### Memorandum 69-87

Subject: Study 44 - Fictitious Business Names

Attached to this memorandum is a copy of the tentative recommendation (revised April 4, 1969) relating to fictitious business names that was distributed for comment and copies of the comments received. This memorandum will review the few new points raised and summarize the remainder. The staff hopes, however, that the Commissioners will read all of the attached letters in their entirety. At the September meeting, we further hope that the recommendation, with any desired changes, can be approved for printing.

As noted above, there is actually very little new ground to be covered. The newspapers continue to resist any change in the present system that may affect them. See Exhibits V, VII, and X. Specifically, they oppose (1) the reduction in the number of publications from four to one (Section 17915); (2) the elimination of the publication of the acknowledgment (you will recall this is accomplished in the present recommendation by elimination completely of a requirement that the statement be acknowledged. See Section 17912); (3) the elimination from the publication of the residence address(es) of the registrant; and (4) the authorization of the county clerk to furnish summaries of filings (Section 17922). However, the staff does not believe any new arguments or facts are presented in support of this position. Accordingly, this memorandum will not prolong the debate but merely notes the issues presented.

You will also note the expression of support for central filing from two private attorneys (see Exhibits I and XII), a representative consumer credit reporting bureaus (Exhibit II), and the Department of

Corporations (Exhibit IX). Central filing was advocated in the research study and incorporated in earlier versions of the recommendation. However, the idea was abandoned and the decision to retain the present basic system of filing was made in July 1968. That decision was based primarily on the recognized convenience to many of local filing which would have to be retained even if a central filing system were established, and the desire to avoid the additional expense of dual filing. The Commission may wish to reconsider its decision, but the staff does not believe that anything new has been offered that was not previously considered—other than the unwillingness of the newspaper industry to accept the Commission's "compromise" proposal.

Turning from these somewhat general issues, the staff does wish to direct the Commission's attention to some more specific points raised. With respect to the persons required to comply with the statute, the following comments of Mr. Harold Marsh (Exhibit I) should be noted:

The treatment of Massachusetts trusts in the proposed statute is completely inadequate. This is highly important since all REIT's [Real Estate Investment Trusts] must be organized as Massachusetts trusts because of the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. [IRC § 856] Otherwise, this would not cause any concern since no one would use a Massachusetts trust unless he were forced to.

The statute clearly includes Massachusetts trusts within the filing requirement but all of its subsequent provisions, for example, Section 17911(e) refer only to individuals or to "general partners". In a Massachusetts trust there are trustees and shareholders, but neither individuals nor general partners. This failure to adequately deal with a Massachusetts trust runs throughout the remainder of the proposed statute.

It is obviously completely impossible for the names of all the shareholders of a Massachusetts trust to be filed, although they are more analogous to "general partners" than are the trustees. Nor do I see any reason why this statement should have to be filed every time there is a change in one or more of the trustees of a Massachusetts trust. These persons are analogous to the directors of a corporation and the Proxy Statements for their elections must be filed with the S.E.C. Therefore it is already public record of who is elected at each annual meeting and I cannot see any necessity for having to amend this statement every time such a change occurs in a REIT. . . . I would suggest

that . . . [an] exemption for Massachusetts trusts be phrased either to exempt those Massachusetts trusts which have an outstanding security registered under Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (and, therefore, are filing annual and periodic reports with the Securities Exchange Commission and are subject to the Securities Exchange Commission's proxy regulations), or to exempt those Massachusetts trusts which have not less than 100 shareholders (and, therefore, are presumably qualified REITs under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954). I do not think that it really makes any difference which of these approaches is taken, since I am quite sure there is no REIT in existence that has not been created by the making of a public offering which resulted in its acquisition by more than 500 shareholders, which brings it under the registration requirements of the Securities Exchange Act.

It should be noted that the inclusion of business or "Massachusetts" trusts under the coverage of the statute does not change prior law. However, the provision of a more meaningful sanction in our recommendation gives greater importance to the inclusion. The business trust is an antiquated form of business organization; there are, however, probably some such organizations still extant in California in addition to the ones Mr. Marsh refers to. For the most part, they are treated for taxation purposes, at least, as corporations. The real estate investment trust is simply a specific statutory exception to the general rule. While, for our purposes, generally speaking, the "shareholder" or beneficiary occupies the status most like a general partner and would, therefore, probably be the one required to be listed on the fictitious business statement, Corporations Code Section 23001 specifically provides that shareholders or beneficiaries of a qualified real estate investment trust shall not be subject to personal liability, and they may not, therefore, be considered "general partners" under Section 17901 of the recommendation. Whether this distinction would be sufficient to differentiate real estate investment trusts and thus satisfy Mr. Marsh's criticism is uncertain. See Exhibit XI (attached) for the provisions relating to real estate investment trusts. An appropriate exemption could be included

using the language of Corporations Code Section 23000. On the other hand, a different approach is suggested by the Commission's previous recommendation relating to the liability of unincorporated associations (see Corporations Code Sections 24000-24007 -- attached as Exhibit XII). There the Commission provided for the filing of a statement with the Secretary of State which contains among other things the name of the unincorporated association and the address of its principal office in the state, if it has such an office. Such filing also permits any person to secure the information necessary to at least serve process on the association. We could perhaps amend Section 17900 to define "fictitious business name" in the case of an unincorporated association as any name other than the association name filed with the Secretary of State in accordance with Section 24003 of the Corporations Code. This would make treatment of unincorporated associations analogous to that of corporations, still provide an adequate source of information to potential litigants, and perhaps solve Mr. March's problem. This alternative would secure the benefits of central filing suggested by Mr. March in Exhibit I.

Further comments with respect to the coverage of the statute were received from the Department of Corporations.

The proposed changes to the now existent statute would provide (with some exceptions) a requirement of filing a fictitious-name statement by every person who regularly transacts business in this state for profit under a fictitious name.

There are two definitional problems that may be posed with this provision. The first concerns the limits of "regularly": A quantitative or time value is not implied with the use of the term. This would have, of course, a disadvantage to some out-of-state businesses who may unknowingly cross a line from "occasionally" to "regularly" doing business in this state. [To the same effect, see comment (3) on page 1 of Exhibit XIII, a letter from Mr. Martin Gendel, a member of the Committee on the Commercial Code.]

Secondly, is the question of what is meant by "in this state." Questions will arise as to whether, for example, a mail-order house operating out of California would be subject to the provisions, or if solicitations made in California culminating in sales outside of the borders of California would be considered as being in this state.

The staff believes that these points were considered earlier by the Commission and that the consensus of feeling then was that recognizing the possible ambiguities, these issues were better left to judicial control.

In addition to coverage, both Mr. Marsh and the Department of Corporations commented on the problem of sanctions. Mr. Marsh noted:

The provisions of Section 17924(a) imposing a penalty of \$300 for each violation should in my opinion specify for what period of time this sum is due while the organization is in non-compliance. Otherwise, it would be possible for a court to say that this was \$300 per day since the person might be knowingly and willfully violating the statute each separate day. On the other hand, I do not think this can be simply \$300 for a perpetual violation, since otherwise the collection of the penalty would in effect be a license not to comply thereafter. I would suggest that it be \$300 per year.

### The Department noted:

The civil penalty of \$300 for non-compliance, enforced by civil action prosecuted by the county counsel or district attorney seems to have serious problems. The penalty may be too great where a person inadvertently fails to file within 40 days of operating a business, or where there is some question whether an out-of-state partnership is regularly doing business in this state, is "regularly doing business" or doing business "in this state" at all. On the other hand, a disreputable company may find that \$300 is a bargain price for remaining anonymous. The prosecution of such cases would lead to some anomalies since the small, more rural, counties where identity may be hard to conceal, would find that because of a lack of pressing matters in other areas would have a very zealous county counsel or district attorney prosecuting \$300 claims with regularity. In the more metropolitan counties, where there would seem to be more demand for identities being made known by the filing of statements, prosecutions would not be forthcoming with the same zeal since the press of criminal and civil litigation would not afford the time to the prosecutors.

Other enforcement alternatives should be considered. For example, prior to opening a bank account or obtaining telephone service in a fictitious business name, evidence may be required that compliance has been made with the statute.

With respect to the enforcement alternatives suggested by the Department, the staff does not believe the recommendation should or could place a law-imposed burden of enforcement upon private bodies, such as the telephone company or banking institutions. You will recall, however, that we have been advised that the latter do, in fact, frequently require compliance with the statute and will presumably continue to do so.

The problem of uneven enforcement efforts is not peculiar to this statute. It seems that the most we can provide are sound principles. :It should be noted, in this regard, that Section 17924(a) provides a maximum penalty of \$300, pleas of "good faith" or inadvertent noncompliance should be directed to the court. The temporal problem of Mr. Marsh is self-explanatory. The staff believes that the statute should at least be clear in this respect. The suggestion of Mr. Marsh could be implemented by revising Section 17924 as follows:

17924. (a) Any person who knowingly and willfully fails to comply during any calendar year or portion of a calendar year with the requirements of this chapter is liable civilly in a sum to be determined by the court not to exceed three hundred dollars (\$300) per year.

The Commission may, however, have intended that \$300 was the maximum penalty for a perpetual violation. This, of course, would be an open invitation to some firms to violate the statute .simply in the interest of economy. If this was the intention, the staff believes that at least an appropriate explanation in the Comment to this effect is needed.

Finally, one commentator would retain the present plea in abatement and "would seriously consider giving the aggrieved party in a civil action the right to recover certain monetary sanctions (e.g., the \$300.00). . . . " (see page 3, Exhibit XIII). The Commission has already rejected both suggestions; the latter partly on the ground that it would stimulate litigation. The staff believes that the prior decisions were sound.

You will note from Exhibit VIII that the recommendation should state
"more than one-fourth"--rather than "most"--of the certificates are published in legal newspapers. We will make this change in the recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

Jack I. Horton Associate Counsel MAURICE D. HINDIN

REX A. MCKITTHICK HAROLD MARSH, JR.

RONALD H. MALIN

RONALD M. SOHIGIAN

EDWARD P. SHIRLEY JOHN A. ELLIS

JOSEPH & TROY

JOHN & LAGLE

A.TOD HINDIN RICHARD W. LUESEBRINK ALLEN D. LENARD LEE SILVER ADMINISTRATION ROBERT H. POWSNER

### KKHIBIT I

### HINDIN, MCKITTRICK & MARSH

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

5920 WILSHIPE BOULEVARD

BEVERLY BILLS, CALIFORNYA GOOD

TELEPHONE (2:3) 557-7700

May 27, 1969

WASHINGTON, D. C. OFFICE FEDERAL BAR BUILDING 1815 H STREET, N. W. 20006 TELEPHONE: (202) DISTRICT 7-0829

> (WASHINGTON OFFICE PRACTICE LIMITED TO FEDERAL AGENCIES)

CABLE ADDRESS: HINMACHAR, BEVERLY HILLS

Maurice D. L. Fuller, Sr., Esq. Pillsbury, Madison & Sutro Standard Oil Building 225 Bush Street San Francisco, California 94104

### Dear Del:

I have received the draft tentative recommendation of the California Law Revision Commission relating to fictitious business names (as revised April 4, 1969), transmitted by Mr. Zellmann of the State Bar in his letter of May 14, 1969.

I have the following comments on this recommendation:

1. The treatment of Massachusetts trusts in the proposed statute is completely inadequate. This is highly important since all REIT's must be organized as Massachusetts trusts because of the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. Otherwise, this would not cause any concern since no one would use a Massachusetts trust unless he were forced to.

The statute clearly includes Massachusetts trusts within the filing requirement but all of its subsequent provisions, for example, Section 17911(e) refer only to individuals or to "general partners". In a Massachusetts trust there are trustees and shareholders, but neither individuals nor general partners. This failure to adequately deal with a Massachusetts trust runs throughout the remainder of the proposed statute.

It is obviously completely impossible for the names of all the shareholders of a Massachusetts trust to be filed, although they are more analogous to "general partners" than are the trustees. Nor do I see any reason why this statement should have to be filed every time there is a change in one or more of the trustees of a Massachusetts trust. These persons are analogous to the directors of a corporation and the Proxy Statements for their elections must be filed with the S.E.C. Therefore it is already public record of who is

### HINDIN, MCKITTRICK & MARSH

Maurice D. L. Fuller, Sr., Esq. May 27, 1969
Page 2

elected at each annual meeting and I cannot see any necessity for having to amend this statement every time such a change occurs in a REIT.

- 2. The provisions of Section 17924(a) imposing a penalty of \$300 for each violation should in my opinion specify for what period of time this sum is due while the organization is in non-compliance. Otherwise, it would be possible for a court to say that this was \$300 per day since the person might be knowingly and willfully violating the statute each separate day. On the other hand, I do not think this can be simply \$300 for a perpetual violation, since otherwise the collection of the penalty would in effect be a license not to comply thereafter. I would suggest that it be \$300 per year.
- As one fundamental suggestion, which I think we should pursue very strenuously, I recommend that this filing be a central filing in the Secretary of State's office so that it can be put on the same computer and coordinated with the filings of financing statements under the U.C.C. If we were correct in our insistence on central filing under Article 9 of the U.C.C., and I think that events have demonstrated beyond a shadow of a doubt that we were, all of the reasons for and advantages of such central filing are equally applicable of these fictitious names. Furthermore, this would permit the tying in of the fictitious name filing with the U.C.C. and at some time in the future we could, for example, provide that a filing of a financing statement in any fictitious name which was also filed would be a proper filing under the U.C.C. A search would then turn up both records. Furthermore, if a person simply knows the name but not where the principal office of an organization is located, it is impossible for him to find the file under the proposal of the Law Revision Commission unless he searches in every county until he turns it up. We have led the nation in moving into the electronic age under the U.C.C. and I think we should vigorously support the continued preeminence of California in that respect.

Sincerely yours

Harold Marsh, Jr.

HM:jr

cc: Members of the Committee on the Uniform Commercial Code

Mr. John H. Demoully, California Law Revision Commission

## HINDIN, MCKITTRICK & MARSH

8920 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD BEVERLY HILLS, CALIFORNIA BOSIL TELEPHONE (213) 657-7700

July 12, 1969

WASHINGTON, D. C. OFFICE
FEDERAL BAR BUILDING
1815 H STREET ALW. 20008
TELEPHONE (202) DESERTE COSSO
(WASHINGTON OFFICE LIMITED TO FEDERAL AGENCIES)

CABLE ADDRESS: HIMMACHAR, BEVERLY HILLS

HAROLD MARSH, JR.
RONALD H. MALIN
JOSEPH F. TROY
RONALD M. SOHIGIAN
EDWARD R. SHIRLEY
JOHN A. ELLIS
JOHN F. LAGLE
A. TOD HINDIN
RICHARD W. LUESEBRINK
ALLEN D. LENARD
LEE SILVER

MAURICE J. HINDIN

REX A. MCKITTRICK

ROBERY H. POWSNER OF COUNSEL

Mr. John H. DeMoully
Executive Secretary
California Law Revision Commission
School of Law
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

Re: Fictitious Business Name Statute

Dear John:

In reply to your letter of May 28, 1969, I would suggest that the exemption for Massachusetts trusts be phrased either to exempt those Massachusetts trusts which have an outstanding security registered under Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (and, therefore, are filing annual and periodic reports with the Securities Exchange Commission and are subject to the Securities Exchange Commission's proxy regulations), or to exempt those Massachusetts trusts which have not less than 100 shareholders (and, therefore, are presumably qualified REITs under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954). I do not think that it really makes any difference which of these approaches is taken, since I am quite sure there is no REIT in existence that has not been created by the making of a public offering which resulted in its acquisition by more than 500 shareholders, which brings it under the registration requirements of the Securities Exchange Act.

