Memorandum 75-75

Subject: Annual Report

At the last meeting, the Commission requested that the staff revise the Annual Report to provide a summary of the work of the Commission at the beginning of the report and to rearrange the various portions of the report. Attached is a draft of the revised report. We will, of course, have to further revise the report to reflect the recommendations that actually will be submitted to the 1976 session of the Legislature.

If the Commission desires that the summary of the work of the Commission appear immediately after the letter of transmittal, it could be located there. We could print the page containing the summary on gray paper at no increase in the cost of printing. We have organized the report in the attached form because we believe that the introduction provides useful information.

We have arranged the portions of the report generally in the order in which the matters covered are discussed in the summary. Is this arrangement satisfactory?

The Annual Report (including the various recommendations that will be included in the Annual Report) must be approved for printing at the November meeting if it is to be available in printed form about April 1, 1976.

Respectfully submitted,

John H. DeMoully Executive Secretary

Annual Report

December 974

Cataborania antiborado o trasser e e Sondanio accessos montes Calabaranos de 19 A CONTRACTOR

CALIFORNIA LAY HE TO IN COMMISSION

The state of the s

There into I Mis

To: THE HUNORABLE EDMUND G. HROWN JR Governor of California and THE LEGISLATURE OF CALIFORNIA

In conformity with Government Code Section 10335, the California Law Revision Commission herewith submits this report of its activities during 197\$\mathcal{E}\$

This report was printed during the first week of December 1975 so that it would be available in printed form early in January 1976. Accordingly, it does not reflect changes in Commission membership after December 1 1975.

Respectfully submitted, MARC SANDSTROM Chairman

CONTENTS

N'I RODUC	rioil
OMMA	RY ON WORK OF COMMERSION.
SUBI NJEIDEL	TIVE DISTORY OF RECOMMENDATIONS ATTITED TO 1975 LEGISLATIVE SESSION
En :	nest Dezain
Ore	il Modification of Written Contracts
Fey	ment of Judgments Against Local Public Entities
¥16	w by Trier of Fact in a Civil Case
Evi	GODICO
	Good cause exception to the physician-patient privilege
	Admirability of emples of business records in evidence
Eac	hest-Travelors Checks, Modey Orders, and Stailer Written Instruments
(!t=:	ditors' Pensilos
	Nage granishment exceptions
	Projudgment attachueni
3517	tivion of Real and Personal Property
Ros	solutions Approving Topics for Study
We - F.	COSLATIVE PROPER IM
r j	ET ON STATUTES REPEALED BY ELECATION OF MELD CONSTITUTIONAL
	TOAR OF TOPICS FOR STUDY
o Off To	os Authorized for Study
	TION AND PROCEDURE OF COMMISSION
PERSC	NNEL OF COMMISSION

APPENDICES

- I. Current Topics--Prior Publications and Legislative Action [see pages 29-36 infra of this draft]
- II. Legislative Action on Commission Recommendations (Cumulative) [see pages 37-47 infra of this draft]
- III. Recommendation Relating to Admissibility of Copies of Business Records in Evidence (January 1975)
- IV. Extract from Report of Assembly Committee on Judiciary on Assembly Bills 11, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 266, and 278
- V. Extract from Report of Senate Committee on Judiciary on Assembly Bills 11, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 266, and 278
- VI. Extract from Report of Senate Committee on Judiciary on Senate Bill 294
- VII. Report of Assembly Committee on Judiciary on Assembly Bill 73
- VIII. Recommendation Relating to Turnover Orders Under the Claim and Delivery Law (June 1975)
 - IX. Recommendation Relating to Relocation Assistance by Private Condemnors (October 1975)
 - X. Recommendation Relating to Condemnation for Byroads and Utility Easements (Getober 1975)
 - XI. Recommendation Relating to Transfer of Out-of-State Trusts to California (October 1975)
- XII. Recommendation Relating to Admissibility of Duplicates in Evidence (November 1975)
- XIII. Recommendation Relating to Undertakings for Costs (November 1975)
 - XIV. Recommendation Relating to Liquidated Damages (November 1975)
 - XV. Recommendation Relating to Oral Mcdification of Contracts (November 1975)
 - XVI. Recommendation Relating to the Claims Presentation Requirement in Inverse Condemnation Actions (November 1975)

ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR 1975

INTRODUCTION

The primary objective of the California Law Revision Commission is to study the statutory and decisional law of this state to discover defects and anathronisms and to recommend legislation to effect needed reforms.

The Commission consists of a Member of the Senate appointed by the Committee on Rules, a Member of the Assembly appointed by the Speaker, and seven additional members appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate. The Legislative Counsel is an ex officion nonvoting member of the Commission.

The Commission assists the Legislature in keeping the law up to date by intensively studying complex and controversial subjects. Identifying major policy questions for legislative attention, gathering the views of interested persons and organizations, and drafting recommended legislation for legislative consideration. The efforts of the Commission permit the Legislature to determine significant policy questions rather than to concern itself with the technical problems in preparing background studies, working out intricate legal problems, and drafting needed legislation. The Commission thus enables the Legislature to accomplish needed reforms that otherwise might not be made because of the heavy demands on legislative time. In some cases, the Commission's study discloses that no new legislation on a particular topic is needed, thus relieving the Legislature of the need to study the topic.

The Commission may study only topics that the Legislature by concurrent resolution authorizes it to study. The Commission now has an agenda of 22 topics, including five new topics added by the Legislature at the 1975 session.

Commission recommendations have resulted in the enactment of legislation affecting 4,057 sections of the California statutes: 1,615 sections have been added, 853 sections amended, and 1,589 sections repealed.

SUMMARY OF WORK OF COMMISSION

During 1975, the Law Revision Commission was engaged in two principal tasks:

- (i) Presentation of its legislative program to the Legislature.
- (2) Work on various assignments given to the Commission by the Legislature.

At the 1975 session, two resolutions and 21 bills were introduced upon recommendation of the Commission. Both of the resolutions were adopted; 17 of the bills were enacted; one bill was held over to the 1976 session; and three bills were held in committee. The 17 bills enacted in 1975 (which added, amended, or repealed approximately 750 sections) dealt with a wide variety of subjects: A new comprehensive eminent domain law was enacted as were bills relating to evidence; modification of contracts; escheat of amounts payable on travelers checks, money orders, and similar instruments; payment of judgments by local public entities; and out-of-court views by judge or jury.

The Commission plans to submit 11 recommendations to the 1976 session. These recommendations deal with partition of real and personal property, attachment, turnover orders under the claim and delivery law, relocation assistance by private condemnors, condemnation for byroads and utility easements, admissibility of duplicates in evidence, transfer of out-of-state trusts to California, undertakings for costs, liquidated damages, oral modification of contracts, and the claims presentation requirement in inverse condemnation actions.

During 1976, the Commission plans to devote the major portion of its time and resources to the study of nonprofit corporation law. Other topics that will be under active study during 1976 include creditors' remedies; condemnation law and procedure; evidence; and child custody, adoption, guardianship, and related matters.

The Commission also has been engaged in a continuing study, made pursuant to Section 10331 of the Government Code, to determine whether any statutes of the state have been held by the Supreme Court of the United States or by the Supreme Court of California to be unconstitutional or to have been impliedly repealed.

During 1975, the Commission held nine separate meetings, consisting of 21 days of working sessions.



LEGISLATIVE HISTORY OF RECOMMENDATIONS SUBMITTED TO 1975 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Twenty-one bills and two concurrent resolutions were introduced to effectuate the Commission's recommendations during 1975. The concurrent resolutions were adopted, 17 of the bills were enected, one bill was held over for hearing in 1976, and three bills were not enacted.

Eminent Domain

Eleven bills--Assembly Bills 11, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 266, and 278--were introduced by Assemblyman Aliseer McAlister to effectuate the Commission's recommendations on this subject. See Recommendation Promoting the Eminent Detain Law, 12 Cal. L. Revision Commin Reports 1601 (1974). A number of substantive, technical, and clarifying amendments were made before the bills were enacted. The Assembly Judiciary Committee and the Senate Judiciary Committee adopted apacial reports revising the official Comments. See Report of Assembly to Judiciary on Assembly Vills 11, 124, 125, 126, 127, 123, 12, 120, 701, 165, and 278, Assembly J. (May 19, 1975) at 5183; Report of See to Go at the Committee of Judiciary on Assembly Fills 11, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 12, 120, 131, 135, 201 Legs, Senate J. (Aug. 14, 1975) at 6537.

According Bill 11, which proposed the ensciment of a new, comprehensive emission describe tracture, was enacted as Chapter 1275 of the Statutes of 1975.

The amendments to the bill are not detailed here because the Commission plans to publish, in cooperation with the California Continuing Education of the Bar, a pamphlet containing the statute as enacted with the official Comments.

^{1.} The Commission had planned to submit recommendations to the 1975 Legislature relating to inverse condemnation (claims presentation requirement), liquidated damages, prejudgment attachment, and wage garnishment procedure. See Annual Report (Dacember 1974), 12 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports at 512-513 (1974). However, the Commission was unable to prepare these recommendations in time to permit their submission in 1975. The Commission plans to submit two of the recommendations to the 1976 Legislature. See "1976 Legislative Program" infra.

Assembly Bills 266 (state agency condemnation) and 278 (conforming amendments to codified sections) were enacted as Chapters 1239 and 1240 of the
Statutes of 1975. A number of substantive, technical, and clarifying amendments
were made to the bills before they were enacted. These amendments likewise are
not detailed here because they will be included in the pamphlet containing
the statute as enacted with official Comments.

Assembly Bills 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, and 131, making conforming changes in special district statutes, were enacted as Chapters 584, 581, 585, 1176, 582, 586, 587, and 1276, respectively, of the Statutes of 1975.

A few technical amendments were made before the bills were enacted. For revisions made in the Comments to various sections of these bills, see the extract from the Assembly and Senate Committee Reports set out as Appendix IV and Appendix V to this Report.

Oral Modification of Written Contracts

Two bills were introduced by Assemblyman McAlister at the 1975 session to effectuate the recommendation of the Commission on this subject. See Recommendation and Study Relating to Oral Modification of Written Contracts, 13 Cal. L. Revision Commin Reports 301 (1976).

Assembly Bill 74, which became Chapter 7 of the Statutes of 1975, was introduced to effectuate the Commission's recommendation concerning Section 2209 of the Commercial Code. The bill was enacted as introduced.

Assembly Bill 75 was introduced to effectuate the Commission's recommendations concerning Section 1698 of the Civil Code. The bill was not enacted. The Commission plans to submit a revised recommendation on this subject to the 1975 Legislature. See Recommendation Relating to Oral Modification of Contracts (November 1975), published as Appendix XV to this Report.

Payment of Judgments Against Local Public Entities

Schate Bill 607, which became Chapter 285 of the Statutes of 1975, was introduced by Senator Alfred H. Song to effectuate the recommendation of the Commission on this subject. See <u>Recommendation Relating to Payment of Judaments</u>

Against Local Public Entities, 12 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 575 (1974).

