
MINUTES OF MEETING
CALIFORNIA LAW REVISION COMMISSION
JUNE 14, 2012
SACRAMENTO

A meeting of the California Law Revision Commission was held in Sacramento on June 14, 2012.

Commission:

Present: Crystal Miller-O'Brien, Chairperson
Xochitl Carrion, Vice Chairperson
Diane Boyer-Vine, Legislative Counsel
Judge Patricia Cowett (Ret.)
Victor King

Absent: Roger Dickinson, Assembly Member
Tom Harman, Senate Member

Staff: Brian Hebert, Executive Director
Barbara Gaal, Chief Deputy Counsel
Steve Cohen, Staff Counsel
Liana Mayilyan, Legal Fellow
Robert Semones, Legal Fellow

Consultants: None

Other Persons:

Myriam Bouaziz, California State Assembly

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

1 The Commission considered Memorandum 2012-19 and its First Supplement,
2 reporting on the Commission’s 2012 legislative program. The Commission made
3 the following decisions:

- 4 • The Commission approved the staff’s recommended course of
5 action relating to further clean-up of the Nonsubstantive
6 Reorganization of Deadly Weapon Statutes.
- 7 • The Commission approved the amendments to AB 805 (Torres) set
8 out on pages 2-7 of the First Supplement. It also approved the
9 proposed Comment revision for proposed Civil Code Section 4205,
10 set out on page 9 of the First Supplement. Commissioner Cowett
11 recused herself from consideration of this matter.
- 12 • In order to ensure compliance with the deadline proposed in SB
13 1213 (Walters), the Commission decided to resume its study of
14 Charter Schools and the Government Claims Act, beginning at its
15 next meeting. The staff will prepare a draft final report on that
16 topic for consideration at that meeting.

17 STUDY H-750 — COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT LAW CLEAN-UP

18 The Commission considered the following memoranda, discussing the clean-
19 up of community redevelopment law: 2012-20, 2012-21, 2012-22, 2012-23, 2012-24
20 and its First Supplement, 2012-25, and 2012-26. All statutory references in this
21 portion of the Minutes are to provisions of Division 24 of the Health and Safety
22 Code.

23 **General Matters**

24 Commissioner Boyer-Vine announced that she would not participate in the
25 discussion of redevelopment law.

26 Any future memorandum that recommends a revision to the proposed
27 “savings provision” (proposed Section 33090), will conclude with a “redline”
28 version of the savings provision, showing in strikeout and underscore, all
29 changes proposed in the memorandum.

30 In drafting amendments, the staff will use the phrase “including, but not
31 limited to” rather than “including, without limitation.”

32 The clean-up legislation recommended by the Commission should include a
33 provision along the following lines:

- 34 (a) The Legislative Counsel shall prepare and publish an
35 electronic report comprised of the code provisions repealed by the

1 act that added this section. This report shall be made available on
2 the Internet until January 1, 2028.

3 (b) Near the end of the publication period specified in
4 subdivision (a), the California Law Revision Commission shall
5 study and report on whether the electronic report described in
6 subdivision (a) remains necessary, and whether that report is the
7 most effective way to provide whatever information is needed. The
8 Commission's report on this matter shall be due on or before
9 January 1, 2027.

10 Savings Provision

11 The Commission revised proposed Health and Safety Code Section 33090,
12 along the following lines:

13 Health & Safety Code § 33090 (added). Savings provision

14 33090. (a) For the purposes of this section, the following terms
15 have the following meanings:

16 (1) "Former law" means the law in effect before the operative
17 date of the Redevelopment Clean-Up Act.

18 (2) "Redevelopment Clean-Up Act" means the act that added
19 this section.

20 (3) "Proceeding" means any adjudicative, investigative, or
21 dispute resolution proceeding, including, but not limited to, a civil,
22 criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, mediation, and
23 arbitration.

24 (4) "Transitional period" means the period during which ~~either~~
25 ~~or both~~ any or all of the following are true:

26 (A) A successor agency, housing successor, or other authorized
27 person or entity is winding down the affairs of a former
28 redevelopment agency.