So far as the justification for the exemption is concerned, it seems to me that the Commission's draft statute wholly fails to deal with Massachusetts trusts in any meaningful fashion and is enough indication that the Commission did not give eadequate consideration to this problem. The fact is that a REIT is merely a business organization which

100

Mr. John H. DeMoully July 12, 1969

Page - 2

would always be a corporation except for the fact that the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code forced it to adopt this antique, 19th Century form of organization. Otherwise, it is organized and operated as much like a corporation as possible. Unless the Commission is going to require the filing of one of these documents every time a corporation changes a member of its Board of Directors, then there is no conceivable reason to require such a filing when a REIT changes a member of its Board of Trustees. As far as requiring such a filing whenever one of the shareholders transfers his interest, I do not think it would take any argument to demonstrate that this is impossible.

Sincerery,

Harold Marsh, Jr.

HM/bm

cc: Maurice D. L. Fuller, Esq.

CHARLES J. BENSON
16 STOCKTON STREET
9AN PRANCISCO 94108

July 2, 1969

California Law Revision Commission Room 30, Crothers Hall Stanford University Stanford, Calif. 94305

Gentlemen:

Re: California Fictitious Business Name Statute - Proposed Revisions.

The proposed recommendations apparently will not hamper the operation of consumer credit reporting bureaus, with whom I am affiliated, nor does there seem to be any presentations with which it would be difficult for reporting agencies to comply.

One comment made by an associate was that "sanctions for failure to comply with the statute do not include the usual requirement of compliance with the statute as a condition to maintaining a suit upon a claim." It was pointed out that the statutes in Idaho, Oregon and Washington all have this feature.

It was also commented upon that the County Clerk is required to file any fictitious name submitted upon payment of the required fee without regard to whether or not the name would be in use by any other and whether or not the name may be that of a corporation.

Comment was also made that the recited situation is the same in the States of Idaho and Washington but that Oregon is different. Oregon is unique in that all assumed business names are required to be filed with the corporation commissioner, and one cannot use in Oregon an assumed business name which is the same as, or very similar to, the name of a corporation or an assumed business name used by another.

After approving the filing of an assumed business name (in Oregon) by the corporation commissioner, the name is then filed in those counties in which the party having the assumed business name transacts business. This procedure

California Law Revision Commission Page 2 72/69

avoids conflict and confusion in the use of corporation and assumed business names in Oregon.

A further reference was made to Section 6875 governing collection agencies in California, which requires that "a license not be issued in a name which is the same or so similar to that of an existing licensee that would tend to deceive the public."

Charles J.

CJB/mw

My personal view— the Oregon requirement of contratization appears désirable.

# Collection & Contact Agency

LICENSED

## COLLECTION AGENCY

BONDED

CONTACT CALLS

COLLECTIONS

CREDIT REPORTING

577 - 14th STREET

OAKLAND 12. CALIFORNIA

GLencourt 2-0148

April 14, 1969

\*California Law Revision Commission School of Law Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

### Gentlemen:

I have studied your "Tentative Recommendation relating to Fictitious Business Names" and it is my lay opinion that it would serve as a satisfactory substitute for the present time-tested statutes were there any valid reasons for abandoning them.

You are to be congratulated for aborting the a priori " Secretary of State " scheme you initially propounded.

As an archivist, the writer admonished you of the legal fraternity to bear in mind that at least some of the essence of law is the intent of the framers and "revision" and "re-interpretation", however objective, may wholly distort that essence.

Very respectfully.

ih/1

JOHN HEALY

### KIHIBIT IV

JACOBS & MUSHRUSH

AC - ごびびは A、とも位置機関 のというけいひ 4、例は集制機能集制 ATTORNEYS AT LAW

505 DENTRAL TOWER

700 MARKET STREET

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94100

(415: EXERCON H1060

April 21, 1969

California Law Revision Commission School of Law Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

Re: Tentative Recommendation #44, Revised April 4, 1969, relating to Fictitious Business Names

### Gentlemen:

The undersigned has received and studied your revised recommendation \$44 relating to a change in the fictitious business names statute,

Is hould like to say that I am wholly in accord with the revision and, if I had any criticism of it at all, it would be that it is not going to go into effect soon enough.

The present system has resulted in the ridiculous situation whereby, in order to avoid a demurrer, it must be alleged in a complaint that the fictitious names statute presently in existence has been complied with, whether it has or not.

of this is that attorneys insert an allegation of compliance whether there has been compliance or not, thus putting the defendant in an action to the burden of determining if indeed there has been compliance in order to effectively raise the issue. If the issue is effectively raised and there has not been compliance, the only necessity is that the attorney for the plaintiff then comply. This serves no valid purpose, except to obfuscate the judicial process by a totally unrelated and peripheral matter.

Nevertheless, a proper recordation of the use of fictitious names is, in the writer's opinion, most beneficial, as many people go into business in this State deliberately hiding their identity for fraudulent purposes, or at best, for the purpose California Law Revision Commission April 21, 1969 Page -2-

of avoiding their creditors while they conduct their business, and the statute would seem to be remedial of this problem.

If there is sufficient time to bring this matter before the Legislature, I would suggest that the operative date be made July 1, 1970, rather than July 1, 1971. I cannot see where there would be any substantial opposition to this legislation. While it is true the newspaper publishers of this type of notification would lose three publications on each item, they would also gain a much greater total of items and that would seem to make up any deficiency in that respect.

I sincerely hope this matter will be vigorously pressed before the Legislature.

Very truly yours,

JACOBS & MUSHRUSH

Raymond L. Mushrush

RLM: bw



# The Daily Transcript

734 FIRST AVENUE + POST OFFICE BOX 1870 • SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92112



28 July, 1969

California Law Revision Commission School of Law Stanford, California 94305

Dear Sire,

A reading of the proposed Fictitious Business Name Statute and the commente attached thereto raises many practical questions, particularly in regard to the supposed readiness of the general public to check with county officials and the propriety of government agencies issuing lists in competition with private business. Naturally, newspaper publishers may be felt to be interested parties and therefore biased. However, I am venturing to offer some thoughts on the proposed statute, for the long and close experience with leagel notice common to publishers of court and commercial newspapers does have some practical value.

- 1. Publication of fictitious names is for the purpose of giving immediate notice of facts which might otherwise neven come to light. If a person has no reason to suspect that a business association which may deceive him, or which for many other reasons he should know about, is to be made he will certainly not investigate such associations. It is not enough for him to know where to check up on known businesses with whom he deals; he must know it at the time when business associations are formed which may affect his interests. Recently major stockholders in a local bank formed a partnership the essence of which was agreement to sell their bank shares only in a pre-arranged manner. Without publication of their fictitious name, other shareholders would have no reason to suspect this action, which vitally affected their interests. It is advisable to retain requirements for publication of names of limited partners.
- 2. By substituting issuance of a list made by the county clerk on a subscription basis, the commission is in effect demanding that newspapers continue to publish fictitious names, but rather than receiving payment, they must pay for the information. For in order to retain subscribers, newspapers such as ours bill be obliged to publish the clerk's list.
- 3. No more than one address is needed, as suggested by the commission. But in many cases, the proposed business has no address other than a postoffice box. In such a case, the residence address of at least one partner should be required.
- 4. If the requirement for a sworn statement is dropped, it should be replaced with a form for certifying under penalty of perfury, as in the new certificates



734 FIRST AVENUE \* POST OFFICE BOX 1870 \* SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92112

Page 2 - California Law Revision Commission

of publication which have replaced the old affidavite.

5. If the registrant has no place of business in this state, his notice should be filed and published in each county. Publication in Sacramento County only is tantamount to no publication.

6. The current requirement for four publications should be retained. It ensures that the notice will not be passed over by accident, and that notice of formation of a business association will reach all parties in time for them to take any action they consider necessary.

I very much appreciate the courtesy of the Commission in making it possible for me to register these comments on some of the practical sides of the new proposal. Only those features of the proposal which in my opinion were not advisable have been covered; many other features of it represent improvements and it is certainly always desirable to revise statutes and bring them into line with modern conditions. The Commission is performing a most necessary function in this regard, and I am sure all interested persons appreciate this.

One more small comment, probably irrelevant. The title Flotitious Name is very misleading to the general public, most of whom feel that aspersions are somehow being cast on the legitimacy of their business name, taking it to mean something similar to 'alias'. Would it be at all possible to re-name the notice, perhaps by simply aliminating the word 'fictitious'?

Sincerely yours,

(Mrs. Quinn Hornaday)

AGHiha



#### EXECUTIVE OFFICES:

989 MARKET STREET

P. O. BOX 3857 . Phone 781-3960 SAM FRANCISCO, CALIF. 94119

## CREDIT MANAGERS ASSOCIATION

OF NORTHERN AND CENTRAL CALIFORNIA

#### FRISNO

FRESNO, CALFF. 93732 Phone 255-7477 W. ASHMAN, Mar.

### OYMINARDAR

SACRAMENTO, CAUS, 95822 Phone 451.8415 C. W. FOURS, Right

#### SAN JUSE

P. O. BOX 807 . 1401 FUETON STREET . N. C. BOX 802 . SEAS - SETH STREET . HELDY, SAN MAR OS ST. . SAN TOSE P. C. Box 200 Santa Clara, Calif. 95952 Prone My ila R. I. VELASK, Mgs.

### STOCKTON

P. O. BOX 1948 . 1831 NO. EL DORADO STOCKTON, CALIF, 98201 Plione #65-4988 P. H. REMPEL, Mar.

#### **OFFICERS**

President A. A. MEPHERSON Owens Illinois, Inc. Vice President W. B. VAN VEEAR Zelierbach Paper Company Tracepror P. E. WAHLSTROM Von Waters & Rogers Assistant Treasurer W. CROFT

The Bank of California, N.A. Executive Vice President - Secretary C. S. SWANSON

Assistant Secretary E. C. SCHMIDT

### DIRECTORS

Counteror

R. G. WINDEN Wells Forgo dank G. P. BOERI Borden Company, Western Dist. L. DALTON Pacific Metals Company R. H. DANEKE The Bank of California, N.A., Sacromento G. M. FURLOW United States Steel Corporation R. M. GRAHAM Joseph George Distributor, San Jose D. N. HORGAN California & Hawaiian Sugar Company C. C. MANGER Crown Zellerback Corporation

R. O. MEANS Durkee Famous Foods WALT OLIYER Albert Paper Company, Stockton L. C. PAINTER McCormick & Company, Inc. W. G. RISDON Fidelity & Deposit Company of Maryland W. L. SHAFFFR Federal Steel & Supply Company, Fresno E. H. WENTWORTH Lawrence Warehouse Company

## N.A.C.M.

Director, Western Division C. B. SONNE Standard Oil Company of California

## CHAIRMEN EXECUTIVE COMMITTEES

FRESNO L. D. LAYNE First Western Bank & Youst Co. **ERAMENTO** .. DENTON Union Oit of California SAN JOSE

D. E. OWEN Law Jones Construction Co. STOCKTON

H. J. DAMOS Connell Motor Truck Co., Inc.

July 31, 1969

Mr. John H. DeMoully California Law Revision Commission 30 Crothers Hall Stanford University Stanford Da 94305

Dear Mr. DeMoully:

In view of the fact that many of our members carry their accounts under trade names we are opposed to any changes in the fictitious firm name statutes that would in any way out down the amount of vital information presently available from the filed document in the County Clerks offices or the copies as published in the various newspapers.

S. Kumli

(Chairman Legislative Committee Board of Trade of San Francisco Credit Managers Association of N & C California Credit Managers Association of Southern California San Diego Wholesale Credit Men's Association

Wholesalers Credit Association, Oakland

State Capida State Capida Gagrampheo, Calefornia Serie Tro: 445-38-4

DISTRICT OFFICE Bully 210 500 West Shew Avenuz FRESNO, CALIFORNIA SATOS TELI 208--294-3293

> MADARA Tul. 2094--679-99600

Mary 69-87 FEREST VIII

Assembly

California Megislature

Rules
Adriculticy
Local Specialment
Loint Escriblatine Suddry
State Electronic Data
Processeries Policy Corrected

FRNEST N. MOBLEY
ASSEMBLYMAN THREST PROPERTY

ASSIVED V SULES COMALITYE

May 25, 1969

1. 6

Mr. Norman A. Webster Daily Real Estate Report P. O. Box 125 Presno, California

Dear Norma

Thank you for your letter and your comments regarding the California Law Revision Committee's publication of fictitious name certificates.

We are sending your letter to the Executive Secretary of the Committee, John H. DeMoully, and asking him to communicate with you direct regarding your thoughts on this subject.

I apologize for the delay in taking care of this and hope the matter can be worked out to your satisfaction.

With kindest regards and best wishes, I am

Sincerely yours,

ERWEST W. MORLEY

EMM: Mi

ce: John H. DeMoully

## DAILY REAL ESTATE REPORT

ABSTRACT OF RECORDS

DAILY COURT AND COMMERCIAL NEWSPAPER - ESTABLISHED

M. S. WEBSTER SONS, Publisher

Telephone 2138-40 Merced Street FRESNO 21, CALIFORNIA

April 28, 1969

Assemblyman Brnest A. Mobley State Camital Building Secramento, California

Sear Friend Ernie:

First, I want to commend you for your sincere efforts as a representative of the people in our Assembly Dig rict.

I know that you are besieged with demands and requests from various individuals and groups and realizing these time consuming communications we have endeavored to refrain from unnecessary correspondence.

Our attention has been called to a California Law Revision Committee recommendation regarding the oublication of fictitious name cartificates.

Present law provides for publication once a week for four weeks. It is proposed that one publication -will maffice. :

The purpose of legal publications is to inform the public. With only one publication such a notice sould easily be overlooked. We trust that you will recognize the merit in the provision for more than one publication in important legal notices.

· Kindest regards and hest wishes.

Respectfully yours

DAILY REAL ESTATE REPORT

Mr. Forman A. Webstor Daily Boal Estate Report P. O. Box 126 Presse, California

Dear Mr. Websters

Assemblyman Mobiley has forwarded your commute communing the Law Marision Commission's tentative recommendation on fictitious business name contificates. The tentative recommendation is not necessarily the recommendation that the Commission will submit to the Legislature. (See the "MARKER MOTE" on the sever of the stelland tentative recommendation.)

