June 11. 1997

Study B-800

First Supplement to Memorandum 97-36

Public Utility Deregulation: Issues Affecting CLRC Report

Attached to this supplemental memorandum are the following items:

	Exhibit	p.
1.	Pacific Bell Letter	1
2.	Southern California Edison Letter	3
3.	PUC Staff Draft Report on Code Revisions	5
3.	PUC Start Draft Report on Code Revisions	•

These materials raise the issues discussed below.

Role of CLRC

Pacific Bell and Southern California Edison urge the Law Revision Commission to take an active role in drafting specific legislation for Code reform.

The Law Revision Commission has a narrowly limited mandate in this area. SB 960 provides that the PUC is to report on Code revision by June 30 "in consultation with the Law Revision Commission". In order for the Law Revision Commission to recommend specific legislation for enactment, further authorization by the Legislature would be necessary.

Deregulation v. Competition

Pacific Bell's basic position is that, since the market for local telephone service is open to competition now, deregulation is appropriate now. Thus Pacific Bell disagrees with the Law Revision Commission's tentative conclusion that criteria and standards should be established for deregulation.

The staff does not believe the Law Revision Commission intends to foreclose the possibility that the criteria and standards could be as simple as: When markets are open to competition, there shall be no further regulation. Our only thought is that the criteria and standards should be clearly stated in advance and not be left to ad hoc decisionmaking. **This could be made more clear in our report**.

The more significant issue, we think, is the one raised by Pacific Bell in the main memorandum — who is to make the decision as to criteria and standards, the Public Utilities Commission or the Legislature?

Publication of Charts

The charts included in the Public Utilities Commission's staff draft on Code revision will be limited to those sections that the PUC believes should be revised. It will not include matters that have been raised by stakeholders but rejected by the PUC.

This accentuates the question whether the Law Revision Commission should publish more complete charts as part of its consultation. The Law Revision Commission's draft report identifies areas of disagreement generally, without reference to specific Code sections.

The main problems with publication of charts are (1) the positions of the parties shift as we go through this process (e.g., PUC now agrees with some suggestions it initially disagreed with), and (2) time and resource limitations make it difficult for the Law Revision Commission staff to update the charts satisfactorily. We must also ask whether, realistically, the charts will be of significant benefit to the Legislature at this stage in the process.

Respectfully submitted,

Nathaniel Sterling Executive Secretary June 6, 1997

EXHIBIT

Study B-800

J. A. Gueldner Vice President Regulatory 140 New Montgomery Street, 9com 1814 San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 542-4916

PACIFIC BELL A Pacific Telesis Company

LW Revision Commissio RECEVED

JUN 091997

File;

Nathaniel Sterling, Executive Secretary California Law Revision Commission 4000 Middlefield Road, Suite D-2 Palo Alto, CA 94303

Dear Mr. Sterling:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the California Law Revision Commission (CLRC) draft report on Public Utility Deregulation. In general, we found the report to be balanced and fair in representing the different perspectives on code revision expressed by Pacific Bell and other parties. We strongly urge the CLRC to assume a leadership role in revising an outdated and antiquated Public Utilities Code. The CLRC should consider drafting specific legislation to accomplish code revisions for legislative committees to consider later this year. Policies for the transition period are more appropriately designed by the Legislature than by the CPUC. Services should be exempted from the code sections that are no longer appropriate or effective due to competition, as detailed in our submission to the CLRC on May 14, 1997.

We are still concerned, as noted in our May 2, 1997, comments, that your recommendation requiring the CPUC to establish criteria and standards to determine when competition exists for each phase of deregulation would unnecessarily delay competition in the California marketplace. California can ill afford to wait until some undefinable measure of competition occurs in every market and for every product before updating its laws. Both the California legislature and U. S. Congress have opened all telecommunications markets to competition; the Telecommunications Act of 1996 has mandated full competitive protections. There is no need for incremental revisions that will leave the CPUC burdened with hotly contested issues that are complicated by competitors' attempts to "game" the regulatory process.

Sincerely, Aufulda

.

2

06/11/97 09:01 🖀 \$18 302 2050

LAW DEPT-AGC

06/10/97 09:50 FAX 916 441 4047

SCE/SACRAMENTO

June 9, 1997

Ø002/003

@002/003



Robert G. Foster Schler Vieg President

Law Revision Commission RECEIVED

JUN 1 1 1997

File:

Mr. Nathaniel Sterling Executive Director California Law Revision Commission 4000 Middlefield Road Room D-1 Palo Alto, CA 94303-4739

Re: <u>Report on Public Utilities Deregulation</u>

Dear Mr. Sterling:

Southern California Edison Company ("Edison") appreciates the effort the California Law Revision Commission has put into its Report on Public Utilities Deregulation, pursuant to S.B. 960. We particularly would like to commend the Law Revision Commission for the fair and balanced forum it has provided, and its efforts to accurately and fairly portray the views of parties.

Edison would like to join in Pacific Bell's request of June 6, 1997 in urging your commission to assume a leadership role in updating an outdated and antiquated Public Utilities Code. Section 12 of S.B. 960 provides that by June 30, 1997 "the Public Utilities Commission in consultation with the Law Revision Commission shall submit a report to the Legislature on needed revisions of the Public Utilities Code." However, the CPUC's draft report does not include a specific proposal with legislation for the Legislature to consider. We agree with your report that the procedure followed by the CPUC left the Law Revision little time to perform its role. As your report notes, the CPUC has indicated that "it is the Commission's desire to continue discussions into the 1998 session."

Therefore, we request that the Law Revision Commission work with the parties to draft legislation for legislative committee consideration later this year. First, as you report, the CPUC is not resourced sufficiently to draft needed revisions. Moreover, the CPUC is itself an interested party in statutory reform – an independent, disinterested agency such as the Law Revision Commission should be responsible for drafting the needed statutory revisions. The Law Revision Commission has the expertise, and statutory mission, to revise obsolete code and propose needed reforms to the Legislature. In areas where there is disagreement between the CPUC and the parties, the Law Revision Commission serves as a 06/11/97 09:02 2318 302 2050

09:51 FAX 916 441 4047

LAW DEPT-AGC

@003/003

003/003

SCE/SACRAMENTO

Mr. Nathaniel Sterling

06/10/97

Page 2 June 9, 1997

neutral and unbiased mediator. In some areas, the disagreement represents a drafting problem, where the Law Revision Commission's expertise can contribute significantly. In other areas, the Law Revision Commission can serve as an unbiased reporter and provide the parties views in a manner that will allow the Legislature to focus on the policy considerations.

Again, we would like to thank you for your efforts to date and hope that we can continue to work with you and your Commission on a report that would provide draft legislation for legislative committee consideration.