29 (B) ~~An arbitration, administrative adjudication or other~~
30 ~~administrative proceeding, civil action or proceeding, criminal~~
31 ~~action or proceeding, or any other kind of legally binding~~
32 ~~proceeding relating to redevelopment is pending or may be legally~~
33 ~~brought. A redevelopment-related proceeding is pending or may~~
34 ~~be legally commenced.~~

35 (C) A former employee, officer, agent, or counsel of a
36 redevelopment agency may legally enforce an obligation based on
37 services rendered to a redevelopment agency, or to a successor
38 agency winding down the affairs of a redevelopment agency,
39 including but not limited to a legally enforceable right to pension
40 payments, post-retirement health insurance, or any other
41 employment-related rights.

42 (b) The repeal or amendment of a provision of former law by
43 the Redevelopment Clean-Up Act shall have no effect, during the
44 transitional period, on the policy, substance, construction, or
45 application of former law with regard to any redevelopment-

1 related matter, including, but not limited to, any of the following
2 redevelopment-related matters:

3 (1) The authority, rights, powers, duties, and obligations of a
4 successor agency or any other person or entity who is granted or
5 charged with authority, rights, powers, duties, and obligations
6 relating to redevelopment.

7 (2) The allocation of assets or revenue pursuant to Part 1.85
8 (commencing with Section 34170).

9 (3) The rights or obligations of an employee, officer, agent, or
10 counsel of a former redevelopment agency, regardless of whether
11 that person who became an employee, officer, agent, or counsel of a
12 successor agency pursuant to Section 34190.

13 (4) Any provision of former law authorizing legal action a
14 redevelopment-related proceeding or specifying rules of evidence
15 or procedure governing a legal action redevelopment-related
16 proceeding.

17 (5) The validity of any bond issued by a redevelopment agency.

18 (6) The validity of any redevelopment-related ordinance,
19 resolution, referendum, regulation, property use restriction, plan,
20 report, map, boundary description, agreement, contract, or other
21 legally operative document promulgated by a former
22 redevelopment agency, a successor agency, or any other person or
23 entity.

24 (7) Any rule or procedure relating to any aspect of
25 redevelopment, including, but not limited to, any rule relating to an
26 ordinance, resolution, referendum, regulation, bylaw, or other
27 legislative act in connection with redevelopment.

28 (8) Any determination of a base year assessment roll.

29 (9) Any legislative declaration of public policy relating to
30 redevelopment.

31 (10) Any rule relating to the use of eminent domain.

32 (11) Any rule relating to compliance with the California
33 Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section
34 21000 of the Public Resources Code)).

35 (c) Nothing in the Redevelopment Law Clean-Up Act endorses,
36 abrogates, or otherwise affects any judicial decision interpreting a
37 provision of former law or determining its constitutionality.

38 (d) Nothing in the Redevelopment Law Clean-Up Act precludes
39 any change in the law governing redevelopment during the
40 transitional period.

41 (e) This section shall be liberally construed to achieve its
42 purpose.

43 **Comment.** Section 33090 is intended to make clear that the
44 Redevelopment Law Clean-Up Act has no effect on the validity,
45 meaning, or application of a provision of former law during the
46 transitional period. To the extent that a provision of former law
47 applied to redevelopment matters before the operation of this
48 section, it will continue to apply with the same meaning and effect

1 during the transitional period, notwithstanding the repeal or
2 amendment of the provision by the Redevelopment Law Clean-Up
3 Act.

4 However, nothing in this section precludes future changes to
5 redevelopment law. The meaning, effect, or application of a
6 provision of former law could be changed or reinterpreted by a
7 future statutory enactment or judicial interpretation.

8 The sole purpose of this section is to provide that the
9 Redevelopment Law Clean-Up Act has no effect on the former law
10 during the transitional period. Nothing in this section is intended
11 to endorse, abrogate, or otherwise affect the validity, meaning,
12 application, or judicial construction of a provision of former law.