The bill was enacted as introduced.

View by Trier of Fact in a Civil Case

Senate Bill 294, which became Chapter 301 of the Statutes of 1975, was introduced by Senator Robert S. Stevens to effectuate the recommendation of the Commission on this subject. See Recommendation Relating to View by Trier of Pact in a Civil Case, 12 Cal. L. Revision Commin Reports 587 (1974); Report of Senate Committee on Judiciary on Senate Bill 294, Senate J. (March 13, 1975) at 1852, reprinted as Appendix VI to this Report. Assembly Bill 294 was amended before enactment to revise the language of subdivision (b) of Section 651, which the bill proposed to add to the Code of Civil Procedure.

Evidence

Two bills relating to evidence were introduced in 1975.

Good cause exception to physician-patient privilege. Assembly Bill 73, which because Chapter 318 of the Statutes of 1975, was introduced by Assemblyman McAlister to effectuate the recommendation of the Commission on this subject. See Recommendation Relating to the Good Cause Exception to the Physician-Patient Privilege, 12 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 601 (1974); Report of Assembly Committee on Judiciary on Assembly Bill 73, Assembly J. (Feb. 27, 1975) at 1352, reprinted as Appendix VII to this Report. Before enactment, Assembly Bill 73 was amended to revise Section 999 of the Evidence Code to read: "There is no privilege under this article as to a communication relevant to an issue concerning the condition of the patient in a proceeding to

recover damages on account of the conduct of the patient if good cause for disclosure of the communication is shown."

Admissibility of copies of Iness records in evidence. Assembly Bill 974 was introduced by Assemblyman McAlister to effectuate the recommendation of the Commission on this subject. See Recommendation Relating to Admissibility of Copies of Business Records in Evidence (January 1975), published as Appendix III to this Report. The bill was not enacted.

Escheat -- Travelers Checks, Money Orders, and Similar Instruments

Assembly Bill 192, which became Chapter 25 of the Statutes of 1975, was introduced by Assemblyman McAlister to effectuate the recommendation of the Commission on this subject. See Recommendation Relating to Escheat of Amounts Payable on Travelers Checks, Money Orders, and Similar Instruments, 12 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 609 (1974). Assembly Bill 192 was amended before enactment to delete the recommended amendments to Sections 1530 and 1532. Other technical amendments were made.

Croditors' Remedies

Two bills were introduced on this subject in 1975.

Make garmishment exemptions. Assembly Bill 90 was introduced by Assembly-man Healister to effectuate the Commission's recommendation concerning this subject. See Recommendation Relating to Wage Garnishment Exemptions, 12 Cal.

L. Revision Comm'n Reports 901 (1974). The bill was not enacted. It passed the Assembly but was held in the Senate Judiciary Committee.

Prejudgment attachment. Assembly Bill 919, which was introduced by Assemblyman McAlister, was amended to delay the operative date of the new attachment law (Chapter 1516 of the Statutes of 1974) from January 1, 1976, to January 1, 1977, and to continue the operative effect of Chapter 550 of the Statutes of 1972 (which revises the attachment law) from December 31, 1975, to December 31, 1976.

Assembly Bill 919, which became Chapter 200 of the Statutes of 1975, was recommended by the Law Revision Commission. The Commission plans to submit a number of amendments--mostly technical--to the new attachment law for enactment by the 1976 Legislature. The Commission recommended the delay in the operative date of the new attachment law in order to avoid the need for lawyers and others to become familiar with the new law in 1976 and then study it again one year later in 1977 to determine the changes made. Also, the delayed operative date avoided the cost of reprinting revised forms to reflect the amendments that will be proposed at the 1976 session. For the recommendation on this subject to be submitted to the 1976 Legislature, see Recommendation Relating to Revision of the Attachment Law (November 1975), to be reprinted in 13 Cal. L. Revision Commin Reports 801 (1976).

Partition of Real and Personal Property

Assembly Bill 1671 was introduced by Assemblyman McAlister to effectuate the recommendation of the Commission on this subject. See Recommendation Estating to Partition of Real and Personal Property, 13 Cal. L. Revision Commin Reports 401 (1976). The bill was pending in the Assembly when the Legislature recessed in September 1975. It will be set for hearing by the Assembly Judiciary Committee when the Legislature meets in 1976.

Resolutions Approving Topics for Study

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 17, introduced by Assemblyman McAlister and adopted as Resolution Chapter 15 of the Statutes of 1975, authorizes the Commission to continue its study of topics previously authorized for study and to study five new topics (out-of-state trusts, class actions, offers of compromise, discovery in civil actions, and possibilities of reverter and powers of termination). The resolution also approved the removal of one topic (right of nonresident aliens to inherit) from the Commission's calendar of topics.

Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 86, introduced by Assemblyman McAlister and adopted as Resolution Chapter 82 of the Statutes of 1975, authorizes the Commission to study a new topic and related matters—whether a Marketable Title Act should be enacted in California and the related topics whether the law relating to covenants and servitudes relating to land and the law relating to neminal, remote, and obsolete covenants, conditions, and restrictions on land use should be revised.

1976 LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

The Commission plans to submit the following recommendations to the 1976 Legislature:

- (1) Recommendation Relating to Partition of Real and Personal Property (January 1975), to be reprinted in 13 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 401 (1976). Assembly Bill 1671 was introduced at the 1975-76 Regular Session to effectuate this recommendation.
- (2) <u>Recommendation Relating to Revision of the Attachment Law</u> (November 1975), to be reprinted in 13 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 801 (1976).
- (3) Recommendation Relating to Turnover Orders Under the Claim and Delivery Law (June 1975), published as Appendix VIII to this Report.
- (4) Recommendation Relating to Relocation Assistance by Private Condemnors (October 1975), published as Appendix IX to this Report.
- (5) Recommendation Relating to Condemnation for Byroads and Utility Easements (October 1975), published as Appendix X to this Report.
- (6) Recommendation Relating to Transfer of Out-of-State Trusts to California (October 1975), published as Appendix XI to this Report.
- (7) <u>Recommendation Relating to Admissibility of Duplicates in Evidence</u> (November 1975), published as Appendix XII to this Report.
- (8) <u>Recommendation Relating to Undertakings for Costs</u> (November 1975), published as Appendix XIII to this Report.
- (9) Recommendation Relating to Liquidated Damages (November 1975), published as Appendix XIV to this Report.
- (10) <u>Recommendation Relating to Oral Modification of Contracts</u> (November 1975), published as Appendix XV to this Report.
- (11) <u>Recommendation Relating to the Claims Presentation Requirement in Inverse Condemnation Actions</u> (November 1975), published as Appendix XVI to this Report.

REPORT ON STATUTAL FERRILLS SK ANDRE DESIGNATION OF THE SERVICE OF T

Section 10%) of the Covera new Cade provides:

The Commonder restler common tree apprece repeat of all statutes repeated by implience, or held unconstitutional by the Septemb Combot the Motors or the Legion e Combot the United States

Pursuant to did directive the Commission has made a study of the densions of the Sequence Court of the Indical Seates and of the Suprema Court of Uniforms, banded down since the Commission's last Annual Report was prepared. It has the following to report:

- (1) No decision of the Supreme Court of the United States holding a statute of this state unconstitutional has been found. 2
- (2) One decision of the Supreme Court of California indicates that a statute of this state has been repealed by implication. 3

Gould v. Grubb, in holding unconstitutional a charter provision of the City of Santa Monica giving preferential ballot position to incumbents seeking reelection, noted that "the state statutes providing

^{1.} This study has been carried through 95 S. Ct. 2683 (Aug. 1, 1975) and 15 Cal.3d 321 (Oct. 14, 1975).

^{2.} Faretta v. California, U.S.., 95 S. Ct. 2525 (1975), reversed a California grand theft conviction where the trial court had refused the defendant's request to represent himself. The Court announced a constitutional right of self-representation. California by statute denies the right of self-representation in capital cases. See Penal Code §§ 686(2), 686.1, 859, 987. Faretta, a noncapital case, did not hold these sections unconstitutional, but that is the clear import of the decision.

Breed v. Jones, U.S., 95 S. Ct. 1779 (1975), held on federal habeas corpus that a California juvenile was once placed in jeopardy by a juvenile adjudicatory hearing and could not be later tried as an adult for the same offense. In so doing, however, the Court invalidated no statute.

^{3.} Repeal by implication occurs when a statutory enactment, although making no express reference to a prior statute on the same subject, is clearly inconsistent with the prior statute and cannot be reconciled with it. See 45 Cal. Jur.2d, <u>Statutes</u> §§ 77-79, at 595-508 (1958).

^{4. 14} Cal.3d 661, 536 P.2d 1337, 122 Cal. Rptr. 377 (1975).

preferential ballot position to incumbents have been repealed" by Govern5 6
ment Code Section 89000, which forbids such preference. Preferential
ballot position has been afforded to incumbents by Elections Code Sections
10202 (state, district, or county elections) and 22870 (municipal elections). Since these sections are inconsistent with Government Code
Section 89000, they are repealed by implication.

(3) Eight decisions of the Supreme Court of California held statutes of 8 this state unconstitutional.

^{5.} Gould v. Grubb, 14 Cal.3d 661, 667 n.5, 536 P.2d 1337, n.5, 122 Cal. Rptr. 377, 380 n.5 (1975).

Government Code Section 89000 provides: "Any provision of law to the contrary notwithstanding, the order of names of candidates on the ballot in every election shall be determined without regard to whether the candidate is an incumbent." This section was enacted as part of the "Political Reform Act of 1974," see Govt. Code § 81000, a statewide initiative reasure (Proposition 9) approved at the June 4, 1974, primary election, effective January 7, 1975.

^{4.} Although Proposition 9 expressly repealed numerous provisions of the Elections Code, Sections 10202 and 23870 were not among them. Thus, the repeal is a repeal by implication. By Chapter of the Statutes of 1975, Sections 10200 through 10343 are repealed and replaced by new Sections 10200 through 10234 which eliminate incombent ballot preference for state, district, and county elections and replace it with a rotating almbabetical system or a chance drawing.

S. Your other California Supreme court decisions imposed constitutional qualifications on the application or administration of state statutes with out invalidating any statutory language: Murguia v. Municipal Court, 15 Cal.3d 286, P.2d , Cal. Rptr. (1975)(criminal defendant has constitutional right to raise defense of intentional selective enforcement of menal statutes); United Farm Workers of America v. Superior Court, 14 Cal.3d 902, P.2d Rptr. (1975)(temporary restraining order affecting substantial free speech interests may not issue ex parte under Code of Civil Procedure Section 527 unless applicant shows reasonable, good faith effort to afford opposing party or counsel notice and opportunity to be heard); In re Shapiro, 14 Cal.3d 711, 537 P.2d 888, 122 Cal. Rptr. 768 (1975) (due process requires prompt disposition of parole revocation proceedings where California parolee is convicted and imprisoned in another jurisdiction for crime committed while on parole); In re Rodriguez, 14 Cal.3d 639, 537 P.2d 384, 122 Cal. Rptr. 552 (1975) (although life-maximum penalty provision of Penal Code Section 288 was not unconstitutional on its face, its administration by Adult Authority under Indeterminate Sentence Law resulting in 22 years' imprisonment in this case constituted cruel and unusual punishment under California Constitution).