4

Very)truly yours, Robert G. Foster

JAM:Jan:LW971600.003

cc: Senator Steve Peace Assemblyman Bill Leonard Jean Veith (CPUC)

Report to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries

June 30, 1997

California Public Utilities Commission

Report to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries

Part I		Discussion
Part II	_	Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommendedby the CPUC
Part III	-	Draft Language
		* * * *

Attachment 1: Revisions to Existing Law (by Law Revision Commission Policy Issue Categories)

Attachment 2: Stakeholders' Comments on CPUC Draft Report

Report to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries

Part I: Discussion

Summary

This report describes a bifurcated approach to comprehensive review and revision of the California Public Utilities Code. The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) recommends that the Legislature support development of a new, substantially revised Code, reorganized to separately group all provisions particular to the CPUC's general authority, its specific oversight of each regulated industry (on an industry by industry basis), its consumer protection jurisdiction, and its authority to order fines or other sanctions for violations of law. Recognizing that this endeavor cannot be accomplished within the remainder of the 1997-98 legislative session, the CPUC recommends a number of revisions to existing law which could be made this session. In cases where consensus among stakeholders is believed to exist at this time, the CPUC submits draft language.

Introduction

This is the report of the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), prepared and submitted to the Legislature pursuant to the directive in Section 12 of Senate Bill (SB) 960 (Stats. 1996, Ch. 856), which provides:

"On or before June 30, 1997, the Public Utilities Commission in consultation with the Law Revision Commission shall submit a report to the Legislature on needed revisions of the Public Utilities Code that result from the restructuring of the electrical, gas, transportation, and telecommunications industries." (SB 960, Section 12.)

This report follows upon the earlier report, dated March 31, 1997, required by Section 14 of SB 960. We submitted the March report as a "status update" on our preliminary review of the Public Utilities Code for revisions warranted by the "changing competitive environment in which regulated and unregulated entities are competitors." (SB 960, Section 14.)

7

We embarked upon this project with the perspective that Code reform should not be considered—and ultimately could not be accomplished-without thoughtful input from the many stakeholders, including the regulated entities within each industry, their unregulated competitors, and the various customer groups served. We solicited their views, and in the March report, summarized the opinions we received. We also circulated a draft of this report for public comment and the responses we received are reproduced in Attachment 2. These several rounds of public input, together with the observations of the Law Revision Commission, have served to stimulate our thinking and in many instances, have caused us to reconsider our preliminary assessments about how the Code should be revised.

The Public Utilities Code—A Brief Historical Review

In 1911, California voters passed a constitutional amendment reestablishing the Railroad Commission and authorizing the Legislature to confer upon the agency broad regulatory power over public utilities as well. In 1946 the agency was renamed the California Public Utilities Commission to reflect its broader mission.

The "Public Utilities Act" of 1911 (Stats. 1911, Ex. Sess) repealed all prior statutes governing the regulation of railroads and other transportation companies and formed the primary basis for the current Code. The last comprehensive review of public utility regulation in California, in 1951, resulted in enactment of "The Public Utilities Code" (Stats. 1951, ch. 764) which reorganized and modernized the previous body of statutory law. The 1951 codification, an effective restatement of public utility law, is the skeleton upon which all subsequent statute has been grafted. This restatement provided for monopoly-type regulation of all stationary utilities and comprehensive economic and some non-economic (safety and insurance) regulation of transportation entities by the CPUC.

While water remains predominantly a monopoly industry, substantial change has occurred in the electrical, natural gas, telecommunications, and transportation industries in the ensuing forty-six years. The CPUC believes that the time is now ripe for another extensive review and revision of the Code.

The Competitive Status of Regulated Industries

The electrical, natural gas, telecommunications, and transportation industries have all been affected by technological and economic factors which have altered and continue to alter perspectives on the kind of governmental regulation needed, and in some instances, whether regulation is needed at all. The Law Revision Commission's June 12, 1997 report to the Legislature, submitted in its consultative capacity pursuant to Section 12 of SB 960, contains a useful synopsis of each industry, subtitled "Current Status of Restructuring and Deregulation". It does not appear necessary or helpful to duplicate this work. However, in order to set an appropriate context, both substantively and procedurally, for the Code revisions we propose, we include a brief summary of the competitive status of each industry.

Electrical Industry. Last year the Legislature passed and the Governor signed Assembly Bill (AB) 1890 (Stats. 1996, ch. 854), a comprehensive framework for the restructuring of the electric services industry in California, which codified, in large part, the CPUC's 1995 policy decision, Decision (D.)95-12-063, as modified by D.96-01-009. Implementation of AB 1890 will usher in, beginning in 1998, a new era in the provision of electric power and related services. It is anticipated that electric generation will become a competitive service, its price set by market forces, and that "direct access" will become available to all retail customers who desire to choose their electricity suppliers. As contemplated by AB 1890, the CPUC will continue to have regulatory authority over the ongoing monopoly distribution functions of investor-owned electric public utilities and over any generation facilities they retain and operate, and will have expanded consumer protection responsibilities.

Timely implementation of AB 1890 is the CPUC's highest priority electric industry undertaking. As directed by the Legislature, the CPUC has been working to facilitate the attainment of all necessary federal approvals from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and to address, in its own proceedings, the numerous other implementation issues. *Natural Gas Industry.* The impetus for competition in the natural gas industry, unlike the electric industry, arose at the federal level. Passage in 1978 of the Natural Gas Policy Act led to federal decontrol of wellhead prices by 1985. The CPUC's actions over the decade spanning 1984 to 1993, have resulted in an increasingly competitive natural gas market for California consumers. However, the equivalent of full "direct access" does not exist, as only large consumers and some aggregators can choose their natural gas suppliers--residential and small commercial customers do not have that option at present. Thus, while currently the natural gas industry is more competitive than the electric industry in many respects, upon implementation of AB 1890, the electric industry will assume the lead.

As noted in our 1997 business plan, the CPUC expects to issue, later this summer, a Natural Gas Strategy which will review the tasks remaining to provide all customers with competitive gas supply options and explore other competitive issues, such as equal access to market information by regulated and unregulated entities. The CPUC envisions that state legislation will be necessary to accomplish some of the remaining tasks and that ultimately, its regulatory role vis a vis investor-owned natural gas public utilities is likely to mirror its electric industry oversight responsibilities.

Telecommunications Industry. Consistent with the historical role of the CPUC in regulating monopoly markets, we will continue to play a pivotal role, in the next several years, overseeing the transition from monopoly to competitive local exchange markets. Specifically, we will be reviewing and adjusting our own rules and regulations to respond to increased competition. Pricing rules and tariffing requirements are just two examples of the regulatory construct for telephone corporations we will be examining, and revising or eliminating. Some of the rules are of our own making, while others are embedded in statute. To eliminate statutory requirements, we will need Legislative assistance.

