
1 **APPROVED** MINUTES OF MEETING
2 CALIFORNIA LAW REVISION COMMISSION
3 September 10, 2020

4 A meeting of the California Law Revision Commission was held on
5 September 10, 2020. Consistent with Executive Orders N-25-20 and N-29-20, the
6 meeting was held as an online video webinar.

7 Only those members of the public who expressly consented to inclusion in
8 the Minutes are listed as attendees.

9 **Commission:**

10 *Present:* Crystal Miller-O'Brien, Chairperson
11 Richard Simpson, Vice-Chairperson
12 Diane Boyer-Vine, Legislative Counsel
13 David A. Carrillo
14 Ana Cubas
15 Victor King
16 Jane McAllister
17 Richard Rubin

18 *Absent:* Assembly Member Ed Chau
19 Senator Richard D. Roth

20 **Staff:** Brian Hebert, Executive Director
21 Barbara Gaal, Chief Deputy Director
22 Kristin Burford, Staff Counsel

23 **Other Persons:**

24 Michael Amster
25 Tom Freeley, California Association of Community Managers
26 Marjorie Murray, Center for California Homeowner Association Law
27 Elaine Roberts Musser
28 Steve Linke
29 Aniko Sherry
30 Ann Stanaway
31 Tom Surh, Center for California Homeowner Association Law

CONTENTS

Approval of Actions Taken.....	2
Minutes	2
Administrative Matters	2
Report of Executive Director	2
Commissioner Suggestions	2
2020 Legislative Program.....	3
Study E-200 — Recodification of Toxic Substance Statutes	3
Study Em-560 — Eminent Domain: Pre-Condemnation Activities.....	3
Study G-300 — State and Local Agency Access to Customer Information Service Providers	3
Study J-1406 — Statutes Made Obsolete by Trial Court Restructuring (Part 6): Regional Justice Facilities Acts.....	4
Study X-100 — Emergency-Related Reforms.....	4

1

APPROVAL OF ACTIONS TAKEN

2 Unless otherwise indicated, the Commission decisions noted in these Minutes
3 were approved by all members present at the meeting. If a member who was
4 present at the meeting voted against a particular decision, abstained from voting,
5 or was not present when the decision was made, that fact will be noted below.

6

MINUTES

7 The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-42 and its First Supplement,
8 presenting draft Minutes for the August 13, 2020, meeting.

9 The Commission approved the Minutes, with the revision set out in the First
10 Supplement.

11 *(Commissioner Miller-O'Brien was not present when this decision was made.)*

12

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

13 **Report of Executive Director**

14 On the occasion of her pending retirement as California’s Legislative Counsel,
15 the Executive Director recognized Diane Boyer Vine for over 18 years of service
16 as a member of the Law Revision Commission. He conveyed the staff’s best
17 wishes, admiration, and gratitude. The Commission shared the same sentiments.

18 **Commissioner Suggestions**

19 No suggestions were made.

1 **Election of Officers**

2 The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-41, discussing the election of
3 officers for 2020-21. The Commission elected Crystal Miller-O'Brien to serve as
4 Chair and Richard Simpson to serve as Vice-Chair.

5 (*Commissioner Miller-O'Brien abstained.*)

6 2020 LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

7 The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-43, which discusses the
8 Commission's 2020 Legislative Program.

9 No Commission decisions were required or made.

10 STUDY E-200 — RECODIFICATION OF TOXIC SUBSTANCE STATUTES

11 The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-47, discussing public
12 comment on a tentative recommendation on the *Hazardous Substance Account*
13 *Recodification Act*.

14 No Commission decisions were required or made.

15 STUDY EM-560 — EMINENT DOMAIN: PRE-CONDEMNATION ACTIVITIES

16 The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-44, presenting a draft of a
17 final recommendation relating to the statutory procedure for compensating a
18 property owner for pre-condemnation harm pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure
19 Section 1245.060.

20 The Commission approved the draft as a final recommendation, for
21 publication and distribution to the Legislature and Governor.

22 (*Commissioner Miller-O'Brien abstained.*)

23 STUDY G-300 — STATE AND LOCAL AGENCY ACCESS TO CUSTOMER INFORMATION
24 FROM COMMUNICATION SERVICE PROVIDERS

25 The Commission considered Memorandum 2020-36, which discusses the
26 meaning of "interception," as that term is used in the federal and state wiretap
27 statutes. The Commission decided against conducting a study of the topic.