Santa Barbara School District v. Superior Court, held that Education Code Section 1009.6, which provided that "[n]o public school student shall, because of his race, creed, or color, be assigned to or be required to attend a particular school, "10 is unconstitutional as applied to school districts manifesting either de jure or de facto racial segregation.

In re Lisa R. 11 held that Evidence Code Section 661, which created a presumption, that the child of a married woman is a legitimate child of that marriage and allows the presumption to be disputed by a class of persons which does not include the natural father, is an unconstitutional denial of the natural father's right under the due process clause to show that he was the parent of the child.

In the companion cases of <u>People v. Feagley</u>, ¹³ and <u>People v. Bonneville</u>, ¹⁴ the California Supreme Court held unconstitutional the provisions of Welfare and Institutions Code Section 6321, authorizing involuntary commitment of a mentally disordered sex offender upon a three-fourths verdict of the jury, as being in conflict with the equ.l protection clauses of the United States and California Constitutions and the due process clause and the implied requirement

^{9. 13} Cal.3d 315, 530 P.2d 605, 118 Cal. Rptr. 637 (1975).

^{10.} Education Code Saction 1009.6 was adopted as an initiative measure at the general election of November 7, 1972. Santa Barbara School Dist. v. Superior Court, 13 Cal.3d 315, 322, 530 P.2d 605, 611, 115 Cal. hptr. 637, 643 (1975). Any legislative repeal or emendment, therefore, must be resubmitted to the voters. Cal. Const., Art. 4, § 24(c).

^{11. 13} Cal.3d 636, 532 P.2d 123, 119 Cal. Rptr. 475 (1975).

^{12.} By Chapter of the Statutes of 1975, operative July 1, 1976, Evidence Code Section 661 is repealed and its rebuttable presumption is revised and reenacted in new Civil Code Section 7004(a)(1). The unconstitutionally restrictive limitation of Section 661 on the class of persons permitted to establish paternity is considerably broadened in new Civil Code Section 7006 and would include the person claiming to be the natural father in In re Lisa R., 13 Cal.3d 636, 532 P.2d 123, 119 Cal. Rptr. 475 (1975).

^{13. 14} Cal.3d 338, 535 P.2d 373, 121 Cal. Rptr. 509 (1975).

^{14. 14} Cal. 3d 384, 535 P.2d 404, 121 Cal. Rptr. 540 (1975).

of the California Constitution of a unanimous jury verdict for a criminal conviction. The <u>Feagley</u> case further held that the portions of Welfare and Institutions Code Sections 6316 and 6326 authorizing indefinite confinement in a prison setting of a mentally disordered sex offender were unconstitutional under the cruel and unusual punishment clauses of the United States and California Constitutions. 16

Beaudreau v. Superior Court 17 held unconstitutional Government Code Sections 947 and 951, the provisions of the California Tort Claims Act which require the filing of an undertaking for costs by the plaintiff upon demand in an action against a public entity (Section 947) or a public employee or former public

^{15.} In a third companion case, People v. Burnick, 14 Cal.3d 306, 535 P.2d 352, 121 Cal. Rptr. 468 (1975), the court held that, in mentally disordered sex offender proceedings, the criminal standard of proof (beyond reasonable doubt) was constitutionally compelled by the due process clauses of the United States and California Constitutions. Accord. People v. Bonneville, 14 Cal.3d 384, 386, 535 P.2d 404, 405, 121 Cal. Rptr. 540, 541 (1975). The court noted that, in such proceedings, Welfare and Institutions Code Section 6321 ("[t]he trial shall be had as provided by law for the trial for civil causes") and Evidence Code Section 115 ("[e]xcept as otherwise provided by law, the burden of proof requires proof by a preponderance of the evidence") allow for a burden of proof heavier than the civil standard to be established by judicial decision. By such construction, the court in Burnick was able to sustain the constitutionality of these two sections. See People v. Burnick, 14 Cal.3d at 314, 535 P.2d at 357, 121 Cal. Rptr. at 493.

^{16.} In People v. Fearley, 14 Cal.3d 338, 347-348, 535 P.2d 373, 378-379, 121 Cal. Rptr. 509, 514-515 (1975), the court in dictum cast doubt on the constitutionality of other provisions of the Welfare and Institutions Code which afford various procedural safeguards to a mentally disordered sex offender found amenable to treatment and deny them to those found not amenable to treatment. The court observed that "the most glaring example of legislative discrimination" was in the selective denial of a jury trial, under Section 6318, to those found not amenable to treatment, and that the unconstitution-ality of such discrimination is "obvious." 14 Cal.3d at 348, 535 P.2d at 378-379, 121 Cal. Rptr. at 514-515. The court noted that "[t]here are other examples" of such discrimination in Welfare and Institutions Code Sections 6317 (periodic progress reports) and 6327 (hearing to review factual justification for continued confinement). 14 Cal.3d at 348 n.7, 535 P.2d at 378-379 n.7, 121 Cal. Rptr. at 514-515 n.7.

^{17. 14} Cal.3d 448, 535 P.2d 713, 121 Cal. Rptr. 585 (1975).

employee (Section 951), in that the absence of a statutory provision for a prior hearing on the merits of the plaintiff's claim or on the reasonableness of the amount of the undertaking results in a taking of the plaintiff's property without due process of law.

In re Edgar M. 18 held that the portion of Welfare and Institutions Code
Section 558, which provides that a minor's application for a rehearing after
proceedings before a juvenile court referee under the Juvenile Court Law shall
be "deemed denied" if not acted upon by a judge within the statutory time period,
is unconstitutional under Article VI, Section 22, of the California Constitution,
which restricts juvenile court referees to the performance of subordinate judicial duties. 19

20

Dupuy v. Superior Court carved out an exception to the unqualified California constitutional provision prohibiting issuance of the court's process against the state to prevent collection of any tax, 21 holding that the taxpayer has a federal constitutional right to enjoin a tax sale of his property pending an administrative hearing. 22.

The court further held that seizure of the taxpayer's property could be enjoined upon a showing that seizure would cause irreparable

^{18. 14} Cal.3d 727, 537 P.2d 406, 122 Cal. Rptr. 574 (1975).

^{19.} The court construed Welfare and Institutions Code Section 558 to require that "applications which would be 'deemed denied' under the section's literal wording be instead granted as of right" 14 Cal.3d at 737, 537 P.2d at 413, 122 Cal. Rptr. at 581. However, the effect of the decision is to render the literal wording of the statute invalid.

^{20. 15} Cal.3d 23, ___ P.2d ___, __ Cal. Rptr. ___ (1975).

^{21.} Cal. Const. Art. XIII, § 32, <u>formerly Art. XIII</u>, § 15. See Dupuy v. Superior Court, 15 Cal. 3d 23, 27 n.5, P.2d 15, n.5, Cal. Rptr. n.5 (1975).

^{22.} Since the anti-injunction provision of the California Constitution is "plain and unamciguous," Dupuy v. Superior Court, 15 Cal. 3d 23, 35, P.2d , , Cal. Rptr. , (1975)(dissenting opinion), and "must yield to the paramount provisions of the United States Constitution, "id. at 31, P.2d at , Cal. Rptr. at , the anti-injunction provision is to that extent unconstitutional.

23

Skelly v. State Personnel Board, held that the provisions of the State Civil Service Act concerning punitive action against a permanent 24 civil service employee, particularly Government Code Section 19574, violate the due process clause of the United States and California Constitutions since they allow the state to take punitive action by simply "notifying" the employee and afford him no other prior procedural protection to "minimize the risk of error."

harm to him and that under no circumstances could the government establish its tax claim. Id. at 32. P.2d at . Cal. Rptr. at . However, this right does not flow from the federal constitution. Id. at 29. P.2d at . Cal. Rptr. at . Rather, the right is based upon a judicially engrafted exception to the anti-injunction provision of the California Constitution. Id. at 34-36. P.2d at . Cal. Rptr. at . (dissenting opinion).

- 23. 15 Cal.3d 194, ___ P.2d ___, __ Cal. Rptr. __ (1975).
- 24. Govt. Code §§ 19570-19588.
- 25. 15 Cal.3d at 215, ___ P.2d at ___, __ Cal. Rptr. at ___.

CALENDAE OF FORESTUDY

Torsics Authorized for Study

The Commission has on its calendar of topics the topics listed below. Each of these topics has been uniformed for Commission study by the Lexislature?

Topics Under Active Consideration

During the next year the Commission plans to devote substantially all of its time to consideration of the following topics:

Nonprest corporations. Whether the law relating to nonprestit corporations about the revised.

The Commission is now engaged in drafting a new comprehensive statute relating to nonprofit corporations. G. Gervaise Davis III has been retained as a consultant to the Commission.

Creditors' remedies. Whether the law relating to creditors' remedies including, but not limited to, attachment, garnishment, execution, repossession of property (including the claim and delivery statute, self-help repossession of property, and the Commercial Code repossession of property provisions), civil arrest, confession of judgment procedures, default judgment procedures, enforcement of judgments, the right of redemption, procedures under private power of sale in a trust deed or mortgage, possessory and nonpossessory liens, and related matters should be revised.

The Commission, working with a State Bar committee, is now engaged in drafting a comprehensive statute governing enforcement of judgments. Professor Stefan A. Riesenfeld, Boolt Hall Law School, University of California at Borkeley, and Dean William D. Warren, UCLA Law School, are serving as constituable to the Commission. The Commission plans to submit recommendations relating to attachment and the claim and delivery statute to the 1976 Legis-

Section 10335 of the Government Code provides that the Commission shall study, in addition to those topics which it recommends and which are approved by the Legislature, any topic which the Legislature by concurrent resolution refers to it for such study.

lature. See Recommendation Relating to Revision of the Attachment Law (November 1975), to be reprinted in 13 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 801 (1976); Recommendation Relating to Turnover Orders Under the Claim and Delivery Law (June 1975), published as Appendix VIII to this Report. To a large extent, these recommendations proposes technical and clarifying changes, but the absorbment recommendation also proposes seem significant substantive revisions.

Condemnation low and procedure. Whether the law and procedure relating to condemnation should be revised with a view to recommending a comprehensive statute that will safeguard the rights of all parties to such proceedings.

