Leg. Prog. August 4, 1995

Memorandum 95-36

1995 Legislative Program: Current Status

Attached is a chart showing the status of the Commission's 1995 legislative program.

Trial Court Unification

SCA 4 (Lockyer), the successor of SCA 3 on trial court unification, has been amended to provide for unification on a county by county basis, at the discretion of the Legislature and subject to the veto of the Governor. See Exhibit pp. 1-11.

An alternate approach to trial court unification is found in SB 162 (Lockyer), which gives the Governor authority to convert municipal court judgeships to superior court judgeships as municipal court vacancies occur. See Exhibit pp. 12-13.

The Commission's resolution of authority, currently embodied in ACR 14, has been amended so it no longer refers to SCA 3, but instead authorizes the Commission to report recommendations "pertaining to statutory changes that may be necessitated by court unification." See Exhibit pp. 14-18.

Respectfully submitted,

Nathaniel Sterling Executive Secretary

STATUS OF 1995 COMMISSION LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM (as of August 4, 1995)

ACR 14 (Rainey): Continuing Authority to Study Topics

SB 222 (Beverly): Uniform Prudent Investor Act

SB 523 (Kopp): Administrative Adjudication

SB 832 (Kopp): Debtor/Creditor Relations

SB 984 (Campbell): Power of Attorney Cleanup

SCA 4 (Lockyer): Trial Court Unification

BUDGET: AB 903 (Pringle)

| Bill Status | | | ACR 14 | SB 222 | SB 523 | SB 832 | SB 984 | SCA 4 | BUDGET |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Introduced | | | Feb 17 | Feb 6 | Feb 21 | Feb 23 | Feb 24 | 1994 | Jan 10 |
| Last Amended | | | July 11 | May 16 | July 28 | June 27 | June 12 | July 17 | |
| First House | Policy Committee | | Mar 15 | May 9 | Apr 4 | Apr 25 | Apr 25 | Mar 28 | _ |
| | Fiscal Committee | | Apr 5 | | May 1 | | | May 15 | Mar 8 |
| | Passed House | | Apr 20 | May 18 | May 11 | May 11 | May 4 | May 18 | June 1 |
| | Policy Committee | | June 20 | June 7 | July 11 | June 21 | June 21 | | _ |
| Second House | Fiscal Committee | | [Aug 21] | | [Aug 23] | _ | _ | | Mar 28 |
| | Passed House | | | June 22 | | July 6 | July 7 | | Jun 30 |
| Concurrence | | | · | | | July 15 | July 20 | | Aug 2 |
| Governor | Received | | - . | June 27 | | July 20 | July 24 | | Aug 2 |
| | Approved | | _ | July 5 | | July 30 | Aug 2 | - | Aug 3 |
| Chaptered by Date | | Date | | July 6 | | July 31 | Aug 3 | Aug 3 | |
| Secretary of State Chapte | | Chapter # | | 63 | | 196 | 300 | 303 | |

• Unless otherwise noted, all dates are in 1995

[date]: scheduled

 $\longrightarrow: not\ applicable$

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JULY 17, 1995

Senate Constitutional Amendment

No. 4

Introduced by Senator Lockyer

December 6, 1994

Senate Constitutional Amendment No. 4—A resolution to propose to the people of the State of California an amendment to the Constitution of the State, by amending Section 16 of Article I thereof, and by amending Sections 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 15, and 16 of, and adding and repealing Section 23 of, and repealing Section 5 of, Article VI thereof, relating to courts.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SCA 4, as amended, Lockyer. Courts: consolidation.

The California Constitution currently provides for superior and municipal courts, provides for their establishment and jurisdiction, and provides for the qualification and election of their judges.

This measure would authorize the Legislature to eliminate the previsions for some or all municipal courts, and instead revise the provisions provide for the establishment of unified superior courts; their establishment and jurisdiction, the number of jurors required in certain civil actions, and the qualification and election of their judges. It would also revise the number of jurors required in certain civil actions. The measure would become operative on January 1, 1998. The measure would also specify its purposes, and make related, conforming changes. The measure would also declare that its provisions are severable.