13 Subparagraph (a)(4)(B) and paragraph (b)(4) both refer to
14 “redevelopment-related” proceedings. The term “redevelopment-
15 related” should be construed broadly, to include any proceeding
16 that involves redevelopment law, as well as any proceeding that
17 involves a redevelopment agency or successor agency as a party,
18 regardless of whether that proceeding is grounded in
19 redevelopment law. For example, an employment discrimination
20 action brought against a redevelopment agency should be
21 considered “redevelopment-related.” See subdivision (e) (“This
22 section shall be liberally construed to achieve its purpose.”). See
23 also paragraph (a)(3) (“proceeding” defined).

24 The last clause of proposed paragraph (a)(4)(C) is placeholder language that
25 is based on general guidance provided by the Commission. In the next
26 memorandum on the savings provision, the staff will explore the best way to
27 integrate language along those lines into the paragraph.

28 **Redevelopment Agency Governance**

29 The Commission considered Memorandum 2012-21, which discusses the
30 redevelopment agency governance provisions located in Chapter 2 of Part 1
31 (Sections 33114, 33114.5, 33126, 33127, 33129, and 33140-33142), Chapter 3 of Part
32 1 (Sections 33200-33221), and Part 1.7 (Sections 34100-34160). For purposes of a
33 tentative recommendation, the Commission made the following decisions:

34 *Employee Compensation*

35 The provisions relating to former RDA employee compensation (Sections
36 33114, 33114.5, 33126, 33127, 33129) should be repealed, because they will be
37 obsolete once the transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed,
38 the savings provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from
39 having any substantive effect during the transitional period. To reinforce that
40 point, the Commission made two revisions to the savings provision. It added

1 paragraph (a)(4)(C) (subject to possible further refinement of the language) and
2 revised paragraph (b)(3). See “Savings Provision” above.

3 *Deactivation of Independently Governed Agency*

4 The provisions relating to the deactivation of an independently governed
5 RDA (Sections 33140-33142) should be repealed, because they will be obsolete
6 once the transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed, the
7 savings provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from
8 having any substantive effect during the transitional period.

9 *Legislative Body as Agency*

10 The provisions relating to a legislative body acting as a community’s RDA
11 (Sections 33200-33206) should be repealed, because they will be obsolete once the
12 transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed, the savings
13 provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from having any
14 substantive effect during the transitional period. To reinforce that point, the
15 Commission made one minor revision to the savings provision: paragraph (b)(6)
16 was revised to add the words “agreement” and “contract.” See “Savings
17 Provision” above.

18 *Cooperative Agreements*

19 The provisions relating to cooperative governance arrangements with other
20 entities (Sections 33210-33221) should be repealed, because they will be obsolete
21 once the transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed, the
22 savings provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from
23 having any substantive effect during the transitional period.

24 *Community Development Commission*

25 The provisions relating to community development commissions (Sections
26 34100-34160) should not all be repealed, as most will continue to have relevance
27 after the end of the transitional period, with respect to the non-redevelopment
28 functions of a community development commission. Instead, those provisions
29 should be revised to remove any obsolete redevelopment-related material (with
30 any necessary conforming changes), as indicated in Memorandum 2012-21, pp.
31 23-36. With respect to the deleted material, the savings provision (proposed
32 Section 33090) should prevent the deletion from having any substantive effect
33 during the transitional period.

1 **Preparation and Adoption of a Redevelopment Plan**

2 The Commission considered Memorandum 2012-22, which discusses
3 provisions relating to preparation and adoption of a redevelopment plan, in
4 Articles 4, 4.5, 5, and 5.5 of Chapter 4 of Part 1 (Sections 33330-33354.6 and 33355-
5 33378.5). For purposes of a tentative recommendation, the Commission made the
6 following decisions:

7 *General Rules for Preparation of a Redevelopment Plan*

8 The general rules in Article 4 governing preparation of a redevelopment plan
9 (Sections 33330-33331, 33332-33333, 33333.3, 33334, 33335-33338, 33339.5, 33341-
10 33344.6, 33346-33347, 33348-33349, and 33350-33352) should be repealed because
11 they will be obsolete once the transitional period has ended. If those provisions
12 are repealed, the savings provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that
13 repeal from having any substantive effect during the transitional period. To
14 reinforce that point, the Commission made three minor revisions to the savings
15 provision:

- 16 • The phrase “property use restriction” was added to paragraph
17 (b)(6).
- 18 • Paragraph (b)(10) was added, referring to “[a]ny rule relating to
19 the use of eminent domain.”
- 20 • Paragraph (b)(11) was added. As proposed at page 11 of
21 Memorandum 2012-22, paragraph (b)(11) would refer to “[a]ny
22 rule relating to compliance with the California Environmental
23 Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000 of the
24 Public Resources Code)).” The Commission directed the staff to
25 examine the possibility of also referring to the Polanco
26 Redevelopment Act (Section 33459 *et seq.*). The staff will address
27 this in a future memorandum.

28 See “Savings Provision” above.

29 *Geographically Specific Rules for Preparation of a Redevelopment Plan*

30 The geographically specific rules in Article 4 governing preparation of a
31 redevelopment plan (Sections 33333.5 and 33349.5) should be repealed because
32 they will be obsolete once the transitional period has ended. If those provisions
33 are repealed, the savings provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that
34 repeal from having any substantive effect during the transitional period.

1 *Time Limits and Fiscal Limits Relating to a Redevelopment Plan*

2 The provisions governing time limits and fiscal limits for a redevelopment
3 plan (Sections 33331.5, 33333.2, 33333.4, 33333.6, and 33334.1) should be repealed
4 because they will be obsolete once the transitional period has ended. If those
5 provisions are repealed, the savings provision (proposed Section 33090) should
6 prevent that repeal from having any substantive effect during the transitional
7 period.

8 *Conditions for, and Consequences of, Extending Time Limits on a Redevelopment*
9 *Plan Adopted On or Before December 31, 1993*

10 The provisions governing extension of the time limit on the effectiveness of a
11 redevelopment plan adopted on or before December 31, 1993, or the time limit on
12 paying indebtedness and receiving tax increment pursuant to such a plan
13 (Sections 33333.10 and 33333.11) should be repealed because they will be obsolete
14 once the transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed, the
15 savings provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from
16 having any substantive effect during the transitional period.

17 *Special Time Limit or Fiscal Limit For a Specific Geographic Area*

18 The geographically specific provisions on time limits or fiscal limits for a
19 redevelopment plan (Sections 33333.10(h)(1) & (k), 33333.13, and 33333.14)
20 should be repealed because they will be obsolete once the transitional period has
21 ended. If those provisions are repealed, the savings provision (proposed Section
22 33090) should prevent that repeal from having any substantive effect during the
23 transitional period.

24 *Affordable Housing Provisions in Article 4*

25 The following affordable housing provisions discussed at pages 24-36 of
26 Memorandum 2012-22 should be repealed because they will be obsolete once the
27 transitional period has ended: Sections 33331.4, 33334.2(d)-(g)(1), (i), 33334.3(c)-
28 (h), 33334.4, 33334.7, 33334.8, 33334.13, 33334.14, 33334.16, 33334.19, and 33334.25.
29 The other two code sections mentioned in that discussion (Sections 33333.8 and
30 33334.5) cross-refer to the replacement housing provisions in Article 9 of Chapter
31 4 of Part 1. The proper disposition of those sections cannot be determined until
32 after the Commission has completed its review of Article 9. If those two sections
33 and the other affordable housing provisions listed above are repealed, the

1 savings provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from
2 having any substantive effect during the transitional period.

3 *Geographically Specific Rules Relating to Affordable Housing*

4 Because Section 33334.22 is scheduled to sunset pursuant to its own terms on
5 January 1, 2013, the Commission did not analyze it. The other geographically
6 specific rules in Article 4 relating to affordable housing (Sections 33333.7,
7 33334.2(g)(2), and 33334.2a) should be repealed because they will be obsolete
8 once the transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed, the
9 savings provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from
10 having any substantive effect during the transitional period.