A new, comprehensive extinent decein statute—the Eminent Domain Law—was enacted by the 1975 Legislature upon Commission recommendation. The Commission plans to submit recommendations concerning several aspects of eminent domain law to the 1975 Legislature. See Recommendation Salating to Relocation Assistance by Private Conformors (Cataber 1975), published as Appendix IX to this Report; Recommendation belating to Condomnation for Eye and and Utility Ensements (October 1975), published as Appendix X to this Report. The Commission also plans to study the provisions of the Evidence Code relating to evidence in eminent demain and inverse condomnation actions and is making a study to determine whether any additional abanges in other statutes are needed to conform to the new Eminent Domain Law.

Evidence. Whether the Evidence Code should be revised.

The Commission plans to submit a recommendation relating to the Evidence Code to the 1976 Legislature. See Recommendation Relating to Admissibility of Duplicates in Evidence (November 1975), published as Appendix XII to this Report. The Commission has also undertaken a study of the differences between the newly adopted Federal Rules of Evidence and the California Evidence Code. Professor Jack Friedenthal of the Stanford Law School is the Commission's consultant on this study.

Partition procedures. Whether the various sections of the Code of Civil Procedure relating to partition should be revised and whether the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure relating to the confirmation of partition sales and the provisions of the Probate Code relating to the confirmation of sales of real property of estates of deceased persons should be made uniform and, if not, whether there is need for clarification as to which of them governs confirmation of private judicial partition sales.

A recommendation relating to this topic was published in January 1975, and Assembly Bill 1671 was introduced at the 1975-76 Regular Session to effectuate the recommendation. See Recommendation Relating to Partition of Real and Personal Preserty (January 1975), to be reprinted in 13 Cal.

L. Havision Comm'n Reports 401 (1976). The bill will be considered by the 1976 session of the Legislature. The Commission has reviewed various comments it has received concerning the recommendation and will propose a number of revisions in the proposed legislation at the 1976 session. Garrett H. Elmore is serving as the Commission's consultant.

Lightlated draways. Whether the law relating to liquidated damages, in contracts generally, and particularly in leases, should be revised.

A second-medicine relating to liquidated damages was submitted to the 1974 happelative ression but was not enacted. The Commission has reviewed its prior recommendation and plans to subsite new recommendation to the 1976 beneathborn. See Recommendation because to biguidated Pumages (November 1975), published as Appendix XIV to this Report.

Modification of contracts. Whether the law relating to modification of contracts should be revised.

A recommendation relating to modification of contracts was submitted to the 1975 Legislature. See Recommendation and Study Relating to Oral Modification of Written Contracts (January 1975), to be reprinted in 13 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 301 (1976). Two bills were introduced to effectuate

the Commission's recommendation. One bill-relating to Commercial Code Section 2209-was enacted as Chapter 7 of the Statutes of 1975. The other bill --relating to Civil Code Section 1698-was not enacted. The Commission has reviewed its prior recommendation and plans to submit a new recommendation relating to Civil Code Section 1698 to the 1976 Legislature. See Recommendation Relating to Oral Modification of Coutenets (November 1975), published as Appendix XV to this Report.

Transfer of out-of-state trusts to California. Whether the law relating to transfer of out-of-state trusts to California should be revised.

The Cormission plans to submit a recommendation on this topic to the 1976 Legislature. See Recommendation Relating to Transfer of Out-of-State Trusts to California (October 1975), published as Appendix XI to this Report.

Covernmental liability. Whether the doctrine of sovereign or governmental unit molty in California should be abelished or revised.

In Paraderia v. Separate Creek, 19 Cal. 30 148, 505 v.20 713, 121 Cal. 1907. 185 (1975), the California Servesa Court acid unconstitutional the cost bond providers of the Milifernia Tort Claims Act. This decision also casts doubt on other cost band statutes. The Cosmission has reviewed the velous scatarory provisions that might be affected by the Beaudreau decision and plans to submit a recommendation to the 1976 Legislature. See Recommendation Relating to Undertakings for Costs (November 1975), published as Appendix XIII to this Report.

Inverse condemnation. Whether the decisional, statutory, and constitutional rules governing the liability of public entities for inverse condemnation should be revised (including but not limited to liability for damages resulting from flood control projects) and whether the law relating to the liability of private persons under similar circumstances should be revised.

The Commission plans to submit to the 1976 Legislature a recommendation relating to the claims filing requirement as applied to inverse condemnation actions. See Recommendation Relating to the Claims Presentation Requirement in Inverse Condemnation Actions (November 1975), published as Appendix XVI to this Report.

Child custody and related matters. Whether the law relating to custody of children, adoption, guardianship, freedom from parental custody and control, and related matters should be revised.

The Commission place to commune work on this new, amjor study during 1976. Provensor Brigitie F. Bedenheimer, Low School, University of California at Taxis, has been retained as a consultant. She has prepared two background studies—one relating to shild chancily and the other to adoption. See Bodenheimer, The implicitly of Chile chancily invested ingr--Problems of California Long 23 Stor. L. Rev. 703 (1971); (outs to adoption study). The background studies do not necessarily represent the views of the Commission; the Commission's action will be reflected in its own recommendation.

Other Topics Authorized for Study

The Commission has not yet begun the preparation of a recommendation on the topics listed below.

Parol evidence rule. Whether the parol evidence rule should be revised.

"rejudgment interest. Whether the law relating to the award of prejudgment interest in civil actions and related matters should be revised.

Class actions. Whether the law relating to class actions should be revised.

Offers of compromise. Whether the law relating to offers of compromise should be revised.

Discovery in civil cases. Whether the law relating to discovery in civil cases should be revised.

Possibilities of reverter and powers of termination. Whether the law relating to possibilities of reverter and powers of termination should be revised.

Explicitable Mittle Act and related matters. Whether a Marketable Title
Act about the expected in Culifornia and whether the law relating to covenants and servitudes relating to land, and the law relating to nominal, remode, and obsolate covenants, conditions, and restrictions on land use should be revised.

Topics Communed on Calendar for Porther Study

On the following topics, studies and recommendations relating to the topic, or one or more aspects of the topic, have been made. The topics are continued on the Commission's colonder for further study of recommendations not enaced or for the study of additional aspects of the topic or new developments.

Arbitration. Whether the law relating to arbitration should be revised.

Earliest, an statemed property. Whather the law relating to the earliest of property and the elegant polarical or abundance property should be received.

Lease law. Whether the law relating to the rights and duties attendent upon termination or abandonment of a lease should be revised.

Unincorporated associations. Whether the law relating to suit by and against partnerships and other unincorporated associations should be revised and whether the law relating to the lability of such associations and their members should be resteed.

We sies for Muture Consideration

The Commission now has a number of major studies on its calendar. During the next year, studies under active consideration will include nonprofit corporations; creditors' remedies; child custody, adoption, and guardianship; and evidence. Because of the substantial and numerous topics already on its calendar (six of which were added by the 1975 Legislature), the Commission does not at this time recommend any additional topics for inclusion on its calendar of topics.

TUNCTION FOR PROCESSURE OF CLASSICAL

The California i. aw Revisco: Commission consists of one Member of the Monte, one Member of the Assembly, even members appointed by the Common with the sauce and consent of the Secrete, and the Lagislative Counsel who it expedices a consoling members?

The principal dottes of the Law Bovision Commission are to: (1) Examine the common law and statutes for the purpose of

discovering defects and anachronisms.

- (2) Receive and consider suggestions and proposed changes in the law from the American case Institute, the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws, but associations, and other learned bodies, judges, public officials, lawyers, and the public generally.
- (3) Recommend such changes in the law as it deems necessary to bring the law of this state into harmony with modern conditions.

The Commission is required to file a report of each regular session of the Legislature containing a calendar of topics selected by it for study, listing both studies in progress and topics intended for fidure consideration. The Commission may study only topics schich the Legislature, by concernative solution, authorizes it to study.

Each of the Commission's recommendations it based on a research study of the subject matter concerned, he some cases, the study is prepared by a recenter of the Commission's stuff, but the majority of the studies are undertaken by specialists in the fields of law involved who are retained as research consultants to the Commission. This procedure not only provides the Commission with involvable expert assistance but is economical as well because the afterneys and law professors who serve as research consultants have already acquired the considerable background accessary to understand the specific problems under consideration.

The research study includes a discussion of the existing law and the defens therein and suggests possible methods of photoatting those defects. The study is given careful to alcoration by the Commission and, after making its prematancy decisions on the subject, the Commission distributes a tentative recommendation to the State Bar and to memorous alors interested persons. Comments on the tentative recommendation are considered by the Commission in determining what report and recommendation it will make to the Legislature. When the Commission has reached a conclusion on the matter, its recommendation to the Legislature, including a draft of any legislation necessary to effectuate its recommendation, is published in a printed

¹ See Cal. COVT. CODE §§ 10300-10350.

^a See Cal., GOVT. CODE § 10335.

^{*}See Car., Govy. Coop. § 16330. The Commussion is also directed to recommend the express repeal of all statutes repealed by implication or held enconstitutional by the California Supreme Court or the Supreme Court of the Omted States, CAL. GOVY. Code § 10331.

pamphlet.⁴ If the research study has not been previously published,⁵ it usually is published in the pamphlet containing the recommendation.

• The Commission ordinarily prepares a Comment explaining each section it recommends. These Comments are included in the Commission's report and are frequently revised by legislative commistee reports to reflect amendments? made after the recommended legislation has been introduced in the Legislature. The Comment often indicates the derivation of the section and explains its purpose, its relation to other suctions, and potential problems in its meaning or application. The Comments are written as if the legislation were enacted since their primary purpose is to explain the statute to those who will have occasion to use it after it is in diffect. They are entitled to substantial weight in conscious the statutory provisions.* However, while the Commission of deavers in the Commission to use it noted. By the section, the Commission does not claim that are explain onestion case is noted.

explain any changes in the law made by the section, the Commission does not claim that a service on significant case is noted in the Command, for each district, its judical conclusions as to the significance of existing case authorities? Please, failure to note a change in prior law or to refer to an inconsistent judicial decision is not act adeal to, and should not imbience the construction of a clearly stated chautory provision. 19

The pemphletene distributed to the Governor, Members of the Logislature, heads of reac departments, and a substantial number of judges, district alterneys, leaveen, law professors, and law libraries throughout the state. Thus, a large and representative number of interested persons are given an opportunity to study and comment upon the Commission's

25

^{*} Contributily one or re the members of the Commission may not join in all or part of a recommendation submitted to the Legislature by the Commission.

^{*1} or a licing of background audies published in law reviews, see 10 Cat. L. Rivision Count's Resource 1405 n.5 (1971) and 11 Cat. L. Rivision Comm'n Reports 1008 n.5 & 1408 n.5 (1973).

Special reports are adopted by legislative committees that consider bills recommended by the Commission. These reports, which are printed in the legislative journal, state that the Comments to the various sections of the bill contained in the Commission's recommendation reflect the intent of the committee in approxing the left tenunt to the errent that new or in vived Comments are set out in the committee in port itself. For a description of the legislative committee reports adopted in connection with the bill that because the hydenice Code, see Arelland in Mineria, 33 Cal. Applied 877, 884, 126 chair Rate 421, 426 (1973). The committee of such reports, see 10 Cal., L. flevision Cosmon Reports (1924-1486 (1971)).