Our dilemma is to balance the interests of competing groups of telecommunications providers, always bearing in mind what is best for consumers. If the rules governing incumbents are eliminated too early, incumbents in high cost areas with no prospect of imminent competition will be free to charge their customers the full cost of providing service and to reduce the scope of services provided. At the same time, incumbents facing increasing competition over the next several years will find themselves hamstrung by outmoded regulations which will inhibit their ability to respond to that competition. Balancing incumbents' market power against their need to become competitive companies will be a continuing challenge. We believe it is imperative to evaluate the strength of competition in specific markets and regions as a predicate to reducing or eliminating regulation.

In order to determine the appropriate time for eliminating or minimizing long-standing rules or regulations, we believe it will be necessary to develop one or more standards for competition. In our view, the CPUC should develop competitive criteria to be applied in specific markets or specific geographic areas, or both, and once a determination is made that sufficient competition has been reached there, the application of certain categories of rules and regulations should cease. However, it would be unwise and overly restrictive to establish in advance a rigid standard to be applied in all cases. As mentioned above, legislation will be necessary to enable the CPUC to relieve incumbents from compliance with specific statutes.

Transportation Industry. As a result of federal preemption over the years, the states no longer have economic regulatory authority over most railroad operations. With the exception of railroads that are not part of the interstate rail network, the CPUC's regulatory oversight is generally limited to enforcement of safety provisions applicable to railroads, transit systems and grade crossings.

Over the years, the CPUC had considerably lessened the extent to which it regulated the rates of motor carriers of property. Our economic regulatory authority over truckers, however, was mostly terminated by federal law, effective January 1, 1995, which generally preempts state regulation relating to the prices, routes and services of motor carriers that transport property, with the exception of household goods carriers. Last year AB 1683 (Stats. 1996, ch. 1042) transferred all remaining state jurisdiction over such motor carriers to the California Highway Patrol and to the Department of Motor Vehicles. More recent federal legislation has narrowed the exception for household goods carriers. The CPUC continues to have regulatory authority over household goods carriers and over two types of

11

passenger carriers, passenger stage corporations and charter party carriers. While the CPUC once regulated airlines, that authority has been preempted by federal law.

A New Public Utilities Act

The Goal. The emergence of competition in many regulated public utility sectors, together with federal preemption of the states in a number of areas, has created industry structures very different than those which existed in 1951. The regulatory system designed by the Legislature in 1951, and amended over the ensuing forty-six years to address myriad changed or unique circumstances, needs substantial reform.

We envision a new Public Utilities Act which, when passed by the Legislature and signed by the Governor, will create a substantially reformed set of laws governing public utilities and related entities¹. The new Act should be organized to separately state, at a minimum: 1) a core group of general provisions, definitions, and broadly applicable regulatory authorities vested in the CPUC; 2) by industry², all provisions particular to the CPUC's oversight and regulation of that industry; 3) the CPUC's consumer protection jurisdiction, and 4) a revised statement of the CPUC's authority to order fines or other sanctions for violations of law.

We suggest this industry-specific, "building block" approach because it appears to us to be a conceptually clean and organizationally flexible way to structure a new body of regulatory law which will not only fit current

¹ It should be noted that not all of the existing Public Utilities Code pertains to the jurisdiction of the CPUC. We are proposing revision of those portions of the Code that relate to the CPUC (generally Divisions 1 and 2, and 4 through 4.9). Division 5, for example, contains provisions applicable to municipal utilities; Divisions 10 through 26 generally concern various metropolitan and county transit districts, county transportation commissions, and the like. The CPUC expresses no opinion on whether any of these Divisions of the Code require revision.

² The term "industry" is used loosely to categorize discreet areas of CPUC jurisdiction over different kinds of public utilities and is not limited to the four industries (electric, natural gas, telecommunications, transportation) identified in Section 12 of SB 960.

regulatory regimes but prove adaptable, and so better meet the needs of evolving regulatory paradigms.

As the Law Revision Commission has recognized, the core issue which will confront Code revision in every area except transportation "is whether sufficient competition exists within an industry to permit ... [the dismantling of] the existing monopoly regulatory system". (LRC 5/23/97 staff draft, p. 1.) The Law Revision Commission reached this conclusion after reviewing areas of general agreement and disagreement about Code reform within the four targeted industries. As a tool for exploring stakeholders' views, the Law Revision Commission developed a categorization system for major policy issues (i.e. "direct regulation of service providers", "consumer protection", etc.). This categorization system has been useful in advancing the dialogue among stakeholders to date. We reproduce it in Attachment 1 and group within each category the Code sections we believe applicable.

In our view, comprehensive Code reform can also provide an appropriate opportunity to consider, or reevaluate, various procedural issues, whether more or less directly related to competition in the four targeted industries. For example, regulated energy and telecommunications utilities are providing an increasing array of services, resulting in an enormous increase in advice letter filings (tariff change proposals). In the telecommunications industry, where the number of service providers continues to increase, this impact is particularly pronounced. The CPUC is currently in the process of revising procedures for filing and processing advice letters.³ However, in order to further expedite processing, it may be desirable to enact legislation authorizing the CPUC to delegate additional authority in this area to its staff.

Another example concerns more generic process issues. Last year the Legislature enacted two bills dealing with CPUC procedures (SB 960, Stats. 1996, ch. 856) and with judicial review of the CPUC (SB 1322, Stats. 1996, ch. 855). These bills both become operative on January 1, 1998. It may be appropriate to review these areas as part of the comprehensive Code rewrite we propose. However, before the Legislature revisits the fundamental determinations made by SB 960 and SB 1322, we believe there should be

³ These procedures are contained in the CPUC's General Order 96.

some reasonable period for implementation of these reforms and for consideration of their effectiveness.

The scope of this recommendation is intentionally broad and ambitious and we recognize that Code revision on the scale we suggest will require a significant investment in time and resources. The fact that consensus is generally lacking on the ultimate issue—whether competition within specific industries is sufficient to disband monopoly regulation underscores this reality. While it is unlikely a total overhaul of the Code can be accomplished during the remainder of the 1997-98 legislative session, we hope, with the assistance of the Legislature, to frame a timeline for such an undertaking. Moreover, we are confidant significant progress toward Code reform can be made this session. Below we outline an approach which we believe will yield positive results.

More Immediate Steps. Part II of this report is a table which indicates, by specific code section, our proposals for the revision of existing law, independent of the comprehensive Code rewrite described above. Where we recommend repeal of a section, we indicate that in the table. It should be noted that in those cases where we suggest repeal of a specific statute that is repetitive of a statement of general jurisdiction and appears to have become surplusage with time, it is not our intent to eliminate the CPUC's authority.