11 *Definitions*

12 The following definitions should be repealed because they will be obsolete
13 once the transitional period has ended:

- 14 • “Existing obligations” (Section 33334.6).
- 15 • “Housing” (Section 33334.3(g)).
- 16 • “Housing funds” (Section 33334.25).
- 17 • “Increasing, improving, and preserving the community’s supply of
18 low- and moderate-income housing” (Section 33334.3(h)).
- 19 • “Joint powers authority” (Section 33334.25).
- 20 • “Locally controlled” (Section 33334.4(d)).
- 21 • “Longest feasible time” (Section 33334.3(g)).
- 22 • “Mitigation measures” (Section 33352(n)).
- 23 • “Receiving entity” (Section 33334.25).
- 24 • “Significant” (Section 33333.10(c)(2)).

25 The proper disposition of the following definitions cannot be determined at
26 this time:

- 27 • “Affected taxing entity” (Section 33353.2).
- 28 • “Area median income” (Section 33334.13(a)).
- 29 • “Mutual self-help housing unit” (Section 33334.3(f)(1)(C)).
- 30 • “Necessary and essential parcels” (Section 33333.10(c)(3)).

31 The staff will bring this matter back for the Commission to revisit later in this
32 study.

1 If the 14 definitions listed above are repealed, the savings provision
2 (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from having any substantive
3 effect during the transitional period.

4 *Procedure for Adoption of Redevelopment Plan by the Legislative Body*

5 The provisions governing adoption of a redevelopment plan by the local
6 legislative body (Sections 33360-33376) should be repealed because they will be
7 obsolete once the transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed,
8 the savings provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from
9 having any substantive effect during the transitional period.

10 *Alternative Procedures for a Joint Public Hearing by the RDA and the Legislative*
11 *Body*

12 The provisions specifying alternative procedures for a joint public hearing by
13 the RDA and the local legislative body (Sections 33355-33359) should be repealed
14 because they will be obsolete once the transitional period has ended. If those
15 provisions are repealed, the savings provision (proposed Section 33090) should
16 prevent that repeal from having any substantive effect during the transitional
17 period.

18 *Referendum*

19 The provisions on conducting a referendum on a redevelopment plan
20 (Sections 33378-33378.5) should be repealed because they will be obsolete once
21 the transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed, the savings
22 provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from having any
23 substantive effect during the transitional period.

24 **Revenue**

25 The Commission considered Memorandum 2012-23, which discusses
26 provisions relating to redevelopment agency revenue, in Articles 1 through 6,
27 inclusive, of Chapter 6 of Part 1 (Sections 33600-33607, 33610-33670.8, and 33671-
28 33679) and Chapters 7.5 through 9 of Part 1 (Sections 33740-33855). In addition,
29 the Commission considered Revenue and Taxation Code Section 7280.5. For
30 purposes of a tentative recommendation, the Commission made the following
31 decisions:

1 *Miscellaneous Sources of Revenue*

2 The provisions governing miscellaneous revenue sources (Sections 33600-
3 33607 and 33610-33633) should be repealed because they will be obsolete once
4 the transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed, the savings
5 provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from having any
6 substantive effect during the transitional period.

7 *Agency Bonds*

8 The provisions governing agency bonds (Sections 33640-33665) should be
9 repealed because they will be obsolete once the transitional period has ended. If
10 those provisions are repealed, the savings provision (proposed Section 33090)
11 should prevent that repeal from having any substantive effect during the
12 transitional period.

13 The Commission did not decide the final disposition of the definition of
14 “bond” in Section 33602. That issue will be revisited in a future memorandum.

15 *Tax Increment*

16 The provisions governing tax increment revenue (Sections 33670-33670.8 and
17 33671-33679) should be repealed because they will be obsolete once the
18 transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed, the savings
19 provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from having any
20 substantive effect during the transitional period.

21 *Revenue Bonds Issued to Finance Housing Construction Loans*

22 The provisions governing revenue bonds to finance housing construction
23 (Sections 33740-33799) should be repealed because they will be obsolete once the
24 transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed, the savings
25 provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from having any
26 substantive effect during the transitional period. To reinforce that point, the
27 Commission added the terms “contract” and “property use restriction” to
28 paragraph (b)(6) of the savings provision. See “Savings Provision” above.