⁷ Many of the amendments made after the recommended legislation has been introduced are made upon recommendation of the Commission to dead with matters brought to the Commission's attention after its recommendation was printed. In some cases, however, an amendment may be made that the Commission believes is not desirable and does not recommend.

^{*} E.g., Van Archile v. Hollinger, 63 Cal.2d 245, 249-250, 437 41.2d 508, 511, 66 Cal. Rptr. 20, 25 (1968). The Comments are published by both the Fancroft-Whitney Company and the West Publishing Company in their cuition, of the amotated codes.

⁹ See, e.g., Arellano v. Moreno, 33 Cal. App.3d 877, 109 Cal. Rptc. 421 (1973).

¹⁰ The commission does not concur in the Englant approach to statutory construction. See Kaplan v. Superior Court, 6 Cai.3d 450, 158-159, 491 P.2d 4 5-6, 95 Cal. Rptr. 649, 653-654 (1971). For a reaction to the problem created by the Kaplan approach, see Heconuncidation Relating to Froncousty Ordered Disciousty of Privileged Information, 11 Cal. L. Revision Comit's Reports 1163 (1973). See also Cal. Stats. 1974, Ch. 227.

¹¹ See Cal. COVT. CODE § 10333.

work before it is submitted to the Legislature.¹² The annual reports and the recommendations and studies of the Commission are bound in a set of volumes that is both a permanent record of the Commission's work and, it is believed, a valuable contribution to the legal literature of the state.

For a step by step description of the procedure followed by the Commession in preparing the 1953 governmental Embitty statute, see DeMonity, Fact Finding for Legislation: A Case Study, 59 A B. A. 285 (1931). The procedure followed in preparing the Evidence Code is described in 7 CAL L. HEVISION COMM'N REPORTS 3 (1965).

TEMSONEME OF OUR INTESTOR

As of December 1, 1875, the membership of the Law Revision Commission is:

	leon expires
More Sandstoom, Sea There , Observers	October 1, 1975
John W. McLanie, Don May any side Chaire on a mining	October 1, 1975
Mos. Robert B. Chestets, Los Stepples Association in information	
Burn Affect Western September in analysis of their and	£
Mary Library Police Version With the About the manner	Calober 1, 1853
John D. Willer, Faring School of Stricker and many in many	
Thurses F. Stenton, his time bysection, therefore and annual	October 1, 1977
Removed 3. Villeways, Statistical, Mattacks	
Vacaacy	October 1, 1979
George H. Microphy. Sacr. surface of collection Metablics	ŧ

The legislative members of the Commission serve at the pleasure of the appointing power.

In Pebrurry 1975, Boble E. Gregory religacé tron the Commission.

In October 1975, John N. McLaurin was elected Chairman, and Howard R. Williams was elected Vice Chairman of the Commission. Their terms commence on December 31, 1975.

As of December 1, 1975, the staff of the Commission is:

Layd The H. Delfenity Exemple Semilar Religiodel Stroke, Associative of the Lord Series, Asyg Sun C. Chief, Call Council Robert J., Furphy III. Legal Council

Activitation Secretarid

Anno polession, Activitation Assistant

Violet S. Hinge, Clerk Typia

Carl Stine K. Taylor, Clerk-Tyrical

John Friedmand, who has served as a part-time member of the Commission's legal staff since May 1956, worked full time from September 1974 to June 1975; at that time, she decided to continue on the staff on a part-time basis only. Robert J. Murphy 177 was appointed in June 1975 to the full-time position.

I The Legislative Counsel is an offero a nearotting mamber of the Commission.

MECOMN ESIMITOES

The Law Revision Commission respectfully recommends that the Legislature of theoree that in a raion to an opinion is study of the topins providedly anthon sen for study uses "Calendar of Topics for Study" supply).

Parsument to the metalate imposed by Section 1988) of the Government factor the Jornal district remains the report of the provisions referred to one of "report of miles Repulsed by Implication or Field Unconstitutional," when to the extent that those provisions have been held to be unconstitutional.

APPENDIX I

CURTENT TOPICS -- PRIGR PUBLICATIONS AND LEGISLATIVE ACTION

Arbitration

Ac herized by Cat. State, 1988, 1645. Ch. 10, at 3103, see also 8 Cat. . Revision Contain Revisions 1985 (1984).

This is a supplemental study; the consent Colifornia attains too have was emuted in 1861 upon Contains in recommendation. See For immendation and study Relating to Arbitishorn 3 CALL L. lievasion Contain Reproprise C-1 (1961). For a legislative history of this recomments on, see 4 CALL L. REVISION COMMIN REPORTS 18 (1963), See also Call Stats 1861, Ch. 431.

Child Cust My and Reistand Partone

Astronized by Cal. Stats. 1972, hep. Co. 27, fee 19 Cal. 1. Revision Comm'n Resource
1122 (1971), fee also Cal. Stats. 1926, it. s. Ch. 42, et 2621) Cal. L. Revision Comm'n
Respons, 1986 Report at 29 (1974).

Background studies on two aspects of this topic have been prepared by the Commission's consultant, Professor Brightte M. Bodenheimer, Law School, University of California at Davis. See Bodenheimer, The Sultiplicity of Child Custody Proceedings -- Problems of California Law, 23 Stan. L. Rev. 703 (1971); [cite to adoption study]. The studies do not necessarily represent the views of the Commission; the Commission's action will be reflected in its own recommendation.

Condemnation Law and Precedure

4P Authorized by Cal. State, 1981, Rev. Ch. 126, at 8582; see also Cirl. State, 1956, Res. Ch. 42, at 263; 4 Cal., L. Revulsion Commits Patientes 112 (1962).

See Recommendation and Study Relating to Evidence in Eminent Domain Proceedings, Recommendation and Study is lating to Felling Forcesion and Passage of Fide in Eminent Domain Proceedings: Recommendation and Study idelating to the Reimbursoment for Adving Expenses When Property & Acquired for Public Use, 3 CAL L. Bevision Conselv Expenses it A-1, E-1, and C-1 (1971). For a legislative history of these recommendations, see 3 CAL L. Revision Conselv Expenses, Legislative History of 1-10 (1971). See also Cal Sists. 161, Ch. 1612 (tax apportionment) and Ch. 1615 (taking portation and passage of the). The sulatione of two of these recommendations was incorporated in legislation conjected in 1983. Cal Stats. 1958. Ch. 1151 (evidence in en inent domain proceedings): Ch. 1649 and Ch. 1650 (reimbury seems for moving expenses).

See the Recommendation and Study Relating to Condemnation Law and Procedure: Number 4—Discovery in Emineral Domain Proceedings, 4 CAL, L. REVISION COMM'N TERPORTS 101 (1976). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see 4 CAL, L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS 213 (1960). See also Recommendation Relating to Discovery in Emineral Domain Proceedings, 8 CAL, L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS 19 (1977). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see 8 CAL, L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS 1916 (1967). The recommended legislation cas exacted. See Cal. Stats, 1967, Ch. 1964 (exchange of valuation data).

See also Recommendation Relating to Recovery of Condemnee's Expenses on Abandonment of an Emment Domain Proceeding, 8 Cat. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 1361 (1977). For a legislative instory of this recommendation, see 9 Cat. L. Revision Comet's Reports 19 (1989). The recommended legislation was enacted. See Cal. Stats. 1968, Co. 133.

See also Recommendation Relative to Arbitration of first Componential, it Can. L. REVISION CHARGE FROM INC. 1935 (1999). See a longitude factory of this recommendation, sile 10 (1942) in horse control to the commendation, sile 10 (1942) in horse control to the commendation of the see also deposit to a was at actual time Cell, Sees. 1940, Uh. 447.

But also Recommendation Relating on Condensation Law and Proceedings.

Conforming Changes in Tenrovership Live. If Cal. L. Revision Commin Reports

1001 (1974). For a Lapislative bibbery of this recommendation, see 12 Cal.

L. Revision Commin Reports 53+ (1974). The recommend legislation was enacted. See Cal. Status. 1974, Ch. 426.

See also Recommended Proposing the Salabet Bossin Jaw, 12 Cal. L. Revision Common Reports 1501 (197%). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see this Reports capat. The recommended legislation was enacted. See Cal. Stats. 1975, Chs. 581, 582, 584, 585, 586, 587, 1176, 1239, 1240, 1275, 1276.

The Commission plans to subsit two recommendations to the 1976 Legislature. See Récommendation Relation to Relocation Assistance by Private
Condemners (October 1975), published as Appendix IX to this Report; Recommendation Relating to Condemnation for Eyromals and Utility Essenants (October 1975), published as Appendix X to this Report.

Creditors Hamedies

Anthorized by Cel. Stats. 1972, Res. Ch. 27. The also Cel. 51 m. 1987, Res. Ch. 392, at 4389; see also I Cal. 2. Revision, Compile Reports, 4987 Lenort at the (1957).

See Becommencation Relating to Attachment, Garnisoment, and Exemptions From Execution: Theodoxy From Employment, in Cal. 4. Revision Compile Reports 1147 (1971). For a legislative lastery of this resolute adult in, see 16 Cal. 4. Revision Commits Research 128-1197 (1971). The recommended legislation was enacted. See Cel. Stats. 1971. Ch. 30 77.

See also Recommendation Relating to Attachment, Cornishin and, and Exemptions From Executions Employees' Escalings Projection Law, 10 Cell. L. REVISION COMM'N ELECTRIC (1971). For a legislative lastery of the recommendation, see 11 Cell. L. Revision Commin Reports (5.4 (1975). The escalarmeded legislation was not enserted. The Commission substituted a revised successful and to the 1973 Legislature. See Recommendation Relating to Wave Guidst ment and Polated Matters, 11 Cell. L. Revision Commin Reports 101—1973). For a legislative history

of this recommendation, see 11 Cal. N. Revision Comm'n Reports 1123 (1973); 12 Cal.

L. Revision Comm'n Reports 530 n.1 (1974). The recommended legislation was not

Legislature. See Recommendation Fulliting to Wage Garnishment Examptions,

12 Cal. L. Revision Com's Reports 901 (1976). For a legislative history

of this recommendation, see this Export opens. The recommended legislation

was not enacted. See also Recommendation Relating to Wage Garnishment

Procedure (April 1975), to be reprinted in 13 dal. L. Fevision Com'n Reports

601 (1971).

See a Lon Meterminand, from and Mody Reliting to C. ill Street, 11 CALL. PANEON Company (Seront). (1869), For a logistative bitters of the measurements ion, as a 11 CALL. In visitor Company Reprints (EM (1971). The recommended logislation was enacted. Less C. 1. for it. 1913. (Ch. M.