Some of our proposals for amendment of existing law can be readily accomplished, and in many such cases we include draft language in Part III of this report. Where consensus exists that a specific section requires revision, but disagreement exists among stakeholders regarding the nature of such revision, we have not attempted to draft language.

14

Part II - Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

Discussion/Rationale	This section is obsolete.	This definition will be used to conform the scope of state economic regulation of railroads to that permitted by federal law.	The definition of a telephone corporation should be modified to include resellers. This section was enacted before resale of telecommunications services was contemnlated	Provide that any provision of the Public Utilities Act that is in conflict with the [ICC Termination Act] shall not apply to railroad corporations to the extent of that conflict. Provide that if any provision in the Public Utilities Act applicable to railroad corporations, or the application thereof to any person or circumstance, is federally preempted, the remainder of the Act is
Draft Language Attached		×		×
Proposed Change	Delete	Add new section defining a "non- network railroad" as a railroad that is not part of "the interstate rail network" as the latter term is used in 49 U.S.C. Sec. 10501 (a)(2)(A)	Amend	Add new section
Section	3 Grandfather provisions for Commissioners in office in 1951 when Division 1 of Code adopted	224.8 Definition of "non- network railroad"	234 Definition of Telephone Corporation	248 Preemption

a manual and an and a state of the state o

Part II - Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

Discussion/Rationale	severable. The purpose of this section would be to recognize the considerable extent to which federal law preempts state law in the economic and service regulation of railroads, while permitting the CPUC to continue to enforce state law that has not been preempted.	Amend so that prohibitions apply only to Commissioners; give CPUC authority to authority to apply its Statement of Incompatibility to gubernatorial appointees. CPUC supports SB 595 (Burton) which addresses this issue.	Clarify validity of actions taken when there are only 3 sitting Commissioners (i.e. when 2 vacancies exist).	Amend to 1) delete the reference to RR passenger commuter operations since currently there are no RR commuter operations that are subject to CPUC rate regulation and 2) eliminate the requirement to audit utilities every 3 or 5 years. Other provisions should be maintained until relevant markets are found to be sufficiently competitive.	Competition may warrant flexible application of the obligation to serve; however, continued regulation is necessary to protect consumers and the competitive process as California proceeds through the transmission from a monopoly to a competitive market for energy and telecommunications services.
Draft Language Attached					
Proposed Change		Amend to narrow	Amend	Amend	Continue to review obligation to serve with objective of developing timetable for appropriate
Section		303 CPUC Employee Conflict of Interest	310 Quorum of Commission	314.5 Inspect and audit books of utility corporations on a 3-year or 5-year cycle, as applicable	451 Just and reasonable rates by utilities

TALL IN THE TALL IN

Part II - Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

rrant flexible application of the obligation ttion of other provisions; major revision r ail competition for local telephone servic not exist for residential and small as customers, and will not exist for before January 1, 1998.	nted to 1) delete the reference in subdivision 34.1 which was repealed in 1996 and 2) ompetitive energy and telecommunication of customer service options.	ion rate parity with UEG rates is mpetitive energy market.	address the oil crises in the 1970s, is a rvice ratemaking and inconsistent with ntive ratemaking mechanisms.	on rate parity with UEG rates is mpetitive energy market; this section does placed in operation after January 1, 1995,	This section may be inconsistent with the evolution of competitive markets for energy services and requires further review. The CPUC's Natural Gas Strategy (see text) will
Competition may wa serve and reconsider is premature since re just beginning, does commercial natural g electricity customers	Amendment is warra (a) to PU Code sec 4, reflect increasingly c markets and changing	Mandatory cogenerat inconsistent with a co	This section, added to remnant of cost-of-se market oriented, ince	Mandatory cogenerat inconsistent with a co not affect solar plants and sunsets in 2001.	This section may be i competitive markets 1 review. The CPUC's
		X			
Possibly amend	Amend	Amend to sunset	Delete	Delete in 2001 (do not extend sunset)	Possibly amend
453(a) & (c) No rate preference or advantage to any customer	454 Rate change proposals	454.4 Gas rates for cogen technology projects	454.5 Authorization of electric corporation fuel cost increases	454.6 Rate parity for gas used in solar technology projects	454.7 Cogen technology projects - high priority for
	antage to	453(a) & (c) No rate preference or advantage to any customerPossibly amend454 Rate changeAmend454 Rate changeAmend	e to Amend Amend Amend Amend Amend Amend Amend Amend Amend Amend	453(a) & (c) No rate Possibly amend preference or advantage to Possibly amend any customer Amend 454 Rate change Amend proposals Amend to sunset 454.4 Gas rates for cogen Amend to sunset 454.5 Authorization of Delete electric corporation fuel Delete cost increases Delete	453(a) & (c) No rate Possibly amend preference or advantage to Possibly amend any customer Amend 454 Rate change Amend proposals Amend 454 Rate change Amend any customer Amend 454 Rate change Amend proposals Amend 454.5 Rate stress for cogen Amend to sunset 454.5 Authorization of Delete 454.5 Authorization of Delete 454.6 Rate parity for gas Delete in 2001 (do used in solar technology not extend sunset)

ì

 $1\overline{7}$

Staff Draft 6/9/97 Report to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code **Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries**

	competition in	king procedure d the increasingly	ent; however nsumers and s through the narket for energy	licative of CPUC to set rates	not apply to s section should	not apply to s section should	1.5.
	consider necessary "next steps" to further gas competition in California.	This section imposes a cost-of-service ratemaking procedure which is at odds with incentive ratemaking and the increasingly competitive energy market.	Increasing competition may warrant amendment; however continued regulation is necessary to protect consumers and developing competition as California proceeds through the transition from a monopoly to a competitive market for energy and telecommunications services.	The purpose of this section is unclear and duplicative of authority, contained in other sections, for the CPUC to set rates and require the filing of tariffs.	Due to federal preemption, this section should not apply to railroad corporations generally. However, this section should continue to apply to "non-network railroads."	Due to federal preemption, this section should not apply to railroad corporations generally. However, this section should continue to apply to "non-network railroads."	This section appears duplicative of Section 461.5.
Discussion/Rationale	consider necessary "n California.	This section imposes a cost which is at odds with incent competitive energy market.	Increasing competition may warra continued regulation is necessary t developing competition as Califor transition from a monopoly to a cc and telecommunications services.	The purpose of this section is u authority, contained in other se and require the filing of tariffs.	Due to federal preemp railroad corporations (continue to apply to "	Due to federal preemp railroad corporations g continue to apply to "i	This section appears d
Draft Language Attached					×	X	
Proposed Change		Delete	Possibly amend	Delete	Amend to clarify that section does not apply to most RR corps	Amend to clarify that section does not apply to most RR corps	Delete
Section	gas purchase	454.8 Recovery of costs for extension of utility plant	455 Rate changes after Commission action	457 Sliding scale of charges	458 Prohibit common carrier transportation at rates below those filed	459 Fraudulent rebates	460 Long and short haul