29 *Special Assessments*

30 The provisions governing special assessments (Sections 33800-33855) should
31 be repealed because they will be obsolete once the transitional period has ended.
32 If those provisions are repealed, the savings provision (proposed Section 33090)

1 should prevent that repeal from having any substantive effect during the
2 transitional period.

3 *Transient Occupancy Tax*

4 The provision governing transient occupancy tax (Revenue and Taxation
5 Code Section 7280.5) should be repealed because it will be obsolete once the
6 transitional period has ended. If that provision is repealed, the savings provision
7 (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from having any substantive
8 effect during the transitional period.

9 **Payment Obligations**

10 The Commission considered Memorandum 2012-24 and its First Supplement,
11 which discuss the statutory payment obligations of redevelopment agencies, in
12 Sections 33333.10(g)(2)-(5), 33334.2(a)-(c), (h), (j)-(k), 33334.3(a)-(b), (i), 33334.6,
13 33334.9-33334.12, 33607.5-33607.7, 33607.8-33608, 33670.9-33670.95, and 33680-
14 33692. For purposes of a tentative recommendation, the Commission made the
15 following decisions:

16 *Housing Fund Contributions*

17 The provisions governing housing fund contributions (Sections
18 33333.10(g)(2)-(5), 33334.2(a)-(c), (h), (j)-(k), 33334.3(a)-(b), (i), 33334.6, and
19 33334.9-33334.12) should be repealed because they will be obsolete once the
20 transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed, the savings
21 provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from having any
22 substantive effect during the transitional period.

23 *Passthrough Payments*

24 The provisions governing passthrough payments (33607.5-33607.7) should be
25 repealed because they will be obsolete once the transitional period has ended. If
26 those provisions are repealed, the savings provision (proposed Section 33090)
27 should prevent that repeal from having any substantive effect during the
28 transitional period.

29 *Special Payment Obligations*

30 The provisions governing special payment obligations (Sections 33607.8-
31 33608 and 33670.9-33670.95) should be repealed because they will be obsolete
32 once the transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed, the

1 savings provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from
2 having any substantive effect during the transitional period.

3 *School Financing*

4 The provisions governing school financing obligations (Sections 33680-33692)
5 should be repealed because they will be obsolete once the transitional period has
6 ended. If those provisions are repealed, the savings provision (proposed Section
7 33090) should prevent that repeal from having any substantive effect during the
8 transitional period.

9 **Owner Participation and Project Area Committees**

10 The Commission considered Memorandum 2012-25, which discusses
11 provisions relating to owner participation and project area committees, in
12 Articles 6 and 6.5 of Part 1 (Sections 33330-33354.6 and 33355-33378.5), as well as
13 a few other provisions on the same subjects. For purposes of a tentative
14 recommendation, the Commission made the following decisions:

15 *Owner Participation*

16 The provisions governing owner participation in a redevelopment project
17 (Sections 33339, 33340, 33345, 33380, and 33381) should be repealed because they
18 will be obsolete once the transitional period has ended. If those provisions are
19 repealed, the savings provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that
20 repeal from having any substantive effect during the transitional period.

21 *Project Area Committees*

22 The provisions governing project area committees (Sections 33347.5 and
23 33385-33388) should be repealed because they will be obsolete once the
24 transitional period has ended. If those provisions are repealed, the savings
25 provision (proposed Section 33090) should prevent that repeal from having any
26 substantive effect during the transitional period.

27 **List of Issues for Possible Future Legislative Attention**

28 The Commission considered Memorandum 2012-26, which presented a draft
29 list of issues for possible future legislative attention. For purposes of a tentative
30 recommendation, the Commission made the following decisions:

- 31 • The Commission approved the attached draft list for inclusion in a
32 tentative recommendation.

- 1 • In future materials, the Commission will not use the word “minor”
2 in describing the list.

APPROVED AS SUBMITTED

_____ Date

APPROVED AS CORRECTED
(for corrections, see Minutes of next meeting)

_____ Chairperson

_____ Executive Director