See a. a. therefore addition habiting to the Claim and Delivery Statute, 11 Cast. L. Beargon Costain highests 301 (1973). For a capitalist history of this recommendation, see 11 CAL. L. Revision Costain Reports 1124 (1973), The recommended legislation was conceed, See Cal. Stats, 1973, Ch. 586. 4

(The Commission plans to subsit a Tollow-up recommendation to the 1976 Legislature. See Recommendation habiting to Surnover Orders Under the Claim and Delivery Lew (June 1975), published as Appendix VIII to this Report.

See the Recommendation Rubbing to Projectional Attachment, 11 Cal. L. Burness Const. Reports 781 (1978). For a legislative bistory of this recommendation, see 12 Cal. L. Revision Commin Reports 530 (1974). The recommendation plans to submit a recommendation for technical revisions in the attachment law to the 1976 Legislature. See Recommendation Relation to applicate of the Attachment Law (November 1975), to be reprinted in 13 Cal. L. Revision Commin Legislature Sci (1976).

See also Becommendation flutating to Enforcement of Sister State Money fordyments, it Call L. Herriston Court's Exposers 451 (1973) For a legislative history of this recommendation, see 12 Call L. Revision Come'n Reports 534 (1974). The recommended legislation was enacted. See Call Stats. 1974, Ch. 211.

Escheats unclaimed property

Asthorized by Cal. Stats. 1967, Res. Ch. 51, of 4592; see also Cal. Stats. 1956, Res. Ch. 42, at 263.

See Foreign non-linea like thing to Evolvent 5 Can. 1. Revision Comm's Remonts 1601 (1854). For a legislative history of this recommendation, ed.9 Cat. L. Revision Comm's limpours 16-18 (1969). Most of the recommended legislation was enseted. See Cal. Stats. 1999. Ch. 247 (escheat of decedent's estate) and Ch. 356 (unclaimed property act).

See also Recommendation Relating to Unchained Property, 11 CAL. I. Bevistor COMM's Reports 40: (1973). For a legislative bistory of this recommendation, see this Report infect The recommended legislation was not exacted.

See also Recommendation Relating to Escheet of Amounts Physike on Travelers.

Checks, Money Orders, and Similar Pastronando, 12 dal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 613 (1974). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see this Report infra. The recommended legislation was enacted. See Cal. State. 1975, Ch. 25.

Evidence

Authorized by Cel. Stats. 1995. But Ch. 1894 in 1999.

The Recommendation I. of colors of the Constitute I Can. L. British Constitute I (1971). A sense of contained according to the Unitaria Robins of the dealers of the dealers was profitted and contributed for commendation provided in the Kriderice Code Seek Car. L. to vising Constitute in the administration proportion the Kriderice Code Seek Car. L. to vising Constitute in the of the research addition for I (1881), and Appendix (1964). Were a legislative in the of the research addition for I (1881), likewisting Constitute II (1882), and Constitute II (1883). The addition of the research addition for I (1884). The additional set I (1884), the addition of the Constitute II (1884). See also Cal. Stats. 1885, Ch. 1865 Orbins, and Carles.

So also Be summandeno a Reference in the training of the Number 1 of the new Code Restrong Survivor 2 - 1 gird and Code Restrong Number 2 - Communicated Complete Republic Sites and the Code Republic Sites and the Code Republic Sites and the Code Republic Sites and the Republ

Succession Resonance et a sum to have et a tracelle described a sum of a stockholm of the Principal Artists (Carlos), i received (Carlos) to the sum of the soft form). You a logistic two is come of the recommendation, one in Carlos at Western Contains American and Office.

See the program a relation in lating to the Lichtener Clock. Number & ellicities of the rank lines (Clock TeV). It, it events a Count to the rooms (Clock TeV). For a largitudes. Leavest of talk a resummendation, one fit of the Lichtener Counts (Print) bond of the recommended legislation as a marked has Colonials 1970, Clock (1971). Supplying legislating (Clock Legislation) and privalegal regislations (Clock Legislation) and the recommendation of the recommendation of the results of the recommendation of the results of the recommendation of the results of the recommendation of the recomme

See also report concerning Front of Bareign Official Records, 16 Cat. L. Revisios. Const's Reports 1988 (1971) and Cat. Stats (1974, Ca. 4).

See also Recommendation Relating to Enomicously Ordered Disclosure of Friedley, I Information, reprinted in 11 Cat., L. REVISION COMM'S REPORTS 1153

(1973). For a logislative history of this recommendation, see 12 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 535 (1974). The recommended legislation was enacted. See Cal. Nacts. 1974, Ch. 227.

See also Recommendation Relating to Existence Code Section 949.—The "Criminal Conduct" Exception to the Physician Entirett Privilege, 11 Cat. L. Revision Commiss Reports 1147 (1973). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see

12 Cal. L. Revision Cossin Reports 535 (1974). The recommended legislation was not enacted. A revised recommendation was submitted to the 1975 Legislature. See Recommendation Relating to the Good Cause Exception to the Physician-Fatient Privilege, 12 Cal. L. Revison Cosmin Reports 601 (1974). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see this Report sugra. The recommended legislation was enacted. See Cal. Stats. 1975, Ch. 318.

See abo herenmend too Relating to New by Thirt of Fact in a Chell Comp.

12 Cal. L. Revision Common Reports 587 (1976). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see this Report supra. The recommended legislation was enacted. See Cal. State. 1975, Ch. 301.

See also Recommendation Relating to Admissibility of Copies of Business Records in Evidence (Junuary 1975), published as Appendix III to this Report. For a legislative history of this recommendation, see this Report supra. The recommended legislation was not empoted.

The Commission plans to submit a recommendation to the 1976 Legislature. See <u>Recommendation Relating to Admissibility of Duplicates in Evidence</u> (November 1975), published as Appendix XII to this Report.

The topic is under continuing study to setermine electron new indistinctive technique or Coulyan; change are a collect or his become of Code and whether changes are needed or the right uses Code, the RC CALL HIVE HOLD COSES REPORTED AND THE LOCAL BLOCK TO SEE THE CALL BLOCK COSES REPORTED AND THE CALL BLOCK TO SEE THE CALL BLOCK COSES REPORTED AND THE CALL BLOCK COSES REPORTED

Governmental Michility

GP Authorized by Cel. Stats. 1907, Res. Ch. 1902, at 4589.

See Recommendations Relating to Severeign Immonity: Number 1-Tort Lishilian of Public Petities and Public Limpleyees, Number 2.—Chins. Actions and Judgina ats Against Palske Entitles and Palske Employees, Number 4—Anturance Coverage for Patitie 1 of their and Public Employees, Number 4-Defense of Public Repplayers: Acreber 4-4 substity of Public Existing for Opmer 245 and Oper 256 a of Nietor Velecles: Nimiber G.-W. timen's Compouncion includits for Persons Assisting Lose Enforcement on Fire Control Officery Naminer 7- internal of ats and Repeals of Impositional by excit Statetes, 4 CALLY, Revision Commits Reports 801, 150), 1501, 1601, 1601, 1801, and 1601 (1905). For a levislative lustery of these recommandations, see 4 Cat. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 311-213 (1981). See ulas A study Relating to begeneigh Immunity, 3 CML L. Reveates Costain Reports 1 (1946), See also Col. State 1989, Ch. 1881, that habitity of public entities and public represents. On 1715 (claims, across and independs against public entries and tail-be employees), Ch. 1642 (insurance coverage for public entities and public employees, Ca. 1971 (defense of public compleyees). Ch. 1991 (workneads empty, satisfication for persons assisting law enforcement or the control officers), who lead (graendinents and repeals of incomesion) special statutes), Ch. 1983 to resoments and repusals of inconviction, special statutes; Ch. 2029 (amendments and retailed of inconsistent special standard.

Scholar Recommendation Relation to severalism Immunity: Naturbet 8—Revisions of the Governmental Lindulety Act, I Cal., L. Revelsion Count's Reports 401 (1965). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see 7 Cal., L. Revelsion Count's Reports 914 (1966). See also Cal. Stats. 1968. Ch. 6% (claims and actions against public entities and public employees). Ch. 1527 (liability of public entities for ownership and operation of motor vehicles).

See also Recommendation Relating to Sovereign Imministy Number 9—Statute of Limitations in actions Against Public Entities and Public Employees, 9 Cal. L. Revision Commin Reports 43 (1969). For a legitlative listory of this recommendation, see 9 Cal. L. Revision Commin Reports 95 (1969). See also Proposed Legislation Relating to Statute of Limitations in Actions Against Public Entities and Public Employees, 9 Cal. L. Revision Commin Reports 175 (1969). For a legislative history of this recommend client see 16 Cal. L. Revision Commin Reports 1021 (1971). The recommended legislation was enerted. See Cal. Stats. 1970, Cb. 104.

See also Recommendation Relating to Sovereign Immunity Number 10—Revisions of the Government. If liability Act, 9 Call. 1. Revision Comm's Reports 801 (1989). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see 19 CAL. 1. REVISION COMM's Reports 1999 (1971). Most of the zerommendation digitation was enacted. See Cal. Stats. Pulo, Ch. 632 (entry to make tests) and Ch. 1693 (Eability for use of pesticides, hability for decauges from tests).

Sec also Recommendation Relating to Payment of Jurignants Against Local Public Entities, 12 Cal. Revision Commin Reports 575 (1974). The recommended legislation was enacted. Sec Cal. Stats. 1975, Ch. 285.

See also <u>Recommendation Relating to Undertakings for Costs</u> (November 1975), published as Appendix XIII to this Report. This recommendation will be submitted to the 1976 Legislature.

Inverse Comicrastica

48 Authorized by Col. Stats. 1970, Pers. Ch. 40, et 3541, new line Cal. Stats. 1965, Res. Ch. 159, at 1779.

See Resonancedation Relating to Inverse Condemnation: Insurance Coverage, 10 Cat., L. Bayington Cover's February 1031 (1971). For a logislative history of this reconstructation, van 16 Cat., L. February County Reports (1971). Ton recommended legislation was assected, See Cal. Stats. 1971, 4th, 180.

Sec also Recommendation illusting to Severeign Innovance Number 10—flevisions of the Governmental Listing Act, 9 Cat., 1., Sevision Comit's Errord's 861 (1969). For a lagislative limitary of this recommended legislation was enacted. Comit's Reports 1620 (1971). Most of the recommended legislation was enacted. See Cal. Stats. 1970, Ch. 662 (entry to make tests) and Ch. 1999 (limbility for use of posticides, hability for demands from tests). See also Fronced Lexislation Relating to Statute of Limitations in Actions Against Public Entities and Public Employees, 9 Cal., L. Revision Commit Beroard Type (1989). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see 10 Cal., L. Revision Commit Reports 1621 (1971). The

recommended legislation was eriseted. See Cal. Stats. 1970, Ch. 198.

See also Recommendation Relating to Payment of Judgments Against Local Public.

Entities, 12 Cal. L. Revision Coss'n Reports 575 (1974). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see this Report supra. The recommended legislation was enacted. See Cal. State. 1975, Ch. 285.