			service	s rstate he ntence	ruction sary in espect the become	h
		ary.	I to apply only to cost-of	only railroads to which th hat are not part of the inte ural requirements, delete vestigation" in the first se	osts associated with cons eneration plant, is unnece making authority. With n will be inconsistent wit etween federal and state uctures (the ISO and PX)	t with the evolution of ervices and requires furth
Discussion/Rationale		The section is no longer necessary.	This section should be modified to apply only to cost-of-service telephone corporations.	Due to federal preemption, the only railroads to which this section should apply are those that are not part of the interstate network. To streamline procedural requirements, delete the words "in special cases, after investigation" in the first sentence of the second paragraph.	This section, added to address costs associated with construction of the Diablo Canyon nuclear generation plant, is unnecessary in light of the CPUC's general ratemaking authority. With respect to electric generation, the section will be inconsistent with the restructured market's division between federal and state jurisdiction once new market structures (the ISO and PX) become operational.	This section may be inconsistent with the evolution of competitive markets for utility services and requires further review.
Draft Language Attached				×		
Proposed Change		Delete	Amend	Amend to clarify that section does not apply to most RR corps and to streamline procedures	Delete	Consider Deleting
Section	charges	461 Prohibits telephone corp from charging longer distance charges than those incurred	461.2 Inside wiring charges	461.5 Anti-discrimination in transportation rates	463 Electric or gas plant construction errors of more than \$50 million	465 Utility obligations re: contracting for custodial or janitorial labor

Discussion/Rationale	This section may be inconsistent with the evolution of competitive markets for utility services and requires further review.	This section may be inconsistent with the evolution of competitive markets for utility services and requires further review.	Due to federal preemption, this section should not apply to railroad corporations generally. However, this section should continue to apply to "non-network railroads."	Due to federal preemption, this section should not apply to railroad corporations generally. However, this section should continue to apply to "non-network railroads."	Increasing competition may warrant amendment; however continued regulation is necessary to protect consumers and developing competition as California proceeds through the transition from a monopoly to a competitive market for energy and telecommunications services.	This section already provides for exceptions to 30-day notice requirement but should be amended to expressly state that the CPUC has discretion to authorize exemptions for classes of
Discuss	This sect markets f	This sect competiti review.	Due to fe railroad c continue	Due to fe railroad c continue	Increasin continuec developir transition and telecc	This secti requireme CPUC ha
Draft Language Attached			×	×		
Proposed Change	Consider Deleting	Consider Deleting	Amend to clarify that section does not apply to most RR corps	Amend to clarify that section does not apply to most RR corps	Possibly amend	Amend
Section	466 Requirements re: wages for contracted custodial or janitorial labor per sec 465	467 Department of Industrial Relations to enforce sec 466	486 Common carrier file tariffs	488 Carrier's schedules available for inspection	489(a) Utility rates subject to inspection	491 30-day notice for rate changes by public utilities

Report to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code **Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries**

Part II - Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

Discussion/Rationale	utilities or when relevant markets meet the test for sufficient competition.	Due to federal preemption, this section should not apply to railroad corporations generally. However, this section should continue to apply to "non-network railroads."	Due to federal preemption, this section should not apply to railroad corporations generally. However, this section should continue to apply to "non-network railroads."	Increasing competition may warrant amendment; however continued regulation is necessary to protect consumers and developing competition as California proceeds through the transition from a monopoly to a competitive market for telecommunications services.	The Commission no longer has economic regulatory authority over common carrier truckers or most railroads. There should be no need for anti-trust exemptions in a competitive passenger carrier market.	This section deals with express corporations, which are no longer subject to CPUC jurisdiction	References to the Interstate Commerce Commission (now
Draft Language Attached Discussio	utilities or w competition.	X Due to fec railroad co continue t	X Due to fec railroad co continue to	Increasing continued developin transition telecomm	The Commissi over common no need for ant carrier market.		
Proposed Change		Amend to clarify 7 that section does not apply to most RR corps	Amend to clarify 7 that section does not apply to most RR Corps	Possibly amend	Delete	Delete	Amend to update X
Section		493 Common carrier file rates prior to operating	494 Common carrier charge same rate as is on file with CPUC	495 Telephone corporations rates on file	496 Carrier anti-trust exemptions	526 Rates for express matter	527 Reciprocal privileges

and them to be a solution and an end of the solution of the solution of the solution of the

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O

Report to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code **Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries**

Part II - Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

Section			
	Proposed Change	Language Attached	Discussion/Rationale
			Commerce Act (now repealed) should instead refer to federal law.
530 Rate concessions	Delete in part		Delete subdivision (b) and the last paragraph of subdivision (c)
			to reflect that the CPUC for the most part has no jurisdiction over common carriers of momenty
532 Utility rates; filing	Continue to review		The emergence of increasingly competitive energy and
	with objective of		telecommunications markets may warrant more flexibility than
rate changes	developing		existing law provides
	amendment		
556 Common carrier	Amend to delete	x	The CPUC no longer has regulatory authority over common
interchange and transfer	references to freight.		carrier truckers, and generally lacks authority to regulate the
of passengers, freight and			service of most railroads.
cars			
557 RR receive freight	Delete		Due to federal preemption, the CPUC generally lacks authority to
cars from other RRs			regulate the service of most railroads.
559 Common carrier joint	Amend to exempt	x	Due to federal preemption, the CPUC generally lacks authority to
rates, fares, and charges	RR corps from this		regulate the service of most railroads.
	section		
560 RR track switches	Delete		Due to federal preemption, the CPUC generally lacks authority to
and connections			regulate the service of most railroads.
701.3 Renewable set aside	Sunset in 1998 may		State electric restructuring policy (AB 1890, Stats. 1996, ch. 854)
policy	be appropriate		subsumes prior policy re: electric resource planning. The

44.