27

29

Vote: ²/₃. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

Resolved by the Senate, the Assembly concurring, That the Legislature of the State of California at its 1995-96 Regular Session commencing on the fifth day of December, 1994, two-thirds of the membership of each house concurring, hereby proposes to the people of the State of California that the Constitution of the State be amended as follows:

8 First—That Section 16 of Article I thereof is amended 9 to read:

10 SEC. 16. Trial by jury is an inviolate right and shall be secured to all, but in a civil cause three-fourths of the jury 12 may render a verdict. A jury may be waived in a criminal cause by the consent of both parties expressed in open 13 court by the defendant and the defendant's counsel. In a civil cause a jury may be waived by the consent of the parties expressed as prescribed by statute.

17 In civil causes the jury shall consist of 12 persons or a 18 lesser number agreed on by the parties in open court. In 19 civil causes other than causes within the appellate 20 jurisdiction of the court of appeal within the appellate 21 jurisdiction of the appellate division of the superior court 22 the Legislature may provide that the jury shall consist of 23 eight persons or a lesser number agreed on by the parties 24 in open court.

In criminal actions in which a felony is charged, the jury 26 shall consist of 12 persons. In criminal actions in which a misdemeanor is charged, the jury shall consist of 12 28 persons or a lesser number agreed on by the parties in open court.

Second-That Section 1 of Article VI thereof is 30 31 amended to read:

SEC. 1. The judicial power of this State is vested in 32 33 the Supreme Court, courts of appeal, and superior courts, and municipal courts, all of which are courts of record.

35 Third—That Section 4 of Article VI thereof is amended 36 to read:

— 3 **—** SCA 4

SEC. 4. In each county there is a superior court of one or more judges. The Legislature shall prescribe the number of judges and provide for the officers and employees of each superior court. If the governing body of each affected county concurs, the Legislature may provide that one or more judges serve more than one superior court.

In each superior court there is an appellate division. The Chief Justice shall assign judges to the appellate division for specified terms pursuant to rules, not inconsistent with statute, adopted by the Judicial Council to promote the independence of the appellate division.

Fourth/That Section 5 of Article VI thereof is repealed.

13

14

15

16

17

25

26

31 32

34

37

38

Fourth—That Section 5 of Article VI thereof is amended to read:

SEC. 5. (a) Each county shall be divided into 18 municipal court districts as provided by statute, but a city 19 may not be divided into more than one district. Each 20 municipal court shall have one or more judges. Each 21 municipal court district shall have no fewer than 40,000 residents; provided that each county shall have at least one municipal court district. The number of residents shall be determined as provided by statute.

(b) On the operative date of this subdivision, all existing justice courts shall become municipal courts, and the number, qualifications, and compensation of judges, officers, attachés, and employees shall continue until changed by the Legislature. Each judge of a part-time municipal court is deemed to have agreed to serve full time and shall be available for assignment by the Chief Justice for the balance of time necessary to comprise a 33 full-time workload.

(c) The Legislature shall provide for the organization and prescribe the jurisdiction of municipal courts. It shall prescribe for each municipal court the number. qualifications, and compensation of judges, officers, and employees.

(d) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), any city in San Diego County may be divided into more than one

31

34

municipal court district if the Legislature determines that unusual geographic conditions warrant such division.

(e) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the Legislature may provide by statute that the municipal and superior courts within a county are unified. In those counties, there shall be only a superior court.