See also Recommendation Relating to the Claims Fresentation Requirenext in Inverse Condemnation (November 1975), published as Appendix XVI to this Report. This recommendation will be submitted to the 1976 Legislature.

See also Van Alstyce, California Inverse Condengation Law, 10 CAL. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 1 (1971).

Lease Law

Authorized by Cal. Szats. 1965, Res. Ch. 120, at 5289; see also Cal. State. 1957, Res. Ch. 202, at 4889.

See Recommendation and Study Relating to Abundament or Termination of a Lease, 8 CAL, L. Revuton Count's Becomes 701 (1987). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see 8 CAL, L. REVISION COMM'S REPORTS 1819 (1967).

See also Hecommandation Relating to Real Property Leaves, 9 Cat. L. Revision Comm'n Resourts 40. (1999). For a legislative bistory of this recommendation, see 9 Cat., L. Revision Comm'n Reports 98 (1969).

See also Recommendation Relating to Final Property Laures, 9 Cat., L. Revision Comm's Revests 153 (1999). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see 16 Cat., L. Revision Costm's Reposits 1018 (1971). The recommended legislation was enacted. See Cal. Stats, 1970, Ch. 59.

See also Recommendations righting to Landlord Tenant Relations, 11 CAL X. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS 951 (1973). This report contains two recommendations:

Abundanment of Lancet Road Francisty well Personal Property Left on Francies. Vacated by Tonant. For a knowledge bettery of three research endations, see 12.

Cal. L. Revision Comman Reports (36 (1974)). The recommended legislation was ensered. See Cal. State. 1978, Cho. 31, 334.

Liquidated Dainger

Authorized by Oct. State. 1969, Wes. Ch. 124, et 5805.

Bes harmande during and Soldy Printing to Liquidated Passages, 11 Cal.

L. Revision Case a Francis 11Cl (1973). For a logislative history of this renomeendation, one 12 Cal. L. Revision Comes. Reports 535 (1974). The recommended legislation was not concord.

See also deconvendation Relating to Liquidated Danages (November 1975), published as Appendix XIV to this Report. This recommendation will be submitted to the 1976 Legislature.

Modification of Contracto

Authorised by Cal. Stats. 1957; Res. Ch. 202, at 4589; see also 1 Cal. In Revision Comm's Reports, 1957 Report at 21 (1957).

See Recommendation and Study Relating to Oral Modification of Written Gostmacus (January 1979), to be reprinted in 13 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 301 (1976). For a legislative history of this recommendation, see this Report supra. One of the two legislative measures recommended was enacted. See Cal. Stats. 1975, Ch. 7.

The Countsion plans to submit a revised recommendation to the 1976

Legislature. See Recommendation Relating to Oral Modification of Contracts

(November 1975), published as Appendix XV to this Report.

Monprofit Corporations

Authorized by Cal. Stats. 1970, Res. Ch. 54, at 3547; see also 9 Cal. L. Revision Commits Reports 107 (1969).

Parol Evidence Rule

Authorized by Cal. Stats. 1971; Res. Ch. 75; see also 10 Cal. L. Revision Commin Reports 1031 (1971).

Partition Drovedu es

47 Amberized by Cal. State, 1988, bes. Cr. 201 of 1982 on the Cal. State, 1989, Bes. Ch. 43, at 262, f. Call. f. Introduct. Corran v. State prints, 1962 Report at 25 (1989).

See Recommunication molecular to Lightham Properties (1980) to be reputated in 13 Call. L. Saverney Comes. St. 201 (1976).

For a legislation bladery of this recoveredation, see this Report supra.

The recommended legislation will be considered by the 1976 Logislature.

Projugances Imperior

Authorized by Cal. State. 1972, Res. Co. 75.

Unincorperated Associations

W Apthorized by Cal. Stats. 1988, Rev. Ch. 9, at 141; see also Cal. Stats. 1987, Res. Ch. 202, at 4509.

See Recommendation and Study Relating to Suit by or Against an Unincorporated Association, 6 CAL, L. Revision Costson Reports; 801 (1987). For a legislative listory of this recommendation, see 8 CAL, L. Revision Costson Remoters 1317 (1987). The recommended legislation was enacted for Cal. Stats. 1997, Ch. 1924. See also Recommendation limiting to Service of Provess on Chimoroporated Associations, 8 CAL, L. Revision Costson Reports 1893 (1907). For a legislative history of Cas recommendation, see 8 CAL, L. Revision Costson Reports 18-19 (1969). The recommended legislation was enacted. See Cal. Stats. 1968, Ch. 132.

APPENDIT II

LEGISLATIVE ACTION ON COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS

(Cumplative)

Heres	nime	nd s	Granes
3 4 V. U.	13 6 6 6 6 3 6 7 6	よしもょく	1 1 7 7 1 7

Action by Lagislature

1. Partial Revision of Education Code, UCAL, L. REVI-SION COMM'N BREORYS, Ancual Report for 1924 in 12 (1957) Emicted. Cal. Stats. 1985, Chs. 799, 877

2. Summary Distribution of Small Extrates Under Probate Code Sections 000 to 946, J. Uss., L. Heverson Collie's Algebras, Auronal Report for 1954 at 56 (1957) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1955, - Ch. 1183

3. Fish and Game Code, 1 CAL, L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS, ARRIVAL REPORTS, (1987): 1 CAL, L. REVISION COMM'N RE-PORTS, ARRIVAL Report for 1936 at 13 (1987) Enacted: Cal. Stats. 1957, Ch. 456

4. Missimum Period of Confinement in a County Jul, 1 CAL. L. REVISION COMM'N RUPORTS at A-1 (1987)

Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1957, Ch. 139

5. Notice of Application for Altamy's Fees and Costs in Domestic Relations Actions, 1 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports at E-1 (1957) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1957, Ch. 540

- Taking Instructions to Jury Room, I Call, L. Ruytsion Comm'n Reports at C-1 (1957)
- Not enacted. But see Cal. Stats, 1975, Ch. 461, enacting substance of this recommendation.
- 7. The Dead Man Statute, 1 CAL. L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS at D-1 (1987)
- Not enacted. But recommendation accomplished in enactment of Evidence Code. See Comment to Evid. Code § 1261.

8. Rights of Surviving Spouse in Property Auguired by Decedent Winks Dominised Elbewhork, I Call Is By the SION COMM N. Res Outs at Est (1957).

Enceted. Cal. Stats. 1957, Ch. 490

9. The Mirried "For and against" Testume nd Palvi Ione, 1 G.L. I. Yevision Consein Reports at Fit (1957)

Not conside. But recommendation accomplished as machine at of Evidence Code. See Comment to Evid. Codes § 970.

10. Suggestion of the Absolute Long of Absolute. A Caroli, Privision Coursin Rapposis et GA (1987); 2 Caroli, Resistor Countis Republic, Sanach Report for 1939 et 14 (1967) Proceed. Cal. State. (959, Ch. 37)

11. Elimination of Obsolete Provisions in Femal Code Sections 1377 and 1378, 1 CAL. L. REVISION COSMAN REPORTS at E-1 (1957) Enacted, Cal. Stats. 1957, Ch. 102

12. Jadicial Notice of the Law of Foreign Countries, 1 CAL. L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS at I-1 (1907) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1957, Ch. 248

 Choice of Law Governing Survival of Actions, I CAL.
 L. REVISION COMM'N RE-FORTS at J-1 (1957) No legislation recom- , mended,

14. Effective Date of Order Ruling on a Motion for New Trial, I CAL. L. REVISION COMM'N TEPORTS at K-1 (1957); 2 CAL. L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS, Annual Report for 1959 at 16 (1959)

Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1959, Ch. 468

 Retention of Venue for Convenience of Witnesses, 1 CAL. L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS at L-1 (1957)

Not enacted.

16. Bringing New Factors into Civil Actions, 1 Cat., L. Re-MISION COMMUN. REPORTS at M-1 (1987) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1957, Ch. 1498

17. Grand Jurius, 2 CAL, L. Ru-MISION COMM'N REPORTS, Animal Report for 1959 at 26 (1959) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1959, Ch. 301

18. Procedure for Appointing Cuardians, 2 CAL, L. Revi-Sics. Commin Thereists, Airmal Report for 1959 w. 21 (1959) Emered. Cal. Stats. 1959, Ch. 500

19. Appointment of Admidstrate in Culei Title Action 2 CAL I REVISION COMM'N KATCHES, Amund Report for 1980 at 28 (1959)

No legislation recommonoed.

20. Presentation of Claims Against Public Entitles, 2. CAL. L. REVISION COMM'N * REPORTS at A-1. (1959) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1959, Chs. 1715, 1724, 1725, 1726, 1727, 1728; CAL. CONST., Art. XI, § 10 (1960)

21. Right of Nonresident Aliens to Inherit, 2 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reposits at B-1 (1989); 11 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 421 (1973)

linacted. Cal. Stats. 1974, Ch. 425.

22. Mortgages to Socure Future Advances, 2 CAL. L. Ruvision Comm'n Reports at C-1 (1959) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1959, Ch. 528

23. Doctring of Worthier Title, 2 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports of D-1 (1959) Enseted. Cal. Stats. 1959, Ch. 122

24. Overlapping Provisions of Penal and Vehicle Codes Relating to Taking of Vehicles and Drunk Driving, 2 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports at E-1 (1959) Not enacted. But see Cal. Stats. 1972, Ch. 92 enacting substance of a portion of recommendation relating to drunk driving.

25. Time Within Which Motion for New Trial May the Made, 2 Cal. L. Revenon Come'r Reports at F-1 (1959) Enceted. Cal. Stats. 1959. Ch. 469

26. Notice to Shareholders of Sale of Corporate Awats, 2 CALL. Privision Comm'n Reports at G-1 (199)

Not enacted.

27. Evidence in its mineut Domain Proceedings, 3 CML L. Nevision Comm'n Reports at A-1 (1991) Not enacted But see Evin. Cons \ 810 et see, enacting substance of recommendation.

28. Taking Fossession and Passage of Title in Emineral Domain Proceedings, 3 CAL L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS at B-1 (1961)

Enacted C.d. Stats, 1961, Chs. 1612, 1613

29. Reimbursement for Moving Expenses When Property Is Acquired for Public Use, 3 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Repowrs at C-1 (1961)

Not enseted, But see GOVI. CODE § 7260 et seq. enseting substance of recommendation.

30. Hescission of Contracts, 3 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports at D-1 (1961)

Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1961, Ch. 589

31. Eight to Coursel and Separation of Delinquent From Nondelinquent Minor in Juvenile Court Proceedings, 3 CAL, L. Revision Comm'n Reports at E-1 (1961) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1961, Ch. 1616

32. Survival of Actions, 3 CAL. L. REVISION COMM'N RE-PORTS at F-1 (1951) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1961, Ch. 657

33. Arbitration, 3 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports at G-1 (1981)

Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1961, Ch. 461 34. Presentation of Claims
Against Public Officers
and Employees, 3 Casa L.
Bevision Comm (4 Thisports at 14-1 (1961)

Not enacted 1981. See recommendation to 1963 session (item 39 infra) which was enacted.