Report to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries

Discussion/Pationala	proposed sunset should coincide with AB 1890's implementation.	State electric restructuring policy (AB 1890, Stats. 1996, ch. 854) subsumes prior policy re: electric resource planning. The	proposed sunset should coincide with AB 1890's implementation.	The references to the Interstate Commerce Act (which has been	repealed), to the Interstate Commerce Commission (which has	been terminated), and to "excessive or discriminatory" rates	should be replaced by more general references to federal law and federal agencies.	Due to federal preemption. the CPUC generally lacks anthority to	regulate the service of most railroads.	Due to federal preemption, the CPUC generally lacks authority to	regulate the rates of most railroad corporations.	This section should be modified commensurate with the	development of competition, but major revision now is premature	since retail competition for local telephone service is just	beginning, does not exist for residential and small commercial	natural gas customers, and will not exist for electricity customers	before January 1, 1998.	This section should be amended to delete all sections except (a);	language in (a) which refers to other sections should also be
Draft Language Attached				X		-			_		:								
Proposed Chanoe	6	Sunset in 1998 may be appropriate		Amend to update	references to federal	law		Delete		Delete		Possibly amend						Delete in part	
Section		701.4 Electric resource acquisition diversity	renewables	703 Interstate rates		23	2	706 RR connect with	other RRs at state line	707 RR corp. interurban	rates	728 Fixing of rates after	determination that	adjustment is needed				728.2 Yellow page	directories

Part II - Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

Draft

Discussion/Rationale	deleted.	This section may need to be amended in light of the FCC's recent payphone order, currently on appeal, which largely deregulated the payphone industry.	Due to federal preemption, this section should not apply to railroad corporations generally. However, this section should continue to apply to "non-network railroads."	This section may need to be amended in light of recent federal actions relating to access charges, as well as developing competition in local telecommunications markets.	Due to federal preemption, this section should not apply to railroad corporations generally. However, this section should continue to apply to "non-network railroads."	The Commission's general authority over common carrier rates (e.g. Section 728) should be sufficient to deal with any instances of rates that are too low.	Due to federal preemption, the CPUC generally lacks authority to regulate the rates of most railroads.
Language Attached			×		x		x
Proposed Change		Possibly amend	Amend to clarify that section does not apply to most RR corps	Possibly amend	Amend to clarify that section does not apply to most RR corps	Delete	Amend to exempt RR corps from this section.
Section		728.3 30-day notice re: public telephone removal	728.5 Establish rates for transportation; powers and duties of the Commission	728.7 Notify customers of telco rate increase	730 Facilities needed for service and fees to pay for providing	731 CPUC increase rate of transportation carrier if deemed below costs of service	732 Common carrier joint rates

24

Part II - Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

Draft

Language Attached Discussion/Rationale	X Due to federal preemption, the CPUC generally lacks authority to regulate the rates of most railroads.	The system of baseline rates and allowances may need substantial revision in light of deregulation in some markets.	The system of baseline rates and allowances may need substantial revision in light of deregulation in some markets.	The system of baseline rates and allowances may need substantial revision in light of deregulation in some markets.	All provisions relating to Universal Service should be together in the PU Code.	
Proposed Change	Amend to exempt RR corps from this section.	Continue to review with objective of amending to minimize rate- making inequities	Continue to review with objective of amending to minimize rate- making inequities	Continue to review with objective of amending to minimize rate- making inequities	Move to Part 1, Article 8 (sec 871- 878)	
Section	733 Common carriers joint rates	739 Baseline rates for gas	739.1 CARE program for electric and gas	739.2 CARE program applicable to migrant workers and others	739.3 Universal Service program	

Ϊ.

Report to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code **Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries**

Discussion/Rationale	Utilities subject to incentive regulation should be excluded from this provision.	Electric and gas utilities subject to incentive regulation should be excluded from this provision.	This section may be inconsistent with the evolution of competitive markets for utility services and requires further review.	This section may be inconsistent with the evolution of competitive markets for utility services and requires further review; at a minimum, utilities subject to incentive regulation should be excluded from provisions requiring reasonableness review.	This section may be inconsistent with the evolution of competitive markets for electric and gas utility services and requires further review; note, however, that the section is permissive, not mandatory.	This section may be inconsistent with the evolution of competitive markets for electric and gas utility services and requires further review; note, however, that the section is permissive, not mandatory, and sunsets on January 1, 1999.
Draft Language Attached						
Proposed Change	Amend	Amend	Consider deleting	Amend	Possibly amend	Delete in 1999 (do not extend sunset)
Section	740 Allows for research & development	740.1 RD&D guidelines for electric & gas utilities	740.3 State low-emission vehicle policies applicable to electrical & gas utilities	740.4 Utility programs to encourage economic development	740.6 Electric & gas utility participation in Dept of Economic Opportunity's pilot program re: low-income assistance counseling	740.7 Application of discounted enterprise zone utility rates to closed or realigned federal military bases

26

Staff Draft 6/9/97 on Revisions of the D. Lli.

Report to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code **Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries**

	Discussion/Rationale	At the minimum, this section should be amended to remove reference to sec. 745.5, which was repealed on January 1, 1997; if section 740.3 should be deleted, this section will become obsolete and should be deleted in its entirely.	Portions of this section relate to safety. Nevertheless, this section could be made generally inapplicable to railroad corporations, so long as that safety authority is adequately provided elsewhere.	corporations and those railroads that are not part of the interstate rail network.	Due to federal preemption, the CPUC generally lacks authority to regulate the service of most railroads.	Due to federal preemption, the CPUC generally lacks authority to regulate the service of most railroads. There are currently no street railroads subject to the CPUC's general jurisdiction. If, in the future, there are such street railroads, other more general	statutes should be sufficient to achieve the goals of this section. Due to federal preemption, the CPUC generally lacks authority to regulate the service of most railroads.	This section should simply prohibit telephone corporations from billing customers for uncompleted calls.
Draft 1 anouade	Attached		×					
Proposed	Change	Amend or delete, as appropriate	Amend to clarify that section does not apply to most RR		Delete	Delete	Delete	Amend
Section		740.8 Defines ratepayers "interests" for purposes of sec. 740.3 & 745.5	763 Operation of sufficient motor power equipment of RRs		763.1 County-city petition CPUC on passenger rail service	764 Connections between two RR corps	765 RR corp. connections	766.5 Billing for uncompleted calls

Report to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code **Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries**

Part II - Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

Draft

tationale	The FCC is expected to issue rules pertaining to access to utility rights-of-way soon, and it would be inefficient to modify this section before the FCC acts.	The FCC is expected to issue rules pertaining to access to utility rights-of-way soon, and it would be inefficient to modify this section before the FCC acts	Due to federal preemption, the CPUC generally lacks the authority to regulate the service of most railroads.	Due to federal preemption, the CPUC generally lacks the authority to regulate the equipment of most railroads.	This provision should apply only to providers of service, not to all telephone corporations.	Amend to clarify that utilities subject to incentive regulation are excluded from this provision.	These sections are under review. Some amendments or deletions may be warranted to recognize the development of competition and CPUC decisions exempting certain classes of providers from these sections.	At a minimum, this section should be amended to clarify the concept of "necessary and useful" property (and remove the circularity between paragraphs 1 and 2). Additional amendment
Discussion/Rationale	The FCC is e rights-of-way section befor	The FCC is e rights-of-way section befor	Due to federa authority to r	Due to federa authority to r	This provision should appl all telephone corporations.	Amend to cla excluded fror	These sections may be warran and CPUC dec these sections.	At a minimur concept of "n circularity be
Language Attached					×			
Proposed Change	Possibly amend	Possibly amend	Delete	Delete	Amend	Amend	Possibly amend or delete in part	Amend
Section	767 Use of utility equipment by other utility(ies)	767.5 Utility pole usage	769 RR equipment necessary for freight service	769.5 Disposal of RR passenger cars	788 Inside wire annual report	792.5 Balancing account requirement	816 through 830 Utility stock & security transactions	851 Sales of utility property, mergers, consolidations, etc.
Sec	767 L equip utility	767.5	769 R necess servic	769.5 passer	788 Ir report	792.5 requir	816 th stock transa	851 Si proper

۰.