In case you have not seen the artical tentative recommendation, I am enclosing a copy. I am also sucleating a Xerox copy of a pertion of a research study propered for the Commission which provides background information on the publication requirement. The research study does not represent the views of the Commission.

After considerable discussion whether the publication requirement should be climinated entirely, the Cossission—taking into account the views of the necessary representatives who attended our sections when this subject was discussed—determined to rotain one publication of the flotiphone business name crytificates in an improved form. In this commertion, it should be noted that there is now videspread noncompliance with the existing statute and that most likely there will be a substantial increase in compliance under the new statute with a resulting substantial increase in the swater of cortificates (to be called "statutesta" under the new set) that will be published.

The Commission would very much appreciate receiving any comments you may have opportung the testative recommendation. It would be especially beinful if you could provide us with information concerning the portuge who estably use the published certificates inliesal nevergapers as a source of information since we are advised that the efficial record in the county cloud's office is the source of information used by almost everyone interested in this information.

I believe that the tentative recommentation makes the best case possible for vatenties of the publication requirement. If you could suggest any revisions of this parties of the tentative recommendation that would strengthen the argument in support of retention of the publication requirement. I am sure that your suggestions would be belieful when the Commission determines what recommendation, if any, it will make to the Legislature on this subject.

fileseraly,

John H. DeMoully Executive Secretary

JED: E.J

MEDIERBHIC (INCOMPLETE) (ALHAMBIA) POST-RE OCCATE (ALTADENA) THE ALTADENAN ANGELES MESA (LOS ANGELES) NEWS-ADVERTISER THE ARCADIA TRIBUNE ARTESIA NEWS (AVALOR) THE CATALINA ISLANDER TUBA HERALD AND POMOTROPIC LOWIN PARK BULLETIN LLLFLOWER HERALD-ENTERPHISE SELL GARDENS REVIEW (SELL-MAYWOOD) INDUSTRIAL POST THE SELVEDERS CITIZEN SEVERLY HILLS CITIZEN BURSANK REVIEW CANOGA PARK HERALD TRISUNE CHATEWORTH HERALD TRIBUNE CLAREMONT COURIER COMPTON HERALD AMERICAN AND THE COMPTON HERALD THE COVINA ARQUE-CITIZEN COLVER CITY) EVENING STAR NEWS DOWNEY LEADER DOWNEY LIVE WIRE (DOWNEY) BOUTHEAST NEWS AND DOWNEY CHAMPION THE DUARTEAN AND DUARTE DISPATCH

EAST LOS ANGELES GAZETTE EAST LOS ANGELES GAZETTE EAST LOS ANGELES TRIBUNE EASTSIDE (LOS ANGELES) JOURNAL EAST WHITTIER REVIEW EL MONTE HERALO

EL MONTE HERALD

EL SEGUNDO HERALD

EL SERENO STAR
(KIRSTONE PARK) SOUTHEAST NEWS-PRESS
AND FIRESTONE PARK NEWS
THE FLORENCE MESSENGER
GARDENA VALLEY NEWS
GLENDORA PRESS
GLENDORA PRESS
GUENDORA PRESS
GRIFFITH PARK NEWS
HERMOSA BEAGN REVIEW
HIGHLAND PARK NEWS-HERALD & JOURNAL HIGHLAND PARK NEWS-HERALD & JOURNAL (HOLLTWOOD) CITIZEN NEWS HUNTINGTON PARK DALLY SIGNAL INGLEWOOD DAILY NEWS (LANCASTER) ANTELOPE VALLEY LEDGER-GAZETTE

LA PUENTE VALLEY JOURNAL LAS VINGENED ENTERPRIDE LA VERNE LEADER LAWNDALE TRIBUNE & HORTH MONETA GARDEN-LANDS TRIBUNE

CARDEN-LANDS INTOWAL
LENNOX CITYZEN
LINCOLN HEIGHTS (LOE ANGELES)
BULLETIN-NEWS
MITA NEWS AND LOWITA PROGRESS
LONG BEACH INDEPENDENT
LONG BEACH PRESS-TELEGRAM (LOS ANGELES) HERALD DISPATCH LOS ANGELES SENTINEL LOS PELIZ HILLS NEWS THE LYNWOOD PRESS THE MALIBU TIMES MANHATTAN BEACH NEWS THE MAYMOOD JOURNAL (MONROYIA) DAILY NEWS-POST AND MORROVIA DAILY NEWS-POST

THE MORTHWEST (LOS ANGELES) LEADER
HONTEREY PARK PROGRESS
(MONTROSE) THE LEDGER
HEWHALL SIGNAL AND SAUGUS ENTERPRISE
THE NORTHWEST (LOS ANGELES) LEADER
HORWALK CALL Norwack Call
(Pacific Palibadian Post
(Palibale) Antelope Valley Press
The Palos Verdes News
The Paramount Journal
Parkside (Los Angeles) Journal
Paradena Star-News

PARADENA STAR-NEWS
THE PICO (LOS ANGELES) POST
PICO-RIVERA TIMES POST
, POMONA) PROGRESS-BULLETIN
(REDONDO BEACH) SOUTH BAY DAILY BREEZE
THE ROSEMEAD REVIEW
BAN DIMAS PRESS
THE SAN FERNANDO VALLEY SUN
(SAN FERNANDO VALLEY SUN
(SAN FERNANDO VALLEY TIMES
EAN CABRIEL SUN
EAN CABRIEL VALLEY INDEPENDENT
EAN GABRIEL VALLEY DAHLY TRISUNE
THE SAN MARINO TRISUNE
SAN PEDRO HEWS-PILOT

ean pedro news-pilot (Santa Monica) evening outlook the Sierra Madre Hews SIGNAL WILL TRIBUNE

SOUTH GATE PRESS SOUTH PASADENA REVIEW

SOUTH PASADENA REVIEW
SOUTHWEST (LOS ANGELES) TOPICS-W-SYE
SOUTHWEST (LOS ANGELES) WAVE
TARZANA HERALD TRISUMS
TEMPLS CITY TIMES
"GRANCE) PRESS-HERALD
BURG (LOS ANGELES) NEWS-ADVENTISER
JURGA) RECORD LEDGER OF
THE VARDUGO HILLS
THE VAN NUYS NEWS
(VENICS) EVENING VANGUARD
(WATTS) LOS ANGELES SYAR REVIEW
WESTICHESTER HEWS-ADVERTISER
WESTICHESTER HEWS-ADVERTISER
WESTICHESTER HEWS-ADVERTISER (MHILLINE) LIKE DVITA HEMR MERLYOOD HIFTE HIGHENDEHDEKL MERLYOOD HIFTE HIGHEN MERLYME (TOS WHORTES) LOEL MERLYME (TOS WHORTES) LO (WILMIMOTON) PREES-JOURNAL AND HARBOR MAIL THE WILSHIRE PRESS

TOALL

## Los Angeles Newspaper Service Hureau

(INGOSPORATED)

LEGAL ADVERTISING CLEARING HOUSE COOPERATIVELY OWNED AND OPERAYED BY NAMEDATINE OF LOS ANGELES CITY AND COUNTY ESTABLISHED 1934

220-224 WEST FIRST STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 YALEPHONE 628-1041

OFFICERS

M. A. LAWSON, PRESIDENT EAGLE ROCK SENTINEL

W. J. VALENTINE, VICE PRESIDENT LANCASTER ANTELOPE VALLEY LEDGER-GAZETTE

DEANE FUNK, DIRECTOR SANTA MONICA EVENING OUTLOOK

F. HAROLD ROACH, DIRECTOR TEMPLE CITY TIMES

RALPH HARKHAM, DIRECTOR VAN NUYS NEWS

TELFORD WORK, BECRETARY-TREASURES AND MANAGER

ROBERT E. WORK, ASSISTANT SECRETARY-TREASURER

July 25, 1969

Mr. John H. DeMoully California Law Revision Commission 30 Crothers Hall Stanford University Stanford, California

Dear Mr. DeMoully:

Our date book tells us that August first is the deadline for suggestions and comments on your revised proposal for asking the legislature for amendatory enactments on the fittitious firm name filing and publication laws.

Our newspapers are still opposed to your method of seeking revisions to the law. However, we do have three observations to make should it be the Commission's decision to proceed with the matter.

We draw your attention to the fact that in the publication of all documents placed on file, the printed matter should be an exact copy of the recorded or filed document. This is the principle followed in the publication of Uniform Commercial Code documents, sale notices under trust deed foreclosure procedure, sales of real estate in probate, etc. Such identical reparduction can leave no room for ambiguity in the notification or misinterpretation by printers or others or later legal arguments in the courts or among banks and credit people. Certainly if partners' home addresses are included in the original filing with the County Clerk, they should likewise be included in the published notice. This goes for the acknowledgement also and for all changes in the document when republication is found necessary.

On page 8 of your most recent "tentative recommendation", we note that you are still desirous of putting the County Clerk into the business of providing the members of the public with periodic summaries or compilations of filings under the statute. As we have heretofore written you, this is a function presently being well performed by numerous reporting agencies throughout the state as well as by several so-called "legal" newspapers. We are told that this is a publishing function properly belonging in the

realm of private enterprise which is not desired by the County Clerks and which will require additional cost in governmental overhead which public officials do not desire should such an alternative be written into the law.

3. Should it be the decision of the Law Revision Commission to proceed with the program to abbreviate or eliminate the publication factor in the fictitious firm name statutes and should it be the decision to proceed with putting County Clerks in the business of filing, recording, and publishing business records, then certainly as a matter of consistency, the County Clerks also should be authorized to provide the public with printed forms for the filings together with clerical assistance in filling out the forms. With such additional services from County functionaries, the public would save the expense of attorney fees, sometimes considerable, in the preparation and filing of such documents. From an attorney's standpoint, it would relieve him of the mundame details which admittedly under the filing and publication requirements are largely mechanical and Actually, of the approximately 3,200 certificates published in the Southern California "legal" newspapers last year, the bulk were placed by lawyers who, under appropriate future legislation, would be able to delegate to the County Clerks the document drafting function heretofore performed by them.

We note in your present presentation of 52 mimeographed pages mention of the fact that fictitious name certificates are published in California largely in the so-called "legal" newspapers. In a previous document, it was stated that most of them were published in legal newspapers rather than in newspapers read by the general public. We enclose a copy of a study made by our office for the  $6\frac{1}{2}$  month period beginning last August 1 and ending January 18, 1969. It shows that the figure used by you of 20,000 certificates each twelve month period in Los Angeles is approximately correct. The Los Angeles record actually is 10,700 for the  $6\frac{1}{2}$  month period mentioned above. Of these, 7,781 were published in newspapers read by the general public. The remaining number of 3,219 were in the so-called "legal" newspapers. The Los Angeles Daily Journal with a daily circulation in excess of 7,000 published 2,500 of the 3,219 in "legal" papers or about 25 percent of the County grand total. We point out, however, that in all the Daily Journal solicitations, the prospective advertisers are handed the enclosed circular listing the local newspapers available to them for their publications & their discretion and at no expense for filing and other services offered by the Daily Journal and at competitive prices. We think that in this one aspect of your report recommending that all such notices be printed in the newspaper best equipped to give local notification you are completely correct.

We may have other observations and some objections to the program proposed by you, for presentation at a later date along with those which you have received or will receive from the California Newspaper Publishers Association.

Respectfully,

LOS ANDELES NEWSPAPER

SÉRVICH BUREAU, INC.

Telford Work, Secretary-Treasurer

TW:mt Enc. 2

CC: Calif. Newspaper Pub. Assn. W.G. Sharp, L.A. Cty Clerk

7-25-69 DeMoully

P. S.: The attached copy of Jetter written to you on this matter last Jan. 7, 1969, by Ben Martin, General Manager of California Newspaper Publishers Association, would seem to be pertinent to your present discussion.

1- 1

## SURVEY OF CERTIFICATES OF BUSINESS FILED AND PUBLISHED IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY (Aug. 1, 1968, to Feb. 18, 1969--6-1/2 mos.)

		В	C		h	<u> </u>		rojected on Basis in Columns B and	
<u>Month</u>	A Total <u>Filed</u>		y L.A.	Daily	Publ. by Other Police (legal and No.		D Community Newspapers	E Legal Mewspapers	
<u>988</u>		de la descripción de la descri		1			A desired to the second		
Aug. Jept. Out. Hov. Jes.	1,699 1,607 1,604 1,604	ිවාදි 6 970 ද 860 දි	A 385 3 373 3 381 A 421 1 273	22 26 21 26 23	021 . 159 470 323 364	13 11 26 20 27	166 113 338 216 255	55 46 132 107 100	
<u> 1962</u>		), e galvine							
Aan. Pab. (1-18)	1,948 867	1,064 5 538	5 523 D 219	53 54	361 80		242 54	. 119 26	
An alamanana lakera	(0,700	<b>C.007</b> 8	7 2,625 % of toca	25	1,078	18 of total	1,384* 6,097	5)11	erindrinamen

7,58), in local nawspapers of Grand Total \*Extension of the 82% proportions to the 18% not tabulated because no newspaper itemization available.

## ens Angeles Bailu Journal

OFFICIAL PAPAR FOR CITY AND COUNTY OF LOB ANGELES

225 WEST FIRST STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA BOOKS

### SPECIMEN NOTICES

CERTIFICATE OF BUSINESS
UNDER FICTITIOUS NAME
The undersigned do hereby certify that they are conducting a ladies ready-to-wear business as Co-Pariners at 800 Atlantic Boulevard, Beil, California, under the fictitious firm name of PETITE STYLE SHOP, and that said firm is composed of the following persons, whose names and places of residence are as follows, to-wit:
Amelia Jones, 6729 Schmidt Ave., Torrance, California.
John Perriguey, 626 Fern Avenue, Inglewood, California.
Witness our hands this 36th day of August, 1260.

AMELIA JONES
JOHN PERRIGUEY
State of California,

State of California,
County of Los Angeles, ss.
On this 30th day of January. A.D., 1960, before me, a Notary Public in and for the said County and State, residing therein, duly commissioned and sworn, personally appeared Arcelia, Jones and John Perrigueg, known to me to be the persons whose memes are subscribed to the within lestrament, and acknowledged to me that they executed the same.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunio set my band and affixed my official seal the day and year in this certificate first above written.
(Seal)

L. H. MARIACHER,
Notary Public in and for the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
My Commission expires February 2, 1985.

Joe Doakes, Attorney at Law, 7510

1955. be Doakes, Attorney-at-Law, 7 Central Bidg., Los Angeles, Calif.

CERTIFICATE OF BUSINESS, FICTITIOUS NAME
The undersigned does certify he is conducting a business at 4150 West Washington Boulevard, Los Angeles is, California, under the fictilious firm name of A D V E R T I S I N G BAILOON CO., and that saie firm is composed of the following person, whose name in full and place of residence is as follows:
Benjamin J. Benjamin, 320 North Sweetzer, Los Angeles 48, California, Dated March 22, 1961.

BENJAMIN J. BENJAMIN State of California, Los Angeles County:
On March 22, 1961, before me, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared Benjamin J. Benjamin, known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged he executed the same.
(Seai) KATHRYN GRAHAM, Notary Public.