Fifth—That Section 6 of Article VI thereof is amended. to read:

SEC. 6. The Judicial Council consists of the Chief 10 Justice and one other judge of the Supreme Court, 3 11 judges of courts of appeal, 10 5 judges of superior courts, 12 5 judges of municipal courts, 2 nonvoting court 13 administrators, and such other nonvoting members as 14 determined by the voting membership of the council, 15 each appointed by the Chief Justice for a 3-year term 16 pursuant to procedures established by the council; 4 17 members of the State Bar appointed by its governing 18 body for 3-year terms; and one member of each house of 19 the Legislature appointed as provided by the house. If the 20 number of municipal courts within the State falls below 21 10, the memberships on the Judicial Council otherwise 22 designated for municipal court judges shall, for subsequent appointments, be filled by judges of the superior court.

Council membership terminates if a member ceases to hold the position that qualified the member for appointment. A vacancy shall be filled by the appointing

power for the remainder of the term.

The council may appoint an Administrative Director of the Courts, who serves at its pleasure and performs functions delegated by the council or the Chief Justice, other than adopting rules of court administration, practice and procedure.

To improve the administration of justice the council 35 shall survey judicial business and make recommendations 36 to the courts, make recommendations annually to the Governor and Legislature, adopt rules for court administration, practice and procedure, and perform other functions prescribed by statute. The rules adopted shall not be inconsistent with statute.

The Chief Justice shall seek to expedite judicial business and to equalize the work of judges. The Chief Justice may provide for the assignment of any judge to another court but only with the judge's consent if the court is of lower jurisdiction. A retired judge who consents may be assigned to any court.

Judges shall report to the council as the Chief Justice directs concerning the condition of judicial business in their courts. They shall cooperate with the council and

hold court as assigned. 10

7

13

18

26

27

28

31

32

33

Sixth—That Section 8 of Article VI thereof is amended 11 12 to read:

SEC. 8. (a) The Commission on **Tudicial** Performance consists of one judge of a court of appeal and 2 judges of superior courts, each, one judge of a superior court, and one judge of a municipal court, each appointed by the Supreme Court; 2 members of the State Bar of California who have practiced law in this State for 10 years, each appointed by the governor; and 6 citizens who 20 are not judges, retired judges, or members of the State 21 Bar of California, 2 of whom shall be appointed by the Governor, 2 by the Senate Committee on Rules, and 2 by 23 the Speaker of the Assembly. Except as provided in subdivisions (b) and (c), all terms are for 4 years. No member shall serve more than 2 4-year terms, or for more than a total of 10 years if appointed to fill a vacancy. If the number of municipal courts within the State falls below 10, the membership on the Commission on Judicial Performance otherwise designated for a municipal court judge shall, for subsequent appointments, be filled by a judge of the superior court.

(b) Commission membership terminates if a member ceases to hold the position that qualified the member for appointment. A vacancy shall be filled by the appointing power for the remainder of the term. A member whose 36 term has expired may continue to serve until the vacancy has been filled by the appointing power. Appointing 38 powers may appoint members who are already serving on 39 the commission prior to March 1, 1995, to a single 2-year

6

7

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27 28

29

30 31

32 33

1 term, but may not appoint them to an additional term thereafter.

(c) To create staggered terms among the members of the Commission on Judicial Performance, the following

members shall be appointed, as follows:

(1) Two members appointed by the Supreme Court to a term commencing March 1, 1995, shall each serve a term of 2 years and may be reappointed to one full term. The superior court judge appointed to fill the vacancy 10 eaused by the measure adopted March 26, 1996, and eperative January 1, 1998 shall be appointed to an initial term equivalent to the term remaining to the municipal court judge member of the commission on December 31, 1997.

(2) One attorney appointed by the Governor to a term commencing March 1, 1995, shall serve a term of 2 years and may be reappointed to one full term.

(3) One citizen member appointed by the Governor to a term commencing March 1, 1995, shall serve a term of 2 years and may be reappointed to one full term.

(4) One member appointed by the Senate Committee on Rules to a term commencing March 1, 1995, shall serve a term of 2 years and may be reappointed to one full term.

(5) One member appointed by the Speaker of the Assembly to a term commencing March 1, 1995, shall serve a term of 2 years and may be reappointed to one full

(6) All other members shall be appointed to full 4-year terms commencing March 1, 1995.

