Studies N-200 & E-100 April 22, 1998

First Supplement to Memorandum 98-29

Judicial Review of Agency Action: SB 209 Followup

Attached is a letter from Robert Ryan, Sacramento County Counsel, opposing further Commission work on judicial review of administrative adjudication. He fears it might cause undesirable substantive changes to judicial review of cases arising under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and other parts of the proposed Environment Code.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert J. Murphy Staff Counsel



County of Sacramento Office of the County Counsel

700 H Street, Suite 2650 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone (916) 874-5544 Facsimile (916) 874-8207

EXHIBIT

COUNTY COUNSEL Robert A. Ryan, Jr.

ASSISTANT COUNTY COUNSEL

Melvyn W. Price

John Whisenhunt

SUPERVISING DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL Kathleen A. O'Connor Michele Bach Richard G. Lista

Remone A. Armisteed John H. Dodds

DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

J. Steven Barrie Elaine P. DiPietro Lilly C. Frewley Kathryn A. Shurtleff Anthony L. Wright Denis J. Zilaff Renaldo Carboni James G. Wright Martha E. Potiriades Laloni A. Montgomery John T. Seyman Patrick I. McGreel Ray C. Thompson Timothy D. Weinland Claire van Dam Lawrence J. Duran Krists C. Breuer Joanne C. Esst Henry D. Nanjo Allison Brumbach Diane E. McElhern M. Hally Gilchrist Liss A. Travis Keith W. Floyd

April 21, 1998

California Law Revision Commission 4000 Middlefield Road, Room D-1 Palo Alto, CA 94303-4739

Environment Code

Dear Commissioners:

Law Revision Commission RECEIVED

APR 2 2 1998

File:

The California County Counsels' Association (CCA) has reviewed that proposed division of the Environment Code incorporating the California Environmental Quality Act. appreciate the attempt to retain the existing structure of CEQA as well as the attempt to order the exemption The draft, standing alone, does not appear to provisions. include substantive changes to the law.

However, also on your agenda for April 23, 1998, is a discussion of revising existing law concerning administrative mandamus. If pursued, modifications to Code of Civil Procedure sections 1094.5 and 1094.6 could substantively alter practice under CEQA as well as most of Because the CCA is opposed the proposed Environment Code. to substantive alterations to environmental laws as part of the consolidation effort undertaken by the Commission, we urge you not to continue to pursue changes to existing mandamus statutes. Such changes would accomplish with one hand what you have committed not to accomplish with the other.

Sincerely,

ROBERT A. RYAN, JR.

County Counsel

Ms. Ruth Sorensen