Certificate of Business

County Clerk's Filing Fee \$ 2.00 Minimum Publication \$20.00

Payable in advance unless previous arrangements have been made for credit.

Enclosed is a set of blank forms of CERTIFICATE OF BUSINESS. Fictitious Firm Name, for your convenience. See the law on the reverse side of the enclosed forms.

We shall be glad to take care of the filling of the original Certificate at the County Clerk's office as required by law, publish the notice once a week for four successive weeks as required by law, and at the end of such publication, without added charge, file our sworn proof of publication with the County Clerk as required by law, and send you a copy for your records.

If you desire a newspaper other than The Daily Journal to publish your Certificate please mark the enclosed list of newspapers with your preference and return the marked list with your copies and check.

Our minimum charge for publication is \$20.00, up to three partners' names, and one Notary jurat. This covers the entire four weeks' publication. The County Clerk's statutory filling fee of two dollars should be added by you to this publication charge, if we are to file the Certificate for you.

One caution: Despite any "free advice" offered you, we recommend that on any questions of a legal nature in connection with this publication or with any other matter, you promptly consult an attorney-at-law.

The Los Angeles Daily Journal offers you many other advantages, foremost of which is PROMPT, efficient publication reaching a wide audience of readers. The Journal is published EVERY day except Saturdays and Sundays. It has been publishing since 1888, and its daily circulation of 5,000 gives you valuable advertising.

We hope that you will call upon us if we can be of service.

Very truly yours

### henorarum o kom bloc

If you prefer that publication of your Certificate of Business be made once a week for four weeks (as required by law) in a local newspaper of your community please check on the list below the newspaper of your preference. The publication price of \$20 is standard for all of the newspapers listed, plus the county clerk's filling fee of \$2.00. We will provide the usual service in filling, file stamps, proof of publication, etc. Please attach check for \$22 to the Certificate forms when you return them in the enclosed, self-addressed reply envelope.

LOS ANGELES DAILY JOURNAL 220 W. 1st St., L.A. 90012 Telephone MAdison 5-2141

Names shown in parentheses are not part of newspapers' official titles.

(Alhambra) Post-Advocate	The Lynwood Press
Altadena) The Altadenan	The Malibu Times
Angeles Mese (Los Angeles) News-Advertiser	Manhattan Beach News
The Arcadia Tribune	The Maywood Journal
Artesia News	Monrovia Daily News-Post
Avalon) The Catalina Islander	The Montebello News
Azusa Herald and Pomotropic	Monterey Park Progress
Baldwin Park Bulletin	(Montrose) The Ledger
Bellflower Herald-Enterprise	Newhall Signal & Sougus Enterprise
Bell Gardens Review	ilie Northwest (Los Angeles) Leader
Bell-Maywood Industrial Post	Norwalk Cali
The Belvedere Cifizer.	[Pacific Palisades] The Palisadian-Post
Beverly Hills Citition	(Palmodele) Antelope Valley Press
Surbank Review	The Palos Verdes News
Canoga Park Herald-Tribune	The Paramount Journal
Chatsworth Herald-Tribune	Pasudena Independent
—Claremont Courier	Pasadena Star News
<ul> <li>Compten Herald American and The Compton Herald</li> </ul>	The Pico (Los Angeles) Post
The Covina Argus-Citizen	Pico Rivera News
(Culver City) Evening Star News	Pico-Rivara Times-Post
Downey Live Wire	(Pomona) Progress-Bulletin
Downey Southeast News & Downey Champion	[Redondo Beach] South Bay Daily Breeze
Duartean	Recede Herald-Tribune
Eagle Rock Sentinet	The Rosemead Review
East Los Angele's Gazette	San Dimas Press
East Los Angeles Tribune	The San Fernando Sun
East Whittier Review	_{San Fernando Valley) Valley Times
Eastside (Los Angeles) Journe)	San Gabriel Valley Daily Tribune
El Monte Herald	San Gabriel Valley Independent
El Segundo Herald	The San Marino Tribune
—El Sereno Star	San Pedro News-Pilot
(Firestone Park) Southeast News-Press and Firestone	(Sente Monice) Evening Outlook
Pack News	The Siecra Madre News
The Florence Messenger	Signal Hill Tribune
Gardena Valley News	South (mate Press
Glendale News-Press	South Pasadena Review
Glandora Press	Southwest (Los Angeles) Topics-Wave
Griffith Park News	Southwest (Los Angeles) Wave
Hawthorna Press	Tarzana Herold-Tribune
Hermosa Beach Review	Lamela City Times
Highland Park News-Herald	Topanga Journal and Malibu Monitor
(Hallywood) Citizen-News	CONDICE NEIGH
Huntington Park Daily Signal	Tribune (Los Angeles) News-Advertiser
Inglewood Daily News	(Tujunga) Record Ledger of The Verdugo Hills
-La Puente Valley Journal	The Van Nuys News
La Verne Leader	(Vanice) Evening Vanquard
(Lancaster) Antelope Valley Ledger-Gazetta	(Waits) Los Angeles Star Review
Lawndala Tribune & North Moneta Garden-Lands	Westchester News-Advertiser
Tribune	Wesitake (Los Angeles) Post
Lincoln Heights (Los Angeles) Bulletin-News	West Los Angeles Independent
Lomita News and Progress	Westwood Hills Press
Long Beach Independent	The (Wnittier) Daily News
Long Beach Pross-Telegrain	Wilmington Press-Journal and Harbor Mail
Los Angeles Sentinel	The Wilshire Press
Los Angeles Herald Dispatch	Woodland Hills Reporter
Los Fally Wille Mour	

Callenia

## Newspaper Publishers Association, Inc.

1927 - 19th Sheet, Secramente (95014) . . . . (916) 447-1877 BEN D. MARTIN, General Manager - # - MICMAIN B. DORAIS, Legislative Representativa

January 7, 1969

Mr. John H. DeMoully, Executive Secretary California Law Revision Commission Room 30, Crothers Hall Stanford, California

Dear Mr. DeMoully:

You are correct. We were not aware that the Commission will be meeting in Los Angeles Friday to discuss again the Fictitious Name Statute.

But as long as we are being invited merely as observers, I shall not worry about not having a staff member present, but will attempt to persuade a publisher to attend and observe.

It probably is just as well, anyway, that we now rest our case in defense of publication on the excellent findings of your legal research, the previous recommendations by your staff and the arguments favoring publication as cited in your most recent staff memo.

It will be unfortunate if the Commission does not take into consideration the evidence uncovered by your study and that of Gordon McClintock which definitely points to a trend among the states toward adopting statutes requiring publication.

We would hope this, plus the many reasons supporting publication, which were so well cited in brief on page 1 of Jack Horton's Memorandum 69-110, would be sufficient to cause the Commission to recognize the merit in your recommendation (as cited in Memorandum 68-64, page 3) and that of Mr. McClintock (as cited on pages 1388 and 1389 of his Hastings Law Journal article) and thus vote to retain publication, with some strengthening modifications which we worthwhile.

The information uncovered by Mr. McClintock which points out the definite trand among the states to incorporate publication requirements should be noted by the Commission.



Mr. John H. Demoully January 7, 1969 Page 2

It shows that, while four states many years ago adopted and then repealed publication, in the past 15 years six states have added publication.

Much mention is made of the fact that New York, the number two state in population, repealed its 1833 law requiring publication; but the same legal research reveals that today, three of the top five states in population now require publication (California, Pennsylvania and Illinois, the latter having amended its existing statute just five years ago to ADD publication and a penalty clause for not publishing).

Incidentally, it appears from the research that all of the statutes adding publication in recent years have included a penalty clause, or have added such a clause to an existing statute.

If any "trend" has been developed by studies conducted by Mr. McClintock and by your staff, it clearly shows that among larger states in particular, the trend in modern times definitely has been to discover that publication does afford the many social and economic benefits cited by Mr. Horton's Memorandum 68-110.

I need not add that we will be most interested in the Commission's conclusions on Friday.

Sincerely yours,

Ben D. Martin "General Manager

BDM:pah

cc: Sho Sato
Joseph A. Ball
Senator Alfred Son
Roger Arnebergh
Thomas E. Stanton, Jr.\*
Lewis K. Uhler
Richard H. Wolford
William Yale
George Murphy

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## **DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS**

ANTHONY R. PIERNO

Commissioner

FILE NO.



IN REPLY REFER TO:

Los Angeles, California August 11, 1969

California Law Revision Committee Stanford University School of Law Palo Alto, California

ATTENTION: Mr. John DeMoully

Gentlemen:

In response to your request, following are a few comments concerning the proposed changes in the California "fictitious name" statute.

### COVERACE OF STATUTE:

The proposed changes to the now existent statute would provide (with some exceptions) a requirement of filing a fictitious-name statement by every person who regularly transacts business in this state for profit under a fictitious name.

There are two definitional problems that may be posed with this provision. The first concerns the limits of "regularly": A quantitative or time value is not implied with the use of the term. This would have, of course, a disadvantage to some out-of-state businesses who may unknowingly cross a line from "occasionally" to "regularly" doing business in this state.

Secondly, is the question of what is meant by "in this state." Questions will arise as to whether, for example, a mail-order house operating out of California would be subject to the provisions, or if solicitations made in California culminating in sales outside of the borders of California would be considered as being in this state.

### PLACE OF FILING STATEMENTS:

It is proposed that a person be required to comply with the statute by filing his statement in the office of the County Clerk of the county in which he has his principal place of business and in the absence of such a principal place of business as in the case of an out-of-state business, the filing would be made in the Office of the County Clerk of Sacramento County. California Law Revision Committee August 11, 1969 Page 2

If the aim of the statute is to provide a place where the public can obtain information, there arises a problem with the company that has a principal place of business in one county and its contact with the public in many other counties. If the business is multiple county in scope, a member of the public will have difficulty in determining where to look for the filed statement. It may be on file with any one of several counties, or not at all.

Because of the generally wider territorial scope of businesses as compared to the time when the first provisions for the filing of fictitious-name statements were enacted, it seems more logical to have a system of central filing in the state.

### PUBLICATION:

The proposed Section 17915 would require publication of notice of filing a fictitious business name within 30 days after the statement is filed. The publication would be made in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the principal place of business of the registrant is located or if no such newspaper in that county, any newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining county. The times of publication would be cut from four consecutive weeks to a one-time publication.

Aside from the problems of determining in precisely which county businesses are to make publication, there is the basic consideration of the concept of publication itself. If the thrust of the proposed changes to the legislation is to more adequately protect the public, it seems incongruous that provisions would be continued for the publication of notice since it is probably the least likely method of notifying anyone of the general public. First of all, the publication in the more metropolitan areas is generally made through legal periodicals which are not read by the general public, but are newspapers which circulate to attorneys and a portion of the commercial world. Secondly, actual notice is not effectuated by a publication at the time it is needed. A person generally needs to know of the principals involved in a business at the time during or after which he has dealt with them. The publication, of course, if properly made, is usually prior to the time that the public comes in contact with the fictitiously-named company.

### SANCTIONS:

The civil penalty of \$300 for non-compliance, enforced by a civil action prosecuted by the county counsel or district attorney seems to have serious problems. The penalty may be too great where a

California Law Revision Committee August 11, 1969 Page 3

person inadvertently fails to file within 40 days of operating a business, or where there is some question whether an out-of-state partnership is regularly doing business in this state, is "regularly doing business" or doing business "in this state" at all. On the other hand, a disreputable company may find that \$300 is a bargain price for remaining anonymous. The prosecution of such cases would lead to some anomalies since the small, more rural, counties where identity may be hard to conceal, would find that because of a lack of pressing matters in other areas would have a very zealous county counsel or district attorney prosecuting \$300 claims with regularity. In the more metropolitan counties, where there would seem to be more demand for identities being made known by the filing of statements, prosecutions would not be forthcoming with the same zeal since the press of criminal and civil litigation would not afford the time to the prosecutors.

Other enforcement alternatives should be considered. For example, prior to opening a bank account or obtaining telephone service in a fictitious business name, evidence may be required that compliance has been made with the statute.

In conclusion, the law might more justifiably be revised so as to provide for a central filing point, eliminate the requirement for publication and provide a more self-regulating structure to gain compliance rather than through the use of courts.

Very truly yours,

james L. Kelly

Chief Deputy Commissioner

JLK:mcf

. MUMBESON D CHANGE CO. (ALHAMBRA) POST-ADVOCATE (ALTADEMA) THE ALTADEMAN ANGELES MESA (LOS ANGELES) MEWA.ANVESTIES HEWS-ADVERTISER
THE ARCADIA TRIBUNE
ANTESIA NEWS
(AYALON) THE CATALINA ISLANDER
AZUSA HERALD AND POMOTROPIC
LOWIN PARK BULLETIN LOWIN PARK BULLETIN
LLFLOWER HEAALD-ENTERPHISE
WELL GARDENS MEVIEW
(BELL-MAYWOOD) INDUSTRIAL POST
THE SELVEDERE CITIZEN
SEVERLY HILLS CITIZEN
SURBANK REVIEW BUMBANK REVIEW
CANGGA PARK HERALD TRIBUNE
CHATEWORTH HERALD TRIBUNE
CLAREMONT COURIER
COMPTON HERALD AMERICAN AND COMPTON HERALD AMERICAN AND THE CONFTON HERALD THE COVINA ARGUS-CITIZEN (CULVER CITY) EVENING STAR NEWS DOWNEY LEADER DOWNEY LEADER DOWNEY LIVE WIRE (DOWNEY) SOUTHEAST NEWS AND DOWNEY CHAMPION THE DUARTEAN AND QUARTE DISPATCH EAGLE ROCK SENTINEL EASY LOS ANGELES GAZETTS EAST LOS ANGELES TRIBUNE EAST LOS ANGELES TRIBUNE
EAST WHITTER REVIEW
EL MONTE HERALD
EL SEGUNDO HERALD
EL SEGUNDO HERALD
EL SEGUNDO PARK PUTHERS NEWS-PRESS
AND FIRESTONE PARK NEWS
THE FLORENCE MESSENGER
GARDENA VALLEY NEWS
GLENDORA PRESS
GLENDORA PRESS
GLENDORA PRESS
GREFFITH PARK NEWS
HAWTHORME PRESS ORIFFITH PARK NEWS
HAWTHORNE PRESS
HAWTHORNE PRESS
HERMOSA BEACH REVIEW
HIGHLAND PARK NEWS-HERALD & JOURNAL
HAGLIYWOOD CITIZEN NEWS
HUNTINGTON PARK DAILY SIGNAL
HAGLEWOOD DAILY NEWS
(LANCASTER), ANTELOPS VALLEY
LEDGER-GAZETTE
LA PUBNIE VALLEY JOURNAL
LAD VIRGENES ENTERPRISE
LA VENNE LEADER
LAWNOALE TINGUNE & NORTH MONETA
GARDEN-LANCE TRIBUNE GARDEN-LANGE TRIBUNE LENNOX CITIZEN LINCOLN HEIGHTS (LOS ANDRLES) BULLETIN-NEWS MULLETIN-NEWS MITA NEWS AND LOWITA PROGRESS AG BEACH INDEPENDENT LONG BEACH PRESS-TELEGRAM (LOS ANGELES) MERALD DISPATCH LOS ANGELES SENTINEL LOS FELIZ HILLS NEWS THE LYNWOOD PRESS THE MALISU TIMES THE MALISU TIMES
MANHATTAN SEACH NEWS
THE MAYWOOD JOURNAL
IMMINOVIA; DAILY REWS-POST AND
MONROVIA; DAILY NEWS-POST
THE MONTERELLO NEWS
MONTEREY PARK PROGRESS MONTHORE) THE LEDGER NEWHALL BIGNAL AND BAUGUS ENTERPRISE THE MORTHWEST (LOS ANSELES) LEADER NEWHALL BIONEL MICE BROKERS LEADER
THE ROSTHWEST (LOS ANSELES) LEADER
NORWALK CALL
(PACHIC PALISADES) THE PALISADIAN POST
(PALMDALE) ANTELOPE VALLEY PRESS
THE PARADOUNT JOURNAL
PARKEIDE (LOS ANGELES) JOURNAL
PARKEIDE (LOS ANGELES) JOURNAL
PARADOUNT JOURNAL
PARKEIDE (LOS ANGELES) POST
PICO (LOS ANGELES) POST
THE SAN FERNAMO VALLEY SUN
(SAN PERMANDO VALLEY SUN
SAN GABRIEL SUN
SAN GABRIEL VALLEY JINDEPENDENT BAN GABRIEL VALLEY INDEPENDENT SAN GABRIEL VALLEY BAILY TRIBUNE THE SAN MARING TRIBUNE SAN PEORO NEWS-PILOT (BANTA MONICA) EYENING OUTLOOK THE BIERRA MADRE NEWS SIGNAL HILL TRIBUNE SOUTH GATE PRESS SOUTH PASADENA REVIEW SOUTH PASADENA REVIEW
SOUTHWEST (LOS ANGELES) TOPICS-WAVE
SOUTHWEST (LOS ANGELES) WAVE
TARZANA PERALO TRIBUINE
TEMPLE CITY TIMES
(TORRANCE) PRESS-HERALO
THISUIS (LOS ANGELES) NEWS-ADVENT(SER
JUHGA) RECOND LEDGER OF
THE VERDUGO HILLS
THE VERDUGO HILLS
THE VERDUGO HILLS THE VAN NUYS NEWS (VENICE: EVENING VANGUARD (WATTS) LOS ANGELES STAR REVIEW WESTCHESTER NEWS-ADVERTISER WESTLAKE (LOS ANGELES) POST WEST LOS ANGELES INDEPENDENT WESTWOOD HILLS PRESS (WHITTER) THE DAILY NEWS (WILMINGTON) PRESELJOURNAL AND HARBON MAIL THE WILEHIRE PRESS (WOODLAND HILLS) THE REPORTER

## Los Angeles Newspaper Service Bureau

(INCORPORATED)

LEGAL ADVERTISING CLEARING HOUSE
COOPERATIVELY OWNED AND OPERATED BY
NEWSPAPERS OF LOS ANGELES CITY AND COUNTY
ESTABLISHED 1934

220-224 WEST FIRST STREET LOB ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE 528-2341 OFFICERS

H. A. LAWSON, PRESIDENT EAGLE ROCK SENTINEL

W. J. VALENTINE. VICE PRESIDENT LANGASTER ANTELOPS VALLEY LEGGES GAZETYS

DEANE FUNK, DIRECTOR
SANTA MONICA EVENING OUTLOOK

F. HAROLD ROACH, DIRECTOR TEMPLE CITY THES

RALPH MARKHAM, DIRECTOR VAN RUYS NEWS

YELFORD WORK, SECRETARY-THRASURER

ROBERT E. WORK, ASSISTANT SECRETARY-TREASURER

August 14, 1969

Mr. John H. DeMoully Executive Secretary California Law Revision Commission Room 30, Crothers Hall Stanford, California

Dear Mr. DeMoully:

In answer to your letter of July 29 as to whether there has been any change or modification in the opposition of our organization to your recommendation that the Law Revision Commission and the legislature be asked to emasculate publication requirements in the fictitious firm name publication law, the answer is no. I have discussed this matter with members of our group and the feeling is that if amendments to the present publication provisions are necessary they should be provided by the newspaper associations as was done a number of years ago in publication amendments under the probate and civil codes.

Neither will we feel any kindlier toward the amendatory regulations simply through the omission of the provision in your tentative recommendations that the County Clerks be permitted to sell periodic summaries or compilations of fictitious firm name filings.

We are quite sure that collectors' associations and credit managers' associations of the state share our views on these matters, as of course does the California Newspaper Publishers Association.

Sincerely yours,

LOS ANGELES NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU, INC.

Telford Work Work

Secretary-Treasurer

TW:mt

### CORPORATIONS CODE

## PART 3. REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUSTS [NEW]

Ene.

23000. Real estate investment trust defined.

23001. Limitation of Hability of shareholders or beneficiaries.

23002. Conclusiveness of permit finding trust to exist; persons concluded.

28003. Prohibition against issuance of security redectable at holders option.

Part 5 was added by State 1961, c. 1976, p. 4160, § 1.

### \$ 23006. Real estate levestment trust defined

A real estate investment trust is an unincorporated trust or association which compiles or intends to comply with Sections 856, 857 and 858 of the Federal Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, or such section or sections of any subsequent internal Revenue Code as may be applicable to organizations described in Public Law 86-770. (Added State 1961, c. 1976, p. 4169, § 1, effective July 29, 1981.)

1 54 U.S.C.A. (LR:C.1954) 35 506, 157, 158. \$ 1950 U.S.Code Cong. & Adm. News, pp. 1258-1402.

Law Review Communicaties Library references: Banks and Backing Real estate trusts: California security control at seq; C.J.S. Banks and Banking regulations. Jack E. Roberts (1962) F U. § 1944 or seq. C.L.A. Law R. 554, 886.

### \$ 23001. Limitation of flability of angrebolders or beneficiaries

The shareholders of beneficiaries of a real estate investment trust shall not, as such, be personally liable for any of its obligations prising after the effective date of this part, not shall persons who become shareholders or beneficiaries after the effective date of this part be personally liable, as such for obligations of the real estate investment trust existing on the effective date of this part. (Added Stats. 1961, c. 1976, p. 4160, § 1. effective July 20, 1961.)

Law Review Commentaries
Shareholders' liability in real estate investment trusts. (1962) 56 C.L.R. 596.

### 5 23002. Conclusiveness of permit finding trust to exist; persons concluded

A permit issued by the Commissioner of Corporations finding that an unincorporated trust or association is a real estate investment trust shall be conclusive evidence thereof, so far as Section 23004 is concerned, as as all persons who become shareholders of beneficiaries of the unincorporated trust or association after the issuance of the permit and prior to its suspension of revocation, if any, and as to all obligations of the unincorporated trust or association arising after the effective date of this part, whether they arose before or after the permit was issued, and prior to suspension or revocation of the permit. Expiration of the issuance authority in the permit shall not be decined a suspension or revocation within the meaning of this section. (Added Stats 1961, c. 1976, p. 4170, § 1, effective July 20, 1961.)

### \$ 23003. Prohibition against issuance of security redomnable at bolder's option

A real estate investment trust shall not issue any security redeemable at the option of the holder of the security. (Added Stats 1961, c. 1976, p. 4170, § 1, effective July 20, 1961.)

٠.

## PART 4. LIABILITY; LEVIES AGAINST PROPERTY; DESIGNATION OF AGENT FOR SERVICE AND OF PRINCIPAL OFFICE [New]

Sec.	
24000	Definitions.
24001	Scope of liability,
24002	Levy on property.
24003	Statement of unincorporated association; form
24004.	Numbering and filing statements; indexing; issuance of certificate.
24005.	Statement of resignation of agent; filing; effect; revocation of designa-
24006	Notice of expiration,
24007.	Service of process on unincorporated associations in certain cases.

Part 4 added by Stats. 1967, c. 1324, p. 3153, § 6.

## .1 24000. Definitions

(a) As used in this part, "unincorporated association" means any partnership or other unincorporated organization of two or more persons, whether organized for profit or not, but does not include a government or governmental subdivision or

(b) As used in this section, "person" includes a natural person, corporation, partnership or any other unincorporated organization, and a government or governmental subdivision or agency.

(Added Stats, 1967, c. 1324, p. 3153, § 6.)

#### Law Revision Commission Comment

Section 24000 provides a definition that includes all private unincorporated associations of any kind and excludes all governmental entities, authorities, heards, bureaus, commissions, departments, and associations of any kind.

Although subdivision (a) provides that a governmental entity or agency is not an unincorporated association under this part, guidivision (b) provides that an unincorporated association is subject to this part even though its membership may include governmental entities or agencies.

#### § 24001. Scope of Hability

(a) Except as otherwise provided by statute, an unincorporated association is liable to a person who is not a member of the association for an act or omission of the association, and for the act or omission of its officer, agent, or employee acting within the scope of his office, agency, or employment, to the same extent as if the association were a natural person.

(b) Nothing in this section in any way affects the rules of law which determine the liability between an association and a member of the association, (Added Stats. 1967, c. 1324, p. 3153, § 6.)

#### Law Revision Commission Comment

Section 24001 provides that unincorporated associations are liable for acts or omissions done by or under the authority of the association to the same extent that natural persons are liable. The exception at the beginning of the section is intended to avoid the repeal of any statutory limitations on association liability, such as that found in Section 21400 of the Corporations Code (relating to death benefits payable by unincorporated fraternal societies).

Section 21001 is probably declarative of the prior California law insofar as the tort liability of unincorporated associations is concerned. See Inglis v. Operation Engineers Local Union No. 12, 58 Cal.2d 269, 23 Cal.Rptr. 402, 373 P.2d 467 (1962); Marshall v. Int'l Lougshoremen's & Warehousemen's Union, 57 Cal.2d 781, 22 Cal.Rptr. 211, 371 P.2d 987 (1962).

Whether Section 24001 is declarative of the prior California law relating to the

contractual Hability of unincorporated associations is uncertain. In the absence of
statute, a contract of an unincorporated
association was regarded as the contract
of the individual members of the association who authorized or ratified the contract.
Pacific Freight Lines v. Valley Motor
Lines, Inc. 72 Call.App.24 505, 164 P.24 90i
(1916); Security-First Nat't Bank v.
Cooper, 62 Call.App.24 553, 145 P.24 722
(1944); Leake v. City of Venice, 50 Call.
App. 462, 195 Pac. 440 (1920). By statute,
however, unincorporated associations have
been authorized to enter into a wide variety
of transactions and thus incur liability on
Code § 1201(28) (29). (30); Corp. Code
§ 21200; Labor Code § 1126. Section 24001,
eliminates whatever gaps may have remained in the previous statutory provisions
making unincorporated associations responsible for their contractual obligations.

#### § 24002. Levy on property

Only the property of an unincorporated association may be levied upon under a writ of execution issued to enforce a judgment against the association. (Added Stats.1907, c. 1324, p. 3153, § 6.)

#### Law Revision Commission Comment

Section 24002 permits the plaintiff to resort only to the assets of an unincorporated association to satisfy a judgment against the association. Of course, nothing in the section precindes the plaintiff from also resorting to the individual preperty of a member of the association to satisfy a judgment against the member in a case where the member was also a party defendent. The procedure provided by Code of Civil Procedure Sections 414 and 989-994 may also be available in a case where the members of the association are jointly liable with the association on a contract and are named as joint defendants.

Insofar as Section 24002 provides that the assets of the association may be levied upon to satisfy a judgment against the

association, it restates the law formerly stated in Code of Civil Procedure Section 383. The former version of Section 388 also authorized satisfaction of the judgment against the association from the individual assets of a member who had been served with process in the action against the association. However, a 1559 amendment to Code of Civil Procedure Section 4169 precluded this unless the summons served on the member indicated that service was being made upon him in his individual capacity. Under Section 24662, it is necessary not only to serve any individual member in his individual capacity but also to name him as a defendant before a judgment can be obtained that may be satisfied from his individual assets.

#### \$ 24003. Statement of unincorporated association; form

- (a) An unincorporated association may file with the Secretary of State on a form prescribed by him a statement containing either of the following:
- (1) A statement designating the location and complete address of the association's principal office in this state. Only one such place may be designated.
- (2) A statement (1) designating the location and complete address of the association's principal office in this state in accordance with paragraph (1) or, if the association does not have an office in this state, designating the complete address of the association to which the Secretary of State shall send any notices required to be sent to the association under Sections 24005 and 24006, and (ii) designating as agent of the association for service of process any natural person residing in this state or any corporation which has complied with Section 3301.5 or Section 6403.5 and whose capacity to net as such agent has not terminated,
- (b) If a natural person is designated as agent for service of process, the statement shall set forth his complete business or residence address. If a corporate agent is designated, the statement shall set forth the state or place under the laws of which such agent was incorporated and the name of the city, town, or village wherein it has the office at which the association designating it as such agent may be served, as set forth in the certificate filed by such corporate agent pursuant to Section 3301.5, 3301.6, 6403.5, or 6403.6.
- (c) Presentation for filing of a statement and one copy, tender of the filing fee. and acceptance of the statement by the office of the Secretary of State constitutes filing under this section. The Secretary of State shall note upon the copy of the statement the file number and the date of filing the original and deliver or send the copy to the unincorporated association filing the statement.
- (d) At any time, an unincorporated association that has filed a statement under this section may file a new statement superseding the last previously filed statement. If the new statement does not designate an agent for service of process, the filing of the new statement shall be decided to revoke the designation of an agent previously designated. A statement filed under this section expires five years from December 31 following the date it was filed in the office of the Secretary of State, unless previously superseded by the filling of a new statement.
- (e) Delivery by hand of a copy of any process against the unincorporated association (1) to any natural person designated by it as agent, or (2) if the association has designated a corporate agent, at the office of such corporate agent, in the city, town, or vilinge named in the statement filed by the association under this section to any person at such office named in the certificate of such corporate agent filled pursuant to Section 3301,5 or 6403,5 if such certificate has not been superseded, or otherwise to any person at such office named in the last certificate filed pursuant to Section 3301.6 or 6403.6, constitutes valid service on the association.

(f) For filing a statement as provided in this section, the Secretary of State shall charge and collect the fee prescribed in Government Code Section 12185 for filing a designation of agent.

(Added Stats.1967, c. 1324, p. 3153, § 6.)

Filing by secretary of state, see note under section 24064.

#### Legislative Committee Comment-Senate

Sections 24003-24005 provide a procedure whereby an unincorporated association may designate a principal office in this state for venue purposes (Code of Chul Procedure Section 395.2) and an agent upon whom service of process may be made (subdivisions 2.1 and 2.2 of Section 411 of the Code of Civil Procedure). See the Comments to Code of Civil Procedure Sections 395.2 and 411. See also Corporations Code Section 15769.

The procedure provided by Sections 24003-24006 is designed to permit the use of

automatic data processing equipment in recording and indexing the statements filed by unincorporated associations. The procedure is based in part on Commercial Code provisions relating to the filing of financing statements. See Commercial Code Sections 3401 and 3407.
Section 21693 also is based in part upon Corporations Code Section 3301 but the designation of an agent is permissive rather than mandatory.

designation of the than mandatory,

#### \$ 24004. Numbering and filing statements; indexing; issuance of certificate

- (a) The Secretary of State shall mark each statement filed under Section 24003 with a consecutive file number and the date of filing. He may destroy or otherwise dispose of any such statement four years after the statement expires. In lieu of retaining the original statement, the Secretary of State may retain a copy thereof in accordance with Government Code Section 14756.
- (b) The Secretary of State shall index each statement filed under Section 24003 according to the name of the unincorporated association as set out in the statement and shall enter in the index the file number and the address of the association as set out in the statement and, if an agent for service of process is designated in the statement, the name of the agent and his address,
- (c) Upon request of any person, the Secretary of State shall issue his certificate showing whether, according to his records, there is on file in his office, on the date and hour stated therein, any presently effective statement filed under Section 24063 for an unincorporated association using a specific name designated by the person making the request. If such a statement is on file, the certificate shall include the information required by subdivision (b) to be included in the index. The fee for such a certificate is two dollars (\$2).
- (d) When a statement has expired under subdivision (d) of Section 24003, the Secretary of State shall enter that fact in the index together with the date of such expiration.
- (e) Four years after a statement has expired, the Secretary of State may delete the information concerning that statement from the index. (Added Stats. 1967, c. 1324, p. 3153, § 6.)

Library references

Associations C=18. C.J.S. Associations § 19 et seq.

Section 7 of Stats.1967, c. 1324, p. 3156 provided: "A statement may be presented

to the Secretary of State for filing at any time after the effective date of this act but the secretary of state is not required to file auch statement prior to January 1, 1968, and no such statement is effective until January 1, 1968.

#### Logislative Committee Comment-Senate

See Comment to Section 24603.

# § 24005. Statement of resignation of agent; filling; effect; revocation of desig-

- (a) An agent designated by an unincorporated association for the service of process may file with the Secretory of State a written statement of resignation as such agent which shall be signed and execution thereof shall be duly acknowledged by the agent. Thereupon the authority of the agent to act in such capacity shall cease and the Secretary of State forthwith shall give written notice of the filing of the statement by mail to the unincorporated association at its address as set out in the statement filed by the association.
- (b) Any untocoporated essociation teny of any time file with the Secretary of State a revocation of a designation of an agent for service of process. The revocation is effective when filed.

(c) Notwithstanding subdivisions (a) and (b), service made on an agent designated by an unlucorporated association for service of process in the manner provided in subdivision (e) of Section 24003 is effective if made within 30 days after the statement of resignation or the revocation is filed in the office of the Secretary of State. (Added Stats. 1967, c. 1324, p. 3153, § 6.)

#### Legislative Committee Comment-Senate

In order that the plaintiff may rely upon a certificate from the Secretary of State indicating the designation of an agent for aervice of process for 30 days from the date of the certificate, service on such agent, is permitted during the 3f-day period following the fitting of the statement of resignation or the revocation. Where the

designation of an agent for service of process is deemed revoked by the filing of a new statement under subdivision (a) of Section 24003, this 30-day period commences to run from the date of the filing of the new statement that superseded the state-ment designating the agent for service of process.

#### § 24006. Notice of expiration

Between the first day of October and the first day of December lumediately preceding the expiration date of a statement flied under Section 24003, the Secretary of State shall send by first class mail a notice, indicating the date on which the state-<u>me</u>nt will expire and the file number assigned to the statement, to the unincorporated association at its address as set out in the statement. Neither the failure of the Secretary of State to mail the notice as provided in this section nor the fallure of the notice to reach the unincorporated association shall continue the statement in effect after the date of its expiration. Neither the state nor any officer or employee of the state is liable for damages for failure to mail the notice as required by this section.

(Added Stats, 1967, c. 1324, p. 3153, § 6.)

#### Legislative Committee Comment-Senate

"Section 21000 is included to minimize the danger that the unincorporated association will be unaware of the impending expiration of the statement.

#### \$ 24007. Service of process on unincorporated associations in certain cases

If designation of an agent for the purpose of service of process has not been made as provided in Section 24003, or if the agent designated cannot with due diligence be found at the address specified in the index referred to in Section 24004 for personal delivery of the process, and it is shown by affidurit to the satisfaction of a court or judge that personal service of process against an unincorporated association cannot be made with the exercise of due diligence upon an officer or agent referred to in subdivision 2.1 of Section 411 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the court or judge may make an order that service be made upon the unincorporated association by delivery of a copy of the process to any one or more of the association's members designated in the order and by mailing a copy of the process to the association at its last known address. Service in this manner constitutes personal service upon the unincorporated association.

(Added by Stats. 1968, c. 132, p. ---, § 4, argency, operative Nov. 13, 1968.)

Operative date, see note under Code of Civil Procedure 411.

Library references
Associations (=20(4),
C.J.S. Associations § 34 et seq.

#### Law Revision Commission Comment

#### 1968 Amendment

Section 24007 is based on Corporations Code Section 3302 relating to service upon a domestic corporation, but service is made on one or more of the members of the association designated in the order rather than upon the Secretary of State.

"Due diligence" means a systematic investigation and inquiry conducted in good faith by the party. The affidavit must slow facts indicating sincere desire and an horest effort to locate the defendant. See Civil Procedure Defore Trial 502 (Cal. Cont. Ed. Bar). Moreover, the following statements from Itue v. Quinn, 137 Cal. 451, 656, 657, 666 Pac. 215, 70 Pac. 732 (1902) are perfinent.

ast, so rue, 218, 70 rue, 702 (1992) are pertinent:

If the facts set forth in the affidavit have a legal tendency to show the exercise diligence on behalf of the plaintiff in 8-13-

ing to find the defendant within the atate, and that after the exercise of such diligence he cannot be found, the decision of the judge that the affidavit shows the same to his satisfaction is to be regarded with the same effect as is his decision upon any other matter of fact submitted to his judicial determination.

From the nature of the question to be concernined, the colleges thereon must be a very great extent by hearsay, and the number and character of persons inquired of must in each case be differented by the judge. Diligence is in all cases a relative form, and what is due diligence must be determined by the circumstance; of each case.

Memorandum 69-87

MARTH GUNDEL
M. MILLS RADGOTT
REPEARD SHAPED
ARROLL P. GOTTNEE
LARL A. LEECK
LI CHARD G. ESPOW
FRANC C. CHROLL

HATHAN BARKOWALL LAWRENCE J. C.E. RICHARD S. BLUGER JERRORD R. GORD B ROBERT R. KORN

## GENDEL, RASKOFF, SHAPIRO & QUITTHER

ATTORREYS AT EAW
6300 WESSIGN INSIDEWARD TOUR FLOOR
LOS ARGITES, CASTORRA 50046

1913) Olive 3-Janso

August 18, 1969

IN REPLY PLEASE REFER TO

Maurice D. L. Fuller, Sr., Esq. Pillsbury, Madison & Sutro Standard Oil Building 225 Bush Street San Francisco, California 94104

Re: COMMITTEE ON COMMERCIAL CODE

Dear Mr. Fuller:

Due to time requirements I borrowed Harold Marsh's Fictitious Business Name documentation and correspondence. As my report to the Committee, in anticipation of a possible Committee meeting, my reactions are as follows:

- (1) There is an obvious need for updating the statutory enactments governing fictitious business names (or dba's, as we know them).
- (2) I would have to fully agree with Marold Marsh's May 27, 1969 comments on the tentative (April 4, 1969) Commission recommendations.
- (3) Proposed section 17910 requires "Every person who regularly transacts business in this state for profit under a fictitious business name..." to comply. Someplace, either in the proposed statute revisions or in the Commission report, there should be a definition of the word "regularly" This one word constitutes the guts or coverage for the entire statute, and not to aid the legal profession and the courts with a guide to the intent of the legislature would be a disservice to the future, considering the legal vagueness of the word "regularly". Is it once a month, five times a month, twelve times a year, etc.? In the same vein, one other possible problem might arise and that is the area of "profit" intended to be covered. Are elecmosynary, charitable or other tax exempt entities not to be covered, even though the particular activity involved may encompass the creation of income to the entity?

Maurice D. L. Fuller, Sr., Esq.

August 18, 1969 Page 2

- quipose of the Commission, I do not see how we can overemphasize the value of central filing in the office of the Secretary of State (please see Marsh's May 27, 1969 letter, paragraph 3). Proposed Section 17913 goes off on the traditional requirement of filing with the county clerk where the principal place of business is, or with the clerk of Sacramento County. If the Commission, for local political reasons, wishes to retain local filing, I guess there's not much we can do about it, but not to take advantage of the central filing now available because of the Commercial Code requirements is indeed unfair to the problem faced by both lawyers and courts.
  - (a) This leads one to reexamine the philosophy behind Section 17915, which proposes to continue publication requirements. Other than the recognition of the strength of the newspaper lobby there seems to be no real value to publication. Perhaps yes in 1872, when travel was difficult, communication was not what we have today, and population sections were small and intimate. But today, what business wan really reads the Metropolitan News, the Los Angeles Daily Journal, etc., to determine who is using a d.b.a.? He either goes to a credit bureau, or to his lawyer. Both of these entities then go to the county clerk's office, and this could entail checking with many offices until the "right" one is found.

With central filing we climinate wasteful and useless publication and we easily make available the entire list of fictitious business names and the information required by the revised statute. Proper indices can keep required current information where we have access to the electronic information made available through the office of the Secretary of State. Let's eliminate the horse and buggy requirements needed to satisfy selfish lobby interests. Gordon McClintock's Hastings Law Journal article is a good guide.

## GENDEL, RACKOEF, SHAPIRO & QUITTHER

Maurice D. L. Fuller, Sr., Esq.

August 18, 1969 Page 3

(5) Section 17924 covers penalties and I don't know if up to \$300 (for one year) is too much or too little. I can certainly guess that the county counsel or district attorney involved, where there's no criminal fraud, will have to be very overstaffed before he will prosecute. This section seems rather esoteric, particularly in light of subdivision (d) which changes the present law abating a suit if there is non-compliance by the plaintiff and specifically providing in the proposed legislation that "No contract or transaction is impaired because a party to the contract or transaction has failed to comply with this chapter." If a corporation fails to pay the Franchise Tax Commissioner and is suspended it isn't treated so cavalierly.

At the least I would return the present plea in abatement and I would seriously consider giving the aggrieved party in a civil action the right to recover certain monetary sanctions (e.g., the \$300.00) rather than to expect a county counsel or district attorney to show any real interest in invoking such a mild civil monetary sanction.

Cordially yours,

alla Gender

MARTIN GENDEL

MG:mm

cc: Members of the Committee
on the Commercial Code
Mr. John D. Demoully,
California Law Revision Committee
Karl E. Zellmann, Asst. Secretary

STATE OF CALLFORNIA

CALIFORNIA LAW

REVISION COMMISSION

TENTATIVE RECOMMENDATION

relating to

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAMES

## CALTFORMIA LAW REVISION COMMISSION School of Law Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

WARNING: This tentative recommendation is being distributed so that interested persons will be advised of the Commission's tentative conclusions and can make their views known to the Commission. Any comments sent to the Commission will be considered when the Commission determines what recommendation it will make to the California Legislature.

The Commission often substantially revises tentative recommendations as a result of the comments it receives. Hence, this tentative recommendation is not necessarily the recommendation the Commission will submit to the Legislature.

NOTE: COMMENTS OF INTERESTED PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS MUST BE IN THE HANDS OF THE COMMESSION NOT LATER THAN AUGUST 4, 1969, IN ORDER THAT THEY MAY BE CONSIDERED BEFORE THE COMMESSION'S RECOMMENDATION ON THIS SUBJECT IS SENT TO THE PRINTER.

## NOTE

This recommendation includes an explanatory Comment to each section of the recommended legislation. The Comments are written as if the legislation were enacted since their primary purpose is to explain the law as it would exist (if enacted) to those who will have occasion to use it after it is in effect.

#### TENTATIVE RECOLLEGIDATION OF THE CALIFORNIA

#### LAW REVISION COMMISSION

## relating to

#### FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAMES

#### BACKGROUND

Since its enactment in 1872, the California Civil Code has contained provisions regulating the use of "fictitious" names for business purposes. Although the filing and publication requirements imposed by the so-called "fictitious name statute"—Civil Code Sections 2466-2471—have undergone minor changes over the years, the essential features of the system have endured for almost a century.

The statute requires every person<sup>2</sup> or partnership transacting

A "fictitious" name is one that does not include the names of all the partners or the name of the individual owner of the business. A name is not "fictitious" if it includes the surrame of each partner or of the individual owner. See Flora v. Hankins, 204 Cal. 351, 263 Pac. 331 (1928)(partners doing business under the name "Flora & Mahedy"); Pendleton v. Cline, 85 Cal. 142, 24 Pac. 659 (1890)(partners doing business under name "Pendleton & Williams"); Kohler v. Stephenson, 39 Cal. App. 374, 178 Pac. 970 (1919)(individual doing business as "Kohler Steam Laundry"). The cases are not completely consistent, but it appears that a name is not a fictitious name merely because it includes the word "company." Compare Vagim v. Brown, 63 Cal. App.2d 504, 146 P.2d 923 (1944)(individual doing business as "Vagin Packing Company" is not using a fictitious name); with Andrews v. Glick, 205 Cal. 699, 272 Pac. 587 (1928) ("Andrews-Cordano Plumbing Company"); Collection Service Corp. v. Conlin, 98 Cal. App. 686, 277 Pac. 749 (1929)("Alles Printing Company"). See Witkin, 3 Summary of California Law 2265 (1960).

The term "person" includes a corporation doing business under a name other than the one set forth in its articles of incorporation.

Berg Metals Corp. v. Wilson, 170 Cal. App.2d 559, 339 P.2d 869 (1959).

The statute does not apply to foreign commercial or banking partnerships established and transacting business outside the United States. Civil Code § 2467. Nor does it apply to persons not maintaining a place of business in this state. Moon v. Martin, 185 Cal. 361, 197 Pac. 77 (1921).

business in a fictitious name, or a designation not showing the names of the persons interested in the business, to file a certificate with the clerk of the county in which the principal place of business is located and subsequently to publish the certificate in a newspaper in that county once a week for four successive weeks. The certificate must show the names and residences of the persons transacting business in the fictitious name. A new certificate must be filed and published on each change of membership in the firm. If a person fails to file and publish the certificate required by the statute, neither he nor his assignees may "maintain" an action on any contract made or any transaction had in the fictitious name until the certificate has been filed and published. The "plea in abatement" thus afforded to the defendant

Jack of compliance merely abates the action; filing and publication pending trial is sufficient. Radneck v. Southern Calif. Metal & Rubber Co., 184 Cal. 274, 193 Pac. 775 (1920); Kadota Fig Assn. v. Case-Swayne Co., 73 Cal. App.2d 796, 167 P.2d 518 (1946). If the defense is upheld and the action abated, the judgment for the defendant is not res judicata in a subsequent action on the same cause of action. Folden v. Lobrovich, 153 Cal. App.2d 32, 314 P.2d 56 (1957). See also Civil Code Section 2468 as amended by Cal. Stats. 1967, Ch. 257, permitting filing and publication by a trustee in bankruptcy, guardian, conservator, executor, or administrator for the purpose of maintaining an action to recover any sums due the bankrupt, incompetent, or deceased person or partnership that should have filed and published the certificate but failed to do so.

The defense of noncompliance is waived if the defendant fails to raise it. Bryant v. Wellbanks, 88 Cal. App. 144, 263 Pac. 332 (1927). Moreover, the trial judge has discretion to refuse to permit amendment of the defendant's pleading to raise the defense. Stewart v. San Fernando Ref. Co., 22 Cal. App.2d 661, 71 P.2d 1118 (1937).

in such an action is the only sanction or incentive for complying with the statute.  $^{5}$ 

The fictitious name statute does not inhibit adoption of business or trade names, nor does it prevent use of duplicate or deceptively similar trade names. Rather, the courts uniformly have said that the single purpose of the statute is to prevent fraud or deception in commerce by providing sources of information—the certificate on file in the county clerk's office and, to a limited and transient extent, the newspaper publication—from which persons can learn with whom they are dealing.

After assessing the views of interested persons and organizations, the Commission has concluded that, admitting its obvious shortcomings, the fictitious name statute continues to serve a useful purpose.

Suggestions that the statute be repealed, on analysis, are based on the

Nothing precludes entering into contracts and transactions in the fictitious name; the sanction is limited to maintaining actions on such contracts or transactions. See note 3, supra. The sanction does not apply to tort actions. Ralph v. Lockwood, 61 Cal. 155 (1882). Thompson v. Byers, 116 Cal. App. 214, 2 P.2d 496 (1931).

Compliance with the statute is not a prerequisite to obtaining local business or other licenses in the fictitious name, nor in general is such compliance required to conduct in a fictitious name one of the businesses or professions licensed by the state. However, compliance is necessary to obtain a license as a real estate broker or salesman (Business and Professions Code Section 10159.5), mineral, oil, and gas broker or salesman (Business and Professions Code Section 10522.5), or check seller and casher (Financial Code Section 12300.2). See also Business and Professions Code Section 7540 (private investigators, private patrol operators, insurance adjusters, and repossessors must comply with the statute before conducting business under a fictitious name).

See Andrews v. Glick, 205 Cal. 699, 272 Pac. 587 (1928); Hixon v. Boren, 144 Cal. App.2d 547, 301 P.2d 615 (1956); Bank of America v. National Funding Corp., 45 Cal. App.2d 320, 114 P.2d 49 (1941). See also Witkin, 3 Summary of California Law 2264 (1960)("The purpose of the requirement is to make a public record of the individual members of the firm for the benefit of those who deal with them.")

ineffectiveness and awkwardness of the existing provisions rather than on any question as to the desirability of a ready source of information concerning the realities obscured by business or trade names. The Commission believes that a thorough revision and streamlining of the statute can restore its effectiveness and enable it again to serve the purpose for which it originally was enacted.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

## Persons subject to the statute

The existing statute does not apply to all persons doing business in California under a fictitious name. Civil Code Section 2467 exempts commercial and banking partnerships established and transacting business in a place without the United States. The courts also have construed the filing requirements of the act to exempt any person who does not maintain a place of business within this state.

The exemption for "foreign" commercial and banking partnerships should be eliminated. The exemption was provided in 1872 and has remained in the code with only a minor modification in 1873. The reference to banking partnerships is now obsolete as only a corporation may carry on the business of banking in California. Foreign commercial partnerships should be required to comply with the statute. Persons in

See Moon v. Martin, 185 Cal. 361, 197 Pac. 77 (1921).

<sup>8</sup> Fin. Code § 102.

California normally would have greater difficulty in obtaining information concerning foreign partnerships than in obtaining information concerning local business enterprises. Since both foreign and domestic enterprises would be treated equally, there would be no discrimination against foreign commerce. The exemption originally was based on a similar provision of New York law which has since been repealed.

Coverage of the statute should be limited to those persons who regularly do business in California under a fictitious business name but should be extended to all such persons, even though they may have no established places of business within the state. The need for information concerning the identity of a person who does business in California but does not have an established place of business in California seems at least as great as the need for information concerning the identity of a person doing business from a fixed location within the state.

See Section 2467 in 2 Haymond & Burch, Cal. Civ. Code Annot. 109 (1872); compare 3 Rev. Stat. of N.Y. (Banks and Brothers 5 ed.) 918 with N.Y. Penal Code Section 440.

## Fictitious business name statements

Every person covered by the statute should be required to file a fictitious business name statement within 40 days after he begins regularly to transact business in this state under a fictitious business name. The statement should include all the information required by existing law and, in addition, the address of the principal place of business of the person filing the statement. Although not presently required by the statute, the address of the principal place of business is customarily included in a fictitious name certificate.

## Place of filing of statements

A person required to comply with the statute should continue to file his statement in the office of the county clerk of the county in which he has his principal place of business in this state or, in the absence of such a principal place of business, in the office of the county clerk of Sacramento County.

#### Publication requirement

Since 1872, each person filing a fictitious name certificate has been required to publish the certificate in a newspaper once a week for four successive weeks. A new publication is required each time the membership of a partnership changes. A typical minimum charge for the required publication is \$18 although in some cases the cost of publication has been more than \$200.

The publication requirement no doubt served a useful purpose when it was first imposed in 1872. In that era, there were fewer newspapers and the notices were published in the local papers that were read by the residents of the locality. Even today in many parts of the state, a

local community is served by a single newspaper, and publication can provide notice to the residents of that community. Nevertheless, there is an increasing concentration of both population and business in the large urban centers of the state. For example, more than half the fictitious name certificates published—approximately 20,000 certificates every year—are published in Los Angeles County. And many of those fictitious name certificates are published in legal newspapers rather than those read by the general public. In these urban centers the theory that newspaper publication effectuates the purposes of the statute by adding to the body of community knowledge concerning businesses and their ownership may be subject to question.

A survey made by the Commission in 1965 disclosed that 42 states had statutes regulating the use of fictitious business or trade names. Thirty-three states did not require publication in a newspaper. Of the nine remaining states, California, Florida, Montana, and Oklahoma required publication four times; Georgia, Minnesota, and Pennsylvania required publication twice; and Nebraska and North Dakota required one publication. At least two states, New York and South Dakota, once required publication but have eliminated this requirement. Investigation disclosed that the register maintained by the county clerk, rather than the newspaper publication, is the source most frequently used by persons who need information concerning businesses operating in a fictitious business name.

The Commission has concluded that newspaper publication of a fictitious business name statement can serve a useful purpose if the form in which the statement is published is improved and the publication of useless material avoided. However, the Commission believes that, in view of the inherent shortcomings of publication, it would be desirable to authorize

another notice-giving procedure.

Accordingly, the county clerk should be authorized to furnish to any person who so requests daily or less frequent summaries or compilations of filings under the statute. Thus, where this procedure is adopted, any interested person can secure from one source complete and current information concerning all filings within the county. A somewhat similar provision for the furnishing of compilations of financing statements is found in Section 9407 of the Commercial Code. The person making the request should, of course, be required to reimburse the county clerk for the cost of furnishing this information.

As for publication, the fictitious business name information should be published in a more useful form and useless material should not be published. The information to be published should include the fictitious business name, the address of the principal place of business, and the name of the individual or corporation or the general partners doing business under the fictitious name. Publication should accompany each new filing where the information required to be published is changed. The expiration of the statement upon a change in circumstances is discussed below.

The residence addresses of the individual or partners should not be included in the published information. The slight value this information might have does not justify the cost of publication. The addresses can easily be obtained by reference to the business name statement filed in the office of the county clerk. In this respect, the information published will be comparable to the information required to be included in a statement filed by a domestic corporation pursuant to Corporations Code Section 3301, which includes the names, but not the residence addresses, of the principal officers of the corporation.

The number of publications should be reduced from four to one. This will reduce the volume of material to be reviewed to one-fourth, and, in view of the improved form of publication recommended above, should still provide more than adequate notice.

## Expiration of statement

To ensure that the information on file with the county clerk is kept current and to provide a means whereby the county clerk can dispose of obsolete statements in his files:

- (1) The fictitious business name statement should be renewed at least once every five years. This retains the substance of existing law.
- (2) The statement should expire and a refiling be required whenever any change occurs that renders the facts set forth in the statement inaccurate. The only exception to the latter rule should be that a mere change in the residence address of an individual or general partner should not cause the statement to expire. Under existing law, a new certificate must be filed only when there is a change in the members of a partnership.

## Maintenance of fictitious business name records

The statute should continue to require that the county clerk maintain indices of fictitious business name statements that will permit determination of whether: (1) any business using a fictitious business name has on file a fictitious business name statement; (2) any individual, general partner, or corporation is listed in any fictitious business name statement; (3) a statement of abandonment of the use of a fictitious business name is on file.

Civil Code Section 2470 presently requires each county clerk to keep a "register" of certain of the information contained in the fictitious name certificates. This requirement would be superseded by the requirements recommended in the text.

The statute should set forth only the function of these indices.

This will permit each county clerk to use the system best suited to the resources and needs of his county. Generally, in the smaller counties relatively simple alphabetical indices will satisfy the requirement; however, the statute should also permit the use of automatic data processing equipment where available.

In 1966, Civil Code Section 2469.2 was enacted to permit the removal of obsolete fictitious name certificates from the files after a stated period of time. A similar procedure should be adopted permitting the destruction of (1) fictitious business name statements, (2) statements of abandonment of use of a fictitious business name, and (3) the entries in the indices relating to these statements.

## Obtaining compliance with statutory requirements

Under existing law, the only sanction for failing to file a fictitious name certificate is that no action may be "maintained" on a contract made or a transaction had in a fictitious name until the certificate has been filed and published. As previously indicated, this provision permits an action to be commenced even though no fictitious name certificate has been filed and published; but, if the defendant objects to the plaintiff's failure to comply with the fictitious name statute, the action will be abated until the certificate has been filed and published. If the defendant fails to object either by answer or by demurrer, the objection is waived.

The existing sanction does not assure that information as to the identity of a person using a fictitious business name will be available in the principal type of case where it is most needed, <u>i.e.</u>, where a person has a claim against a business operating under a fictitious business

name and needs to know the identity of the person or persons conducting the business.

Instead, a person who willfully and knowingly fails to comply with any requirement of this chapter, should be subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$300, which penalty should be recoverable in a civil action brought by the county counsel, or if there is none the district attorney, of any county in which a person has transacted or is transacting business in violation of the statute. Although the requirement of an acknowledgement should be eliminated, filing of a false statement should be made a misdemeanor, subjecting the registrant to a fine not to exceed \$1,000. Failure to comply with the statute should not make void or unenforceable any transaction entered into by a person while he was not in compliance with the statute.

## Operative date

The operative date of the proposed statute should be July 1, 1971. The date should be deferred: (1) to allow those persons who must comply with the statute a reasonable time in which to familiarize themselves with its new requirements; and (2) to give the county clerk sufficient time in which to establish the necessary procedures. All persons, including those who are in compliance with Civil Code Sections 2466-2471, would become subject to the act on its operative date--July 1, 1971. However,

Civil Code Section 2469.2 provides that all fictitious name certificates that were filed prior to the enactment of that section in 1966 expire on January 1, 1971, and a renewal certificate must be filed on or before December 31, 1970, to continue compliance with the statute. Senate Bill No. 102 (1969 Regular Session) would defer expiration to January 1, 1972, and renewal to December 31, 1971. This requirement will be superseded by the requirement that a fictitious business name statement be filed not later than July 1, 1971. Thus, although it is recommended that a new filing be required by all persons doing business under a fictitious name, many, if not most, of such persons would otherwise be required to make a new filing under Civil Code Section 2469.2.

a person should be permitted to file a statement in compliance with the new act at any time after January 1, 1971, and the statement so filed should be deemed to have been filed on July 1, 1971.

### Relocation of statute

The provisions dealing with fictitious business names should be moved to Part 3 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code which imposes "General Business Regulations" relating to "Representations to the Public." Fictitious business name legislation is a type of business regulation. The present location in the Civil Code in the title on "Partnerships" is inappropriate as the statute deals with corporations and individuals as well as with partnerships. Furthermore, the other sections dealing with partnerships and limited partnerships have been moved to other codes.

## Miscellaneous revisions

In addition to the major changes discussed above, the Commission recommends other technical and relatively minor changes in existing legislation in the interest of clarity and precision. These changes are indicated in the Comments to the proposed statutory provisions that follow:

The Commission's recommendations would be effectuated by the enactment of the following measure:

An act to repeal Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 2466) of

Title 10 of Part 4 of Division 3 of the Civil Code, to

add Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17900) to Part 3

of Division 7 of, and to amend Sections 7540, 10159.5,

and 10522.5 of, the Business and Professions Code, to

amend Section 12300.2 of the Financial Code, to repeal

Section 26848 of the Government Code, and to amend Section 6066 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, relating to

fictitious business names.

## The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 2466) of Title 10 of Part 4 of Division 3 of the Civil Code is repealed.

<u>Comment.</u> Chapter 2, consisting of Sections 2466-2471, is superseded by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17900) of Part 3 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code.

## Note. The sections repealed read as follows:

2466. Except as otherwise provided in the next section every person transacting business in this State under a fictitious name and every partnership transacting business in this State under a fictitious name, or a designation not showing the names of the persons interested as partners in such business, must file with the clerk of the county in which his or its principal place of business is situated, a certificate subscribed and acknowledged in the manner provided in Section 2468 of the Civil Code, stating that name in full and the place of residence of such person and stating the names in full of all the members of such partnership and their places of residence.

Such subscribed and acknowledged certificate must be published subsequent to the filing thereof with the county clerk pursuant to Government Code Section 6064, in a newspaper published in the county, if there be one, and if there be none in such county, then in a newspaper in an adjoining county. An affidavit showing

the publication of such certificate as in this section provided shall be filed with the county clerk within 30 days after the completion of such publication, but in no event shall such publication be made prior to the filing of such certificate with the county clerk.

2467. A commercial or banking partnership, established and transacting business in a place without the United States, may, without filing the certificate or making the publication prescribed in the last section, use in this state the partnership name used by it there, although it be fictitious, or do not show the names of the persons interested as partners in such business.

2468. The certificate filed with the clerk as provided in Section 2466 must be signed by the person therein referred to, or by the partners, as the case may be, and acknowledged before some officer, authorized to take the acknowledgment of conveyances of real property, by personally appearing before such officer, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 1195 of the Civil Code. Such certificates may be executed on behalf of any such person or partner by an agent, or at any time after the bankruptcy, incompetency, or death of such a person or partner, by the trustee in bankruptcy or the Guardian, conservator, executor or administrator of such person or partner for the purpose of maintaining an action to recover any sums due the bankrupt, incompetent, or deceased person or facilitating the maintenance of an action by the partnership, of which the bankrupt, incompetent, or deceased partner was a member, to recover sums due such partnership. Where a business is hereafter commenced by a person under a fictitious name or a partnership is hereafter formed, the certificate must be filed and the publication designated in that section must be made within one month after the commencement of such business, or after the formation of the partnership, or within one month from the time designated in the agreement of its members for the commencement of the partnership. Where the business has been heretofore conducted

under a fictitious name or where the partnership has been heretofore formed; the certificate must be filed and

the publication made within six months after the passage of this act. No person doing business under a littituus name, or his assignee or assignees, nor any persons doing business as partners contrary to the provisions of this article, or their assignee or assignees, shall maintain any action upon or on account of any contract or contracts made, or transactions had, under such fictitious name, or in their partnership name, in any court of this state until the certificate has been filed and the publication has been made as herein required.

2469. On every change in the members of a partnership transacting business in this state under a fictitious name, or a designation which does not show the names of the persons interested as partners in its business, except in the cases mentioned in section twenty-four hundred and sixty-seven, a new certificate must be filed with the County Clerk, and a new publication made as required by this Article on the formation of such partnership.

2469.1. Every person and every partnership transacting business in this State under a fictitious name, or designation not showing the names of the persons interested as partners in such business, who has filed a certificate and caused the publication and filing of the affidavit of publication thereof according to the provisions of this chapter, may, upon ceasing to use that name, file a certificate of abandonment of name, stating the name in full and the place of residence of such person, and stating the names in full of all the members of such partnership and their places of residence. Such certificate shall be signed by the person therein referred to, or by one or more of the partners, as the case may be.

Such certificate must be published pursuant to Government Code Section 6064, in a newspaper published in the county, if there be one, and if there be none in such county, then in a newspaper in an adjoining county. An affidavit showing the publication of such certificate shall be filed subsequent to the certificate with the county clerk within 30 days after the completion of such publication.

2469.2. Every certificate of fictitious name filed under the authority of this chapter shall expire and be of no further force and effect at the end of five years following the first day of January next after the filing of a certificate of fictitious name with the county clerk in accordance with Section 2466, unless at any time within 12 months immediately preceding said date of expiration a renewal certificate containing all information required in the original certificate and subscribed and acknowledged as required by that section is filed with the county clerk with whom said original is on file. No such renewal certificate need be published unless there has been a change in the information required in the original certificate, in which event publication shall be made as provided for the original certificate.

Every certificate of fictitious name heretofore filed with the county clerk pursuant to Section 2466 shall expire and be of no further force and effect on and after January 1, 1971, unless at any time on or after January 1, 1970, but not later than December 31, 1970, a renewal certificate in accordance with this section is filed with said county clerk. 2469.3. Upon the filing of a certificate of abandonment pursuant to Section 2469.1 or upon the expiration of a certificate of fictitious name pursuant to Section 2469.2 and following the making of the entry required by Section 2470 the county clerk may destroy the certificate of fictiticus name the use of which was so abandoned or which has expired, provided that microfilm copies are taken of the certificates and subsequently filed before they are destroyed.

2470. Every county clerk must keep a register of the names of firms and persons mentioned in the certifics es filed with him pursuant to this article, entering in lphabetical order the name of every such person who does business under a fictitious name, and the fictitious name, and the name of every such partnership, and of each partner therein.

Upon the abandonment of the use of a fictitious name, or upon the expiration of the certificate of fictitious name, the clerk shall enter the fact of abandonment or expiration in the register.

2471. Copies of the entries of a County Clerk, as herein directed, when certified by him, and affidavits of publication, as herein directed, made by the printer, publisher, or chief clerk of a newspaper, are presumptive evidence of the facts therein stated.

Sec. 2. Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17900) is added to Part 3 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code, to read:

#### CHAPTER 5. FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAMES

## 17900. Fictitious business name defined

- 17900. (a) As used in this chapter, "fictitious business name" means:
- (1) In the case of an individual, a name that does not include the surname of the individual or a name that suggests the existence of additional owners.
- (2) In the case of a partnership or other association of persons, a name that does not include the surname of each general partner or a name that suggests the existence of additional owners.
- (3) In the case of a corporation, any name other than the corporate name stated in its articles of incorporation.
- (b) A name that suggests the existence of additional owners within the meaning of subdivision (a) is one which includes such words as "Company," "& Company," "& Son," "& Sons," "& Associates," "Brothers," and the like, but not words that merely describe the business being conducted.

Comment. Subdivision (a) of Section 17900 codifies the definition of "fictitious name" developed by the courts in interpreting former Civil Code Section 2466. See <u>Vagim v. Brown</u>, 63 Cal. App.2d 504, 146 P.2d 923 (1944)(individual); <u>Andrews v. Glick</u>, 205 Cal. 699, 272 Pac. 587

(1928)(partnership); Kadota Fig Ass'n of Producers v. Case-Swayne Co., 73 Cal. App.2d 796, 167 P.2d 518 (1946)(unincorporated cooperative association); Berg Metals Corp. v. Wilson, 170 Cal. App.2d 559, 339 P.2d 869 (1959)(corporation).

The subdivision refers to "general partners" as defined in Section 17901 in order to omit limited partners of partnerships formed under the Uniform Limited Partnership Act (Corporations Code Sections 15501-15531). As a general rule, a limited partner's name may not appear in the firm name without subjecting the limited partner to liability as a general partner. Corp. Code § 15505. See also the Comment to Section 17911.

Subdivision (b) removes an inconsistency in the prior law in defining "a name that suggests the existence of additional owners." Under prior interpretations, the use of such terms as "& Co.," "& Sons," and "Bros." subjected a business to the requirements of the statute. See Swartz & Gottlieb, Inc. v. Marcuse, 175 Cal. 401, 165 Pac. 1015 (1917); North v. Moore, 135 Cal. 621, 67 Pac. 1037 (1902); Byers v. Bourret, 64 Cal. 73, 28 Pac. 61 (1883). But a distinction was formerly drawn between "Jones Company" and "Jones & Company," and the former was not required to comply with the statute. Contrast Wetenhall v. Chas. J. Mabrey Constr. Co., 209 Cal. 293, 286 Pac. 1015 (1930) with Byers v. Bourret, supra. As a practical matter, few businessmen were aware of the distinction and both terms suggest the existence of additional owners. This distinction is therefore eliminated and both names now require a filing under this chapter. An individual proprietor can still conduct business under a name such as "Kohler Steam Laundry," however, without being required to register under this chapter. See Kohler v. Stephenson, 39 Cal. App. 374, 178 Pac. 970 (1919).

## 17901. General partner defined

17901. As used in this chapter, "general partner" means:

- (a) In the case of a partnership, a general partner.
- (b) In the case of an unincorporated association other than a partnership, a person interested in such business whose liability with respect to the business is substantially the same as that of a general partner.

Comment. The term "general partner" is used in Section 17900 and other sections of this chapter. "Unincorporated association" means any unincorporated organization of two or more persons, and subdivision (b) encompasses therefore—among others—joint ventures, marketing cooperatives, syndicates, and Massachusetts trusts. The qualification of Section 17910 that the unincorporated association be transacting business for a profit excludes governmental entities and such nonprofit associations as labor unions, fraternal and charitable organizations, and the like.

# 17902. Person defined

17902. As used in this chapter, "person" includes individuals, partnerships and other associations, and corporations.

. 3

## 17903. Registrant defined

17903. As used in this chapter, "registrant" means a person who is filing or has filed a fictitious business name statement.

# 17910. Person transacting business in fictitious business name to file statement

17910. Every person who regularly transacts business in this state for profit under a fictitious business name shall:

- (a) File a fictitious business name statement in accordance with this chapter not later than 40 days from the time he commences to transact such business; and
- (b) File a new statement in accordance with this chapter on or before the date of expiration of the statement on file.

Comment. Section 17910 requires every individual, partnership, or other association of persons, and corporation that regularly transacts business for profit in this state under a fictitious name to file a fictitious business name statement. The language of the section--"person who regularly transacts business in this state for profit"--excludes from the coverage of the statute any person who only occasionally transacts business in California and any nonprofit organization.

Two exemptions from the filing requirement that were recognized under prior law are not continued under this chapter. See Civil Code Section 2467 (superseded by this chapter)(commercial or banking partnership established and transacting business in a foreign country) and Moon v. Martin, 185 Cal. 361, 197 Pac. 77 (1921)(person not maintaining a place of business in this state).

The 40-day period provided for filing the initial fictitious business name statement parallels the 40-day period provided in Corporations Code Section 15700 for designating an agent to receive process on behalf of a foreign partnership.

See Section 17916 and the Comment to that section for a description of the circumstances under which a fictitious business name statement expires. Filing a new statement also extends the effective period of registration from the date of the new filing. See Section 17916.

## 17911. Contents of statement

17911. The fictitious business name statement shall include the following information:

- (a) The fictitious business name under which the registrant transacts or intends to transact business.
- (b) Whether the registrant is (1) an individual, (2) a
  domestic partnership or other domestic unincorporated association,
  (3) a foreign partnership or other foreign unincorporated association,
  (4) a domestic corporation, or (5) a foreign corporation.
- (c) If the registrant has a place of business in this state, the street address of his principal place of business in this state. If the registrant has no place of business in this state, the street address of his principal place of business outside of this state.
- (d) If the registrant is an individual, his full name and residence address.
- (e) If the registrant is a partnership or other association of persons, the full name and residence address of each general partner and, if a limited partnership, that the registrant is a limited partnership.
- (f) If the registrant is a corporation, the name of the corporation as set out in its articles of incorporation and the state of incorporation.
- (g) The name of the person to whom, and the address to which, the county clerk is to mail the notice required by Section 17917.

Comment. Subdivisions (a), (d), and (e) of Section 17911 restate the substance of prior law under former Civil Code Sections 2466-2470.

Although the information required by subdivisions (c) and (f) was not explicitly required by the Civil Code sections superseded by this chapter, the requirements of these subdivisions conform to the generally prevailing practice under the prior law. Subdivision (e) omits limited partners. The names and addresses of all limited partners are required to be stated in the certificate of limited partnership recorded with the recorder of any county in which the limited partnership has a place of business. See Corp. Code § 15502. Since the registrant is required to show that it is a limited partnership, the remaining information can easily be secured from the recorder.

Subdivision (b) imposes a requirement not found in the prior law.

The inclusion of information revealing the "type of person" registering will enable interested persons to secure further information from either the Secretary of State or other sources concerning the registrant.

The information required by subdivision (g) is necessary to enable the county clerk to mail a notice of the impending expiration of the statement. See Section 17917.

## 17912. Execution of statement

17912. If the registrant is an individual, the statement shall be signed by the individual; if a partnership or other association of persons, by a general partner; if a corporation, by an officer.

Comment. Section 17912 eliminates the requirement of former Civil Code Section 2468 that the fictitious business name statement be acknowledged. However, a penalty for making a false statement is provided in subdivision (b) of Section 17924. Moreover, the statement must be signed by the individual or in the case of a partnership or other association, by one of the general partners, and execution by an agent is not permitted. The section also specifies who may execute the statement on behalf of a corporation, a point not covered by prior law.

## 17913. Filing with county clerk

17913. The fictitious business name statement shall be filed with the clerk of the county in which the registrant has his principal place of business in this state or, if he has no place of business in this state, with the clerk of Sacramento County.

Comment. Section 17913 continues the requirement of Civil Code Section 2466 that the fictitious business name information be filed with the county clerk of the county in which the person's principal place of business is located, and further provides for filing in Sacramento County if the registrant has no place of business in California.

## 17914. What constitutes filing

17914. Presentation for filing of a fictitious business name statement and one copy, tender of the filing fee, and acceptance of the statement by the county clerk constitute filing under this chapter. The county clerk shall note on the copy the file number and the date of filing the original and shall certify and deliver or send the copy to the registrant.

Comment. Section 17914 is based on subdivision (c) of Corporations Code Section 24003 which relates to the filing of statements by unincorporated associations designating a principal office in this state or an agent for service of process or both.

## 17915. Publication of fictitious business name statements

- 17915. (a) Within 30 days after a fictitious business name statement has been filed pursuant to this chapter, the registrant shall cause to be published as provided in this section a notice containing the following information:
  - (1) The fictitious business name of the registrant.
- (2) If the registrant has a place of business in this state, the street address of the registrant's principal place of business in this state. If the registrant has no place of business in this state, the street address of his principal place of business outside of this state.
- (3) In the case of an individual registrant, the full name of the individual as shown in the statement.
- (4) In the case of a partnership registrant, the full name of each general partner as shown in the statement.
- (5) In the case of a corporate registrant, the name of the corporation as set out in its articles of incorporation as shown in the statement.
- (b) The notice required by this section shall be published pursuant to Government Code Section 6061 in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the principal place of business of the registrant is located or, if there is no such newspaper in that county, then in a newspaper of general circulation in an adjoining county. If the registrant does not have a place of business in this state, the notice shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in Sacramento County.

(c) No publication is required if the information required by subdivision (a) has been published previously and there are no changes in the information.

Comment. Section 17915 is substantively similar to the provisions of former Civil Code Sections 2466-2471 that required a publication of the fictitious business name statement to be made by the person filing the statement. As under the former law, publication is required to be made in the county where the principal place of business of the registrant is located. However, since the statute requires a fictitious business name statement to be filed by some persons who will not have a place of business in this state, Section 17915 requires publication by those persons in Sacramento County.

The form of notice has been improved both by the elimination of such useless information as the acknowledgment of the signature of the registrant and by the inclusion of all the basic information contained in the indices of the county clerk. The latter enables any interested person to obtain complete information concerning a particular statement from the county clerk of the county in which the principal place of business is located.

## 17916. Expiration of statement

- 17916. (a) Unless the statement expires earlier under subdivision (b) or (c), a fictitious business name statement expires at the end of five years from December 31 of the year in which it was filed in the office of the county clerk.
- (b) A fictitious business name statement expires 40 days after any change in the facts set forth in the statement pursuant to Section 17911, except that a change in the residence address of an individual or general partner does not cause the statement to expire.
- (c) A fictitious business name statement expires when the registrant files a statement of abandonment of the fictitious business name described in the statement.

<u>Comment.</u> Section 17916 is designed to ensure that the information on file with the county clerk (see Section 17919) is kept current and to provide a means whereby the county clerk can dispose of obsolete certificates in his files (see Section 17921).

Subdivision (a). The period provided by this subdivision parallels the period provided in subdivision (d) of Corporations Code Section 24003 for a statement filed by an unincorporated association designating its principal office or agent for process or both Subdivision (a) substantially restates prior law under former Civil Code Section 2469.2.

Subdivision (b). Under former law, a new certificate was required to be filed only when there was a change in the membership of the partnership transacting business. However, in order that the fictitious business

names index will contain current information concerning the registrant, subdivision (b) requires