35. Inter Vivos Murital Property Rights in Prop-dy Acquited Wille Pomistics Elsewhere, & CALLE, FV-1-SION CONNER BEPORTED 1-1 (1961)

Farieted. C.J. Stats, 1961, Ch. 323

Notice of Alife in Condeal
 Actions, 3 Cash, in 1997s
 sion Custola Primary of
 J.L. (1997)

Not obseted.

Discovery in limitary the main directedings, 4 CAL.
 L. Revision Commin Reports 701 (1963); 8 CAL.
 L. Revision Commin Reports 19 (1967)

Eracted. Cal. Stats. 1967, Ch. 1194

38. Test Liebility of Public Entities and Public Employees, 4 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 801 (1963)

Emeted. Cal. Stats. 1963, Ch. 1631

. 39. Claims, Actions and Judgments Against Public Engloyees, ties and Public Employees, 4 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 1001 (1963)

Enseted. Cal. Stats, 1963, Ch. 1715

40. Insurance Coverage for Public Entities and Public Employees, 4 CAL, L. RE-VISION COMM'N REPORTS 1201 (1963) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1963, Ch. 1632

41. Defense of Public Employees, 4 CAL. L. REVISION : COMM'N REPORTS 1301 (1963)

Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1963, Ch. 1683 42. Lieblity of Public Emilies for Ownership and Operation of Motor Vehicles, 4 Cal. 1. Revision Comes'n Reports (401 (1989): 7 Cal. L. Trivision Comes'n Reports (61 (1989) Enricted. Cal. Stats. 1955, Cb. 1527

43. Workmen's Compensation Beneius for Persons Assisting Law Enforcement or Fire Control College, 4 Call L. Revision Comsen Reports 1501 (1963)

Enacted. Od. Stats. 1983, Ch. 1684

44. Sovereign Immunity — Amendments and Repeals of Inconsisient Statates, 4 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 1601 (1963) Emerical. Cal. Stats, 1963, Cas. 1683, 1888, 2029

45. Evidence Code, 7 CAL L. Revision Comm'n Reports 1 (1963) Engeted: Cal. Stats. 1965, Ch. 299

46. Claims and Actions Against Public Entities and Public Employees, T. CAL. L. REMISION COMM'N RE-PORTS 401 (1955) Emeted. Cal. State. 1963, Ch. 653

47. Evidence Code Revisions, 8 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 101 (1967) Enacted in part: Cal. Stats. 1967, Ch. 650; balance enacted: Cal. Stats. 1976, Ch. 69

48. Evidence—Agricultural Code Revisions, 8 CM., L. REVISION COSM'N Re-PORTS 201 (1967) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1967, Ch. 262

49. Evidence—Commercial Code llevisions, 8 C.M., L. REVISION COMM'N RE-PORTS 301 (1967) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1967, Ch. 703

50. Whether Damage for Personal Injury to a Married Person Should Be Separate or Community Property, 8 Gal., L. Revision Commin Reports 401 (1967); 8 Gal., L. Revision Commin Reports 1385 (1967)

Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1968, Chs. 457, 458 51. Vehicle Code Section 17150 and Related Sections, 8 Call L. Nevento Commin. Revenue (1967) Enocred. Cal. Stats. 1967, Ch. 702

52. Addita, 3 Cat. U. Movi-LION COME'N REPORTS 601 (1967)

Enacted Cal Stats 1967, Ch. 72

58. Abanda emera or Toommation of a bosse, 3 Cat., L. Ravision Constin Reports Wil 1990; 3 Cau. L. Revision Commer Reports 49. (1999); 5 Cat. L. Heriston Constan Reports 49. (1989) Encoted. Cal. Stats. 1970, Ch. 88

54. Good Neith Improver of Land Owned by Another, 8 Cal. L. Eswision Coma'n Repower 80. (1967); 8 Cal. L. Revision Coma'n Reports 1373 (1967) Enacted, Cal. Stats, 1968, Ch. 150

55. Suit By or Against an Unincorporated Association, 8 CALL REVISION COMM'N REPORTS 901 (1997) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1967, 1 Ch. 1324

56. Escheut, 8 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 1601 (1967) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1968, Chs. 247, 356

57. Recovery of Condemnee's
Expenses on Abundonment of an Eminent Domain Proceeding, & CAL.
L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS 1361. (1901)

Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1968, Ch. 133

58. Service of Process on Unitacorporated Associations, 8 CAL. L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS 1403 (1937) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1963, Ch. 132

59. Sovereign Immunity—Statute of Limitations, 9 UAL, L. REVISION CORM'N REPORTS 49 (1959); 9 CAL, L. REVISION CORM'N REPORTS 175 (1959)

Vetoed 1909. Enacted: Cal. Stats. 1970, Ch. 104

60. Additor and Eccultitar, 9 Call L. Revision Commin Reports 60 (1909)

Emacted: Cal. Stats. 1969, Cb. 115

61. Fictitious Business Natures, 9 CAL L. Histories Comute Business 71 (1969) Expected. Cal. Stats. 1989, Ch. 113

62. Quasi-Community Propeasy, 9 CAL L. Azero de Commin. Auropati 178 (1989) Enseted Cal. Stats, 1970, Cb. 312

63. Arbitration of Jact Compensation, 9 CAL, L. Revi-SION COMM'N REPORTS -123 (1969) Enceted. Cal. Stats. 1970, Cb. 417

64. Revisions of Evicence Code, 9 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 137 (1969) Enacted in part: Cal. Stats. 1970, Ch. 69; see also Cal. Stats. 1970, Chs. 1396, 1397

. 65. Mutuality of Remodics in Suits for Specific Performance, 9 Cal. 1. Reveron Comm'n Reports 201 (1969) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1969, Ch. 156

66. Powers of Appointment, 9 CAL L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS 201 (1969) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1989, Chs. 113, 155

67. Evidence Code—Revisions of Privileges Article, 9 Cal., L. Levision Comm'n Reports 501 (1969)

Vetoed. But see Cal. Stats. 1970, Chs. 1396, 1397

68. Fictitious Business Numer, 9 Cal. L. Revision COMM'N REPORTS 601 (1969) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1970, Ch. 618 60. Representations as to the Credit of Third Persons and the Statute of Frauds, 7 CAL. L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS 701 (1958)

Enceted. Cal. Stats. 1970, Ca. 720

70. Revisions of Constantental Malalley Act, 9 CAL. L. Revision: Commin Re-Powis 801 (1969) Ecacted to part: Cal. Stats. 1970. Chs. 632, 1699

H. "Vesting" of interests Under Itule Against Percetalties, 9 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Bepower 101 (1969) Eracted. Cal. Stats. 1970, Ch. 45

72. Counterchirms and Cross-Complaints, Joinder of Causes of Action, and "Related Provisions, 10 Cal. I. Revision Comea's Reports 501 (1971) Enacted Cal. Stats. 1971, Chs. 244, 950; see also Cal. Stats. 1973, Ch. 828

73. Wage Garnishment and Related Matters, 10 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 701 (1971); 11 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 101 (1973); 12 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 901 (1974); 13 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 601 (1976)

Not enacted.

74. Proof of Foreign Official Records, 10 Cal. L. Revi-SION COMM'N REPORTS 1022 (1971) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1970, Ch. 41

75. Inverse Condemnation— Insurance Coverage, 10 CAL L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS 1051 (1971) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1971, Ch. 140

76. Discharge From Employment Because of Wage Garnishment, 10 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 1147 (1971)

Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1971, Ch. 1607

45

77. Civil Acrost. II. Call. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 1 (1973)

78. Chim and Dehvery Steame, 11 Cal. L. Servision Govm'.: Terrorers 301 (1972)

 Unclamed Property, 11 Cal. L. Revoion Comm's Espons 401 (1971); 12 Cal. L. Revision Comm's Reports 607 (1974)

80. Eleforcement of Sister State Money finds wonts, Vi CAL L. REVISION COMM'D REPORTS 451 (1973)

81. Prejudgment Attachment, 11 CAL. L. REVISION COMM'N REPORTS 701 ^(1973)

82. Landlord-Tomat Rolations, 11 Cal. L. Revision Come'n Reports 951 (1973)

83. Pleading (technical change), 11 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports 1024 (1973)

 Evidence—findicial Notice (technical change), 1° CAL L. REVISION COMM'N REPORT: 1025 (1973)

 Evidence—"Criminal Conduct" Exception, 11 CAL. L. Revision Comm'n Re-PORTS 1147 (1973)

86. Erroneously Compelled Disclosure of Privileged Information, 11 Cal. L. REVISION COMA'N RE-PORTS 1163 (1973) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1973, Ch. 20

Enacted Cal. Stats. 1973, Ch. 526

Proposed resulution enacted Cal. Stats. 1973, Res. Ch. 76. Legisletion enacted. Cal. Stats. 1975, Ch. 25.

Foreted. Cal. Stats. 1974, - Cl.: 211

Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1974, Ch. 1516. See also Cal. Stats. 1975, Ch. 200

Enseted. Cal. Stats. 1914, Chs. 831, 332

Enacted Cal. Stats. 1972, Ch. 73

Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1972, Ch. 764

Not enacted 1974. See recommendation to 1975 session (hern 90 infra) which was enacted.

Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1974, Ch. 227 87. Liquidated Damages, 13 CALL, Revision Constin Reports 1201 (1973) Not enacted, but new reconsistendates a will be submitted to 1976 session.

88. Payment of Indipoents Agemst Local Public Dalities, 12 Class L. Revision COMM'N REPORTS 575 (1974) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1975, Ch. 283

89. View by Prior of East is a On V. Charles of Carles Eastvision Constant Approves 587 (1976) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1975, Ch. 201

00. Good Cause Proeption to the Physician-Parline Privilege, 12 Cal. L. Nevtsion Commin Resours 601 (1974) Enacted Cal. Stats 1975, Ch. 518

91. Cal. L. Envision Comm'n Reports 1001 (1974) Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1974, Ch. 426

92. The Eminent Demsin Law, 12 Cal L. Revision Comm'n Reports 160: (1074) Enorted. Cal. Stats. 1975, Chs. 1239, 1240, and 1275

93. Eminent Domain--Conforming Changes in
Special District Statutes, 12 Cal. L. Revision Comm'n Reports
1101 (1974); 12 Cal. L.
Revision Comm'n Reports
2004 (1974)

Enacted. Cal. Stats. 1975, Chs. 581, 582, 584, 585, 586, 587, 1176, and 1276

94. Oral Modification of Written Contracts, 13 Cat. L. Revision Comain For-PORTS 301 (1976) Enacted in part. Cal. Stats. 1975, Ch. 7. A new recommendation will be submitted to the 1976 session.