memorandum 2021-14. On page 3, the memorandum erroneously states (with emphasis added):

The opposition is based on four main points. All of those points, and possible responses to them, were discussed with the *Committee's* Chair before the hearing in the Senate Housing Committee on March 18, 2021.

1 The memorandum should have stated (with emphasis added): 2 The opposition is based on four main points. All of those points, and possible responses to them, were discussed with the 3 Commission's Chair before the hearing in the Senate Housing 4 Committee on March 18, 2021. 5 The Executive Director made clear that he had briefed the Chair of the Law 6 7 Revision Commission on the status of Senate Bill 391 (Min); he had not conferred 8 with any member of the Senate Housing Committee regarding that bill. 9 STUDY J-1407 — STATUTES MADE OBSOLETE BY TRIAL COURT RESTRUCTURING (PART 8) 10 The Commission considered Memorandum 2021-10, discussing whether to 11 12 revise the statutes governing judicial disqualification along the lines suggested in Housing Authority of Monterey County v. Jones, 130 Cal. App. 4th 1029, 30 Cal. 13 Rptr. 3d 679 (2005). The Commission decided not to pursue this matter. 14 STUDY L-3032.5 — STOCK COOPERATIVES AND THE 15 16 UNIFORM TOD SECURITY REGISTRATION ACT 17 The Commission considered Memorandum 2021-15, which presented a draft 18 of proposed legislation for use in a tentative recommendation. No decisions were required or made. 19 STUDY R-100 — FISH AND GAME LAW 20 The 21 Commission considered Memorandum 2021-16, presenting methodology for analyzing "Phase One" public comment on the Commission's 22 tentative recommendation on Fish and Wildlife Code (Dec. 2018). The 23 24 Memorandum also presented analysis of several Phase One comments. The Commission made the following decisions: 25 The proposed methodology for analyzing Phase One comments is 26 approved. 27 The consent recommendations on changes that should or should 28 not be included in a draft recommendation are approved. 29 The proposed change discussed under "Changes That Should 30 Presumptively Be Made" (on page 8 of Memorandum 2021-16) will 31 be presented in the next memorandum in this study as a consent 32 item. 33

• The staff will confer with the commenters regarding the two proposed changes discussed under "Further Input Required" (on pages 8-9 of Memorandum 2021-16), before presenting those matters for Commission decision.