Seventh-That Section 10 of Article VI thereof is amended to read:

SEC. 10. The Supreme Court, courts of appeal, superior courts, and their judges have original jurisdiction 34 in habeas corpus proceedings. Those courts also have original jurisdiction in proceedings for extraordinary 36 relief in the nature of mandamus, certiorari, and prohibition. The appellate division of the superior court 38 has original jurisdiction in proceedings for extraordinary 39 relief in the nature of mandamus, certiorari, and prohibition directed to the superior court in causes subject to its appellate jurisdiction.

Superior courts have original jurisdiction in all other causes except those given by statute to other trial courts.

The court may make such comment on the evidence and the testimony and credibility of any witness as in its opinion is necessary for the proper determination of the cause.

Eighth—That Section 11 of Article VI thereof is amended to read:

SEC. 11. (a) The Supreme Court has appellate jurisdiction when judgment of death has been 13 pronounced. With that exception courts of appeal have appellate jurisdiction when superior courts have original 15 jurisdiction in causes of a type within the appellate 16 jurisdiction of the courts of appeal on June 30, 1995, and 17 in other causes prescribed by statute. When appellate 18 jurisdiction in civil causes is determined by the amount 19 in controversy, the Legislature may change the appellate 20 jurisdiction of the courts of appeal by changing the 21 jurisdictional amount in controversy.

(b) Except as provided in subdivision (a), the appellate division of the superior court has appellate

24 jurisdiction in causes prescribed by statute.

(c) The Legislature may permit courts exercising 26 appellate jurisdiction to take evidence and make findings of fact when jury trial is waived or not a matter of right.

Ninth/That Section 15 of Article VI thereof is amended to read:

SEC. 15. A person is ineligible to be a judge of a court 31 of record unless for 10 years immediately preceding selection the person has been a member of the State Bar or served as a judge of a court of record in this state.

Tenth / That

3

4

8

9

10 11

22

25

28

29

30

33

34

35

37

Ninth-That Section 16 of Article VI thereof is amended to read: 36

SEC. 16. (a) Judges of the Supreme Court shall be 38 elected at large and judges of courts of appeal shall be elected in their districts at general elections at the same 40 time and places as the Governor. Their terms are 12 years

28

33

34

- beginning the Monday after January 1 following their election, except that a judge elected to an unexpired term 3 serves the remainder of the term. In creating a new court 4 of appeal district or division the Legislature shall provide that the first elective terms are 4, 8, and 12 years.
- (b) Judges of superior courts shall be elected in their 7 ecunties at general elections except as otherwise necessary to meet the requirements of federal law, in which case the Legislature, by two/thirds vote of the 10 membership of each house thereof, with the advice of 11 judges within the affected court, may provide for their 12 election in electoral subdivisions of the affected court at general elections, by the system prescribed in subdivision (d); or by any other arrangement. The Legislature may provide that an unopposed incumbent's name not appear 16 on the ballet.
- (b) (1) In counties in which there is no municipal 18 court, judges of superior courts shall be elected in their 19 counties at general elections except as otherwise 20 necessary to meet the requirements of federal law. In the 21 latter case the Legislature, by two-thirds vote of the 22 membership of each house thereof, with the advice of 23 judges within the affected court, may provide for their 24 election by the system prescribed in subdivision (d), or 25 by any other arrangement. The Legislature may provide 26 that an unopposed incumbent's name not appear on the 27 ballot.
- (2) In counties in which there is one or more 29 municipal court districts, judges of superior and 30 municipal courts shall be elected in their counties or districts at general elections. The Legislature may provide that an unopposed incumbent's name not appear on the ballot.
- (c) Terms of judges of superior courts are 6 years 35 beginning the Monday after January 1 following their 36 election. A vacancy shall be filled by election to a full term 37 at the next general election after the second January 1 38 following the vacancy, but the Governor shall appoint a person to fill the vacancy temporarily until the elected 40 judge's term begins.