Staff Draft 6/9/97	keport to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code	Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries
--------------------	---	---

Draft Language Attached X	Discussion/Rationale	may be warranted to eliminate the need for CPUC approval of certain kinds of activities by utilities in competitive markets.	Within the telecommunications industry, these provisions should be limited to local service providers subject to CPUC rate-setting jurisdiction.	This section should be deleted since the CPUC has developed Universal Service rules. Although the Universal Service proceeding remains open to disburse funds, the purpose of the	statute has been met.	Provisions regarding factors to be considered prior to granting CPCNs for thermal power plants and electric transmission lines	will be inconsistent with the restructured market's division between federal and state jurisdiction once new market structures (the ISO and PX) become operational.	Some of the detailed requirements for an electric or gas utility CPCN application may no longer be necessary in light of the increasingly competitive markets for electric and gas utility services.	Delete provision that hearing must be held on request of "any person entitled to be heard". Existing law appears to be have been designed for an era of monopoly provision of utility services.
	Disc	may l certai	Withi be lin jurisd	This f Unive proced	statute	Provi: CPCN	will b betwe (the 15	Some of CPCN aj increasin services.	Delete p person e been des services.
Proposed Change Amend Delete Oelete ossibly amend	Draft Language Attached		X						x
	Proposed Change		Amend	Delete		Delete		Possibly amend	Amend
Section 854(b) through (h) CPUC approval of utility mergers 882 Availability of 882 Availability of advanced telco services 1002(b) CPCNs for thermal power plants & electrical transmission lines 1003 Supporting info required in electric & gas utility CPCN application. 1005 CPUC issuance of certificate for construction	Section		854(b) through (h) CPUC approval of utility mergers	882 Availability of advanced telco services		1002(b) CPCNs for thermal power plants &	electrical transmission lines	1003 Supporting info required in electric & gas utility CPCN application.	1005 CPUC issuance of certificate for construction

Part II - Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

Discussion/Rationale	These sections, added in 1982 to govern electric plant additions in excess of 50 megawatts and gas utility plant additions in excess of \$50 million, may not be necessary in light of the CPUC's general ratemaking authority. With respect to electric generation, the section will be inconsistent with the restructured market's division between federal and state jurisdiction once new market structures (the ISO and PX) become operational.	The CPUC has opened a Rulemaking and Investigation (R.97-01- 009, I.97-01-010) to review the Intervenor program. A pre- hearing conference (PHC) was held on April 18, 1997 and a scoping memo is expected to issue by the end of June.	This section is unnecessary in light of the CPUC's general authority.	This section is unnecessary in light of the CPUC's general authority.	Section 1010 was repealed in 1996.	Federal law has preempted state regulation in this area.
Draft Language Attached						
Proposed Change	Possibly delete.	Continue to review with the objective of developing appropriate amendment	Delete	Delete	Amend to delete reference to section 1010	Delete
Section	1091 through 1102 Requirement for a "construction project board of consultants" for certain electric & gas utility plant additions	1801 through 1812 Intervenor program	1823 CPUC review of computer model development	1824 CPUC verification of production cost models & financial planning models	1904(a) Fees	2739 through 2769.5

ner i a seu anno 110 ann 110 a

A COMPANY AND A COMPANY AND A COMPANY AND A COMPANY

Part II -- Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

Discussion/Rationale		These sections may be inconsistent with the changing.	increasingly competitive market for energy services and require	further review.	This section may be inconsistent with the evolution of	competitive markets for energy services and requires further	There are a second se	Lucse sections may be inconsistent with the changing, increasingly commetitive market for energy services and socia-	further review.		These sections require further review in light of competitive	developments in energy markets: the CPUC's Natural Gas	Strategy (see text) will consider "next steps" to further gas	competition in California; some provisions regarding electricity	generation, transmission and sales will in inconsistent with the	restructured electricity market's division between federal and	state jurisdiction once new market structures (the ISO and PX)	become operational.	This section, added in 1978, required a CPUC study in 1980 and	is no longer applicable.	This provision should be amended to remove the reference to	telephone corporations as entities that physically provide
Draft Language Attached																						
Proposed Change		Possibly amend			Consider deleting		Dassibly amond				Continue to review	with the objective of	developing	appropriate	amendment				Delete		Amend	
Section	Passenger air carriers	2771 through 2775	Electric & gas utility	customer priorities	2775.5 Electric & gas	utility authority re: solar cnergy systems	<u> </u>	Electric & gas utility	home insulation	assistance & financing	2811 through 2827	Private energy producers		,					2851 Solar energy	systems	2881, 2881.1 Deaf and	hearing impaired telco

