

Second Supplement to Memorandum 2008-11

**2008 Legislative Program (Material Received at Meeting)**

---

The following material was received by the Commission at the meeting on April 10, 2008, in connection with Study H-855 on Statutory Clarification and Simplification of CID Law, and is attached as an Exhibit:

- Exhibit p.*
- John Raniseski, Sun City Roseville (4/9/08) .....1

Respectfully submitted,

Brian Hebert  
Executive Secretary

**EMAIL FROM JOHN RANISESKI, SUN CITY ROSEVILLE  
(APRIL 9, 2008)**

SUN CITY ROSEVILLE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION  
7050 Del Webb Blvd – Roseville CA 95747

VIA EMAIL TO : bhebert@clrc.ca.gov

April 9, 2008

Mr. Brian Hebert, Executive Secretary CLRC  
California Law Revision Commission  
400 Middlefield Road, Room D-1  
Palo Alto, CA 94303-4739

Re: Memorandum 2008-11: Proposed Amendment to AB 1921 re Civil Code Section 4720(b)

We wish to object to the proposed amendment to Section 4720(b), Fee for Retrieval of Records, that would restore the existing law.

As we stated in our September 2007 Comments (EX158):

“4720 Fees

Paragraph (b) is a welcome improvement that clarifies the right of the association to charge a fee for retrieving records. However, the dollar limitations are unrealistic in most cases. Not only are there cases where over 20 hours are expended, but minimum wage employees are not capable of retrieving or redacting the requested records.”

While we understand your reluctance to increase the dollar limitation, we think that allowing a fee for retrieval is necessary and reasonable. Originally this change was also supported by CAI and you did not indicate that they offered any objection to 4720(b). Since the amount for retrieving and redacting is capped at \$200, we do not understand CARA’s claim that it would increase costs to homeowners. Therefore, we urge you to reconsider your recommendation to the Commission.

Thank you,

John Raniseski  
President, Board of Directors