(d) Within 30 days before August 16 preceding the 1 expiration of the judge's term, a judge of the Supreme Court or a court of appeal may file a declaration of candidacy to succeed to the office presently held by the judge. If the declaration is not filed, the Governor before September 16 shall nominate a candidate. At the next general election, only the candidate so declared or nominated may appear on the ballot, which shall present the question whether the candidate shall be elected. The 10 candidate shall be elected upon receiving a majority of 11 the votes on the question. A candidate not elected may 12 not be appointed to that court but later may be 13 nominated and elected.

The Governor shall fill vacancies in those courts by appointment. An appointee holds office until the Monday after January 1 following the first general election at which the appointee had the right to become a candidate 18 or until an elected judge qualifies. A nomination or appointment by the Governor is effective when confirmed by the Commission on Judicial Appointments.

Electors of a county, by majority of those voting and in a manner the Legislature shall provide, may make this system of selection applicable to judges of superior courts.

Eleventh / That

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Tenth—That Section 23 is added to Article VI thereof, to read:

SEC. 23. (a) The purpose of the repeal of Section 5, 28 and the amendments to Sections 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 15, and 29 16, of this article, and the amendments to Section 16 of 30 Article I, approved at the March 26, 1996, general election 31 is to permit the Legislature to abolish the municipal 32 courts and unify their operations within the superior 33 courts. Notwithstanding Section 8 of Article IV, the 34 implementation of, and orderly transition under, the 35 provisions of the measure adding this section may include 36 urgency statutes that create or abolish offices or change 37 the salaries, terms, or duties of offices, or grant franchises 38 or special privileges, or create vested rights or interests, 39 where otherwise permitted under this Constitution.

4

7

16

17

21

23

25

26

27

28

29

30

34

37

(b) On January 1, 1998, the judgeships in each municipal court in a county are abolished and the previously selected municipal court judges shall become

(b) When the superior and municipal courts within a 5 county are unified, the judgeships in each municipal 6 court in that county are abolished and the previously selected municipal court judges shall become judges of 8 the superior court in that county. The term of office of a previously selected municipal court judge is not affected 10 by taking office as a judge of the superior court. The 11 10-year membership or service requirement of Section 15 12 does not apply to a previously selected municipal court 13 judge. Pursuant to Section 6, the Judicial Council may prescribe appropriate education and training for judges with regard to trial court unification.

(e) Subject to contrary action pursuant to statute, on January 1, 1998, in each preexisting superior and

18 municipal: 19

(c) Except as provided by statute to the contrary, in any county in which the superior and municipal courts become unified, the following shall occur automatically in each preexisting superior and municipal court:

(1) Previously selected officers, employees, and other personnel who serve the court become the officers and

employees of the superior court.

(2) Preexisting court locations are retained as superior court locations.

(3) Preexisting court records become records of the superior court.

(4) Pending actions, trials, proceedings, and other business of the court become pending in the superior court under the procedures previously applicable to the matters in the court in which the matters were pending.

(5) Matters of a type previously within the appellate jurisdiction of the superior court remain within the 36 jurisdiction of the appellate division of the superior court.

(6) Matters of a type previously subject to rehearing by a superior court judge remain subject to rehearing by a superior court judge, other than the judge who originally heard the matter.

(7) Penal Code procedures that necessitate superior 2 court review of, or action based on, a ruling or order by a municipal court judge shall be performed by a superior court judge other than the judge who originally made the ruling or order.

(d) This section shall be operative until January 1,

2003, and as of that date is repealed.

Twelfth / That

5

6 7

8

Eleventh-That Sections 1 to 10 9, inclusive, of this 9 10 measure shall become operative on January 1, 1998.

11 Thirteenth / That

Twelfth—That if any provision of this measure or its 12 application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, 13 14 the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of this measure that can be given effect 16 without the invalid provision or application, and to this 17 end the provisions of this measure are severable.

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JULY 19, 1995 AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 30, 1995 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 20, 1995

SENATE BILL

No. 162

Introduced by Senator Lockyer

January 30, 1995

An act to add Section 68083 to the Government Code, relating to courts.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 162, as amended, Lockyer. Courts: municipal and superior court judges.

Existing law specifies the number of municipal and superior

court judgeships for each county.

This bill would authorize the Governor, upon the occurrence of a vacancy in a municipal court judgeship, to reduce the number of municipal court judgeships and increase the number of superior court judgeships by one, as specified.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.

State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 68083 is added to the
- 2 Government Code, to read:
- 68083. (a) Upon the occurrence of a vacancy in a
- 4 municipal court judgeship, other than the sole remaining

12

13

17

18

municipal court judgeship for the county, if the Governor finds there are sufficient funds for the conversion of a municipal court judgeship into a superior court judgeship and finds that the administration of justice would be advanced by such a conversion, the number of municipal court judges for the county shall then be reduced by one and the number of superior court judges for the county shall be increased by one. Prior to making a determination, the Governor shall consider the following 9 10 factors:

(1) The geographic separation of the two courts.

(2) The fiscal impact of the conversion.

(3) The existence of a coordination plan approved pursuant to Section 68112 of the Government Code that permits blanket cross-assignment of superior court judges and municipal court judges to assist in the timely processing of cases before all of the courts in the county.

(b) A superior court vacancy created pursuant to 19 subdivision (a) may not be filled by a former municipal 20 court judge who retired or resigned from office until at least three years have elapsed since his or her resignation 22 or retirement.

(c) The Governor's finding shall become effective when received by the Secretary of State.

25 (e) 26

(d) When a finding by the Governor that a position should be reallocated takes effect, the Judicial Council shall reallocate to the superior court the funding in support of the municipal court salary and the chamber staff positions as well as any other required funding.

AMENDED IN SENATE JULY 11, 1995

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE-1995-96 REGULAR SESSION

Assembly Concurrent Resolution

No. 14

Introduced by Assembly Member Rainey

February 17, 1995

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 14—Relative to the California Law Revision Commission.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

ACR 14, as amended, Rainey. California Law Revision Commission.

Existing law requires the California Law Revision Commission to file a report at each Regular Session of the Legislature containing a calendar of topics selected by it for study, including a list of studies in progress and a list of topics intended for future consideration, and, after the filing of the commission's first report, its studies are confined to topics set forth in the calendar contained in its last preceding report that are thereafter approved for its study, or referred to it for study, by concurrent resolution of the Legislature.

This measure would give legislative approval to the commission to continue its study of numerous, specified topics that the Legislature has previously authorized or directed the commission to study.

Fiscal committee: yes.

- 1 WHEREAS, The California Law Revision Commission
- 2 is authorized to study only topics set forth in the calendar

12

13

15

27

29

30

31

contained in its report to the Governor and the Legislature that are thereafter approved for study by concurrent resolution of the Legislature, and topics that have been referred to the commission for study by concurrent resolution of the Legislature; and

WHEREAS, The commission, in its annual report covering its activities for 1994, lists 24 topics, all of which the Legislature has previously authorized or directed the

commission to study; now, therefore, be it 10

Resolved by the Assembly of the State of California, the Senate thereof concurring, That the Legislature approves for continued study by the California Law Revision Commission the topics listed below, all of which the Legislature has previously authorized or directed the commission to study:

- (1) Whether the law relating to creditors' remedies 16 (including, but not limited to, attachment, garnishment, 17 18 execution, repossession of property (including the claim and delivery statute, self-help repossession of property, 19 20 and the Commercial Code repossession of property 21 provisions), civil arrest, confession of judgment procedures, default judgment procedures, enforcement of judgments, the right of redemption, procedures under 23 private power of sale in a trust deed or mortgage, 24 25 possessory and nonpossessory liens, and related matters) 26 should be revised.
- (2) Whether the California Probate Code should be revised, including, but not limited to, whether California 28 should adopt, in whole or in part, the Uniform Probate Code.
- (3) Whether the law relating to real and personal 32 property (including, but not limited to, a Marketable Title Act, covenants, servitudes, conditions, 34 restrictions on land use or relating to land, possibilities of 35 reverter, powers of termination, Section 1464 of the Civil 36 Code, escheat of property and the disposition of unclaimed or abandoned property, eminent domain, 38 quiet title actions, abandonment or vacation of public streets and highways, partition, rights and duties 40 attendant upon assignment, subletting, termination, or

abandonment of a lease, powers of appointment, and related matters) should be revised.

(4) Whether the law relating to family law (including, but not limited to, community property) should be 5 revised.

6

15

19

21

26

27

29

32

- (5) Whether the law relating to the award of prejudgment interest in civil actions and related matters should be revised.
- 9 (6) Whether the law relating to class actions should be revised. 10
- 11 (7) Whether the law relating to offers of compromise should be revised.
- 13 (8) Whether the law relating to discovery in civil cases 14 should be revised.
- (9) Whether a summary procedure should be 16 provided by which property owners can remove doubtful or invalid liens from their property, including a provision for payment of attorneys' fees to the prevailing party.

(10) Whether acts governing special assessments for public improvements should be simplified and unified.

- (11) Whether the law on injunctions and related matters should be revised.
- (12) Whether the law relating to the rights and disabilities of minor and incompetent persons should be revised.
- (13) Whether the law relating to custody of children, adoption, guardianship, freedom from parental custody and control, and related matters should be revised.
 - (14) Whether the Evidence Code should be revised.
- 30 (15) Whether the law relating to arbitration should be 31 revised.
- (16) Whether the decisional, statutory, 33 constitutional rules governing the liability of public 34 entities for inverse condemnation should be revised (including, but not limited to, liability for damages 36 resulting from flood control projects) and whether the 37 law relating to the liability of private persons under similar circumstances should be revised.
- 39 (17) Whether there should changes to administrative law.

11

25

26 27

28

30

31

- 1 (18) Whether the law relating to the payment and the shifting of attorneys' fees between litigants should be 3 revised.
- 4 (19) Whether the law relating to the adjudication of child and family civil proceedings should be revised. 5
- 6 (20) Whether the Uniform Unincorporated Nonprofit Association Act, or parts of the Uniform Act, and related matters should be adopted in California.
- (21) Whether the law governing unfair competition 10 litigation under Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17200) of Part 2 of Division 7 of the Business and 12 Professions Code should be revised to clarify the scope of 13 the chapter and to resolve procedural problems in 14 litigation under the chapter, including the res judicata 15 and collateral estoppel effect on the public of a judgment 16 between the parties to the litigation, and related matters.
- 17 (22) Whether the requirement of paragraph (2) of 18 subdivision (b) of Section 800 of the Corporations Code 19 that the plaintiff in a shareholder's derivative action must allege the plaintiff's efforts to secure board action or the 21 reasons for not making the effort, and the standard under Section 309 of the Corporations Code for protection of a director from liability for a good faith business judgment, 24 and related matters, should be revised.
 - (23) The proposed amendment to the Constitution as eentained in SCA 3 (Lockyer) of the 1993/94 Regular Session, pertaining to the unification of the trial courts, with recommendations forwarded to the Legislature on February 1, 1994, pertaining to the appropriate composition of the amendment, and further recommendations
- 32 (23) Recommendations to be reported pertaining to statutory changes that may be necessitated by court 34 unification.
- 35 (24) Whether Section 351 of the Code of Civil Procedure, relating to tolling statutes of limitations while the defendant is out of state, and related matters should 38 be revised; and be it further

- 1 Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly 2 transmit a copy of this resolution to the California Law 3 Revision Commission.