31

ations equipment in order to allow the CPUC to sost effective program and to recognize the f local competition.	out-of-date as the CPUC complied with its ars ago.	insets on January 1, 1998 but should be extended determines the state of competition in relevant fiscussion in text.)	ould be deleted, and in lieu of mandating this veloping competitive markets can respond to and for billing in less than one-minute increments	ould be updated, as the privacy of cellular calls is problem and an issue of public concern.	ould be amended to reflect current practice for w area code boundaries, which involves CPUC ommendations put forth by an industry working d by the CPUC.	ould be amended to eliminate internally iguage and to clarify language susceptible to retations.	The CPUC has no statutory authority to require non-telco
telecommunica create a more c development of	This section is mandate 10 yes	This section su until the CPUC markets. (See d	This section sh practice, the de consumer dema	This section sh still a technical	This section sh establishing ne approval of rec group monitore	This section shu inconsistent lan differing interp	The CPUC has
					×	×	
	Delete	Amend	Delete	Amend	Amend	Amend	Possibly amend
services	2882 Conditions on enhanced telco services	2882.3 Conditions on enhanced telco services	2882.5 Study less than one-minute increment billing	2885 Study on cellular calls to land line customers	2887 Boundaries of new area codes	2889.5 Telco "anti- slamming" provisions	2889.6 Inclusion of
	services telecommunications equipment in order to allow the CPUC to create a more cost effective program and to recognize the development of local competition.	Delete	services 2882 Conditions on enhanced telco services 2882.3 Conditions on enhanced telco services enhanced telco services	services 2882 Conditions on enhanced telco services 2882.3 Conditions on enhanced telco services 2882.5 Study less than one-minute increment billing	services 2882 Conditions on enhanced telco services 2882.3 Conditions on enhanced telco services 2882.5 Study less than one-minute increment billing 2885 Study on cellular billing 2885 Study on cellular billing	services 2882 Conditions on enhanced telco services 2882.3 Conditions on enhanced telco services 2882.5 Study less than one-minute increment billing 2885 Study on cellular 2885 Study on cellular customers 2887 Boundaries of new area codes	s onditions on ed telco services Conditions on ed telco services Amend ed telco services Study less than ute increment ute increment and on cellular and line ers oundaries of new Amend Amend Amend X Amend X Amend X Amend X Amend X Amend X Amend X Amend X Amend X Amend X Amend X Amend X Amend A

Report to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code **Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries**

Part II - Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

Draft

Report to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code **Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries**

Part II - Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

Discussion/Rationale	proviso goods. (See discussion under sec. 5137 below.)	Amend to clarify that gross operating revenue includes all	revenue derived from the transportation of property where the	transportation is performed under a permit issued by the CPUC.	(See discussion under sec. 5137 below.)	This section provided that a portion of the application fees paid	by motor carriers of property be transferred to the Commercial	Motor Carrier Safety Enforcement Fund. Motor carriers of	property no longer pay application fees to the CPUC. Household	Goods carriers, who still file their applications with the CPUC,	have never paid a \$500 application fee into the Safety	Enforcement Fund.	Amend to clarify that the transportation agencies referred to in	this section are household goods carriers.	Amend to delete the language dealing with the start-up of the	audit program in 1993-1995.	Due to recent federal preemption, the CPUC no longer has	economic and service regulatory authority over the transportation	of used office, store and institution furniture and fixtures (so	called "2 nd proviso goods").		Due to federal preemption, a trucker with a motor carrier of	property permit from the DMV can lawfully carry 2 nd proviso	goods. Traditionally these conds were carried by DUC licensed
Draft Language Attached		x	-										X		x		Х					×		
Proposed Change		Amend				Delete			-				Amend to clarify		Amend to delete	obsolete provisions	Amend to eliminate	the references to	"office, store and	institution furniture	and fixtures"	Add a new section to	the PU Code and a	corresponding
Section		5002 Definition of Gross	Operating Revenue			5003.3 Transfer of funds	to Commercial Motor	Carrier Safety	Enforcement Fund				5009 Authority to inspect	records	5012 Transportation Rate	Fund Audit	5109 and related sections	(5102, 5112, 5113 &	5133) Household Goods	Carriers		5137 (also Vehicle Code)	Transportation of 2 nd	proviso goods by either

Ę.

Part II - Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

		Discussion/Rationale	household goods carriers. Carriers who have a PUC household	because the DMV inst in order to carry 2^{nd} arouse mode	The carriage of 2 nd proviso goods should be permissible under	either a PUC household goods carrier permit or a DMV motor	carrier of property permit.	The section should refer to "household goods carriers" rather than	"highway carriers" to reflect the limited extent of the CPUC's	jurisdiction.	Delete the reference to "express corporation, freight forwarder,	motor transportation broker" (all entities no longer regulated by	the CPUC) and insert a reference to "household goods carriers".	This change would more accurately reflect the fact that this	section imposes a "Household Goods Carriers Uniform Business	License Tax."	Change the references to PU Code sec 4304 (repealed in 1996) to	refer to PU Code sec. 5328. Clarify that the HHG carrier only	receives a credit for a local license tax on business on which it	pays a license fee to the CPUC. (This latter change is intended	to coordinate with the proposal described above under PU Code	section 5137.)	Change the reference to PU Code sec 4304 (repealed in 1996) to	refer to PU Code sec. 5328. Delete the references to certificates	of public convenience and necessity, as HHG carriers do not have
Draft	Language	Attached D	oq		, tr	ein	car	X Th	ių, .	Jur	X De	m	the	Th	sec	Lic	x Ch	ref	rec	pay	too	sec	X Ch	refe	of
	Proposed	Change	section to the Vehicle Code					Amend to clarify			Amend to clarify						Amend to clarify						Amend to clarify		
	Section		household goods carriers or motor carriers of	property.				5326 Adequate	transportation system		5328 (b) License fee in	lieu of tax					5329 Tax credit						5331(a) Default;	suspension or revocation	of license

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O

Report to the Legislature on Revisions of the Public Utilities Code **Resulting from Restructuring of Regulated Industries**

Part II – Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

Discussion/Rationale	such certificates.	This section should be amended to ensure notification to the CPUC and other relevant state agencies of abandonment applications filed with the federal authorities.	Due to federal preemption, the CPUC generally lacks authority to regulate the service of most railroads.	Due to federal preemption, the CPUC generally lacks authority to regulate the service of most railroads.	This section should be deleted as it is not clear why a telecom corporation sale should require approval of 2/3 of stockholders, versus a simple majority.	This section should be deleted, and the CPUC has sought legislation to effectuate the change.	In light of rapid number exhaustion, this provision should be amended to reduce the advance notice period to 18 months and the final notice to 12 months, while maintaining the requirement for 3 public participation hearings to be held within 6 months of the initial notice.	This section should be amended to reflect changes in network functionalities, and to accommodate different technical means of
Draft Language Attached		X					X	X f
Proposed Change		Amend	Delete	Delete	Delete	Delete	Amend	Amend
Section		7531.5 Applications to abandon RR lines	7532 Authority to discontinue usage of RR line	7532.5 90 day notice of RR intent to abandon line	7902 Telco corp may sell rights with 2/3 stockholder support	7902.5 Telco corp report on business interests engaged in	7930 Area code changes; requirements	7931 Area code changes; notice

Contraction of the second

Part II – Table: Revisions to Existing Law Recommended by the CPUC

	Discussion/Rationale	achieving area code relief.
Draft Language	Attached	
Proposed	Change	
Section		

Part III - Draft Language

[to be submitted with 6/30/97 report]

Attachment 1

Revisions to Existing Law (by Law Revision Commission Policy Issue Categories)

[to be submitted with 6/30/97 report]

Attachment 2

Stakeholders' Comments on CPUC Draft Report

[to be submitted with 6/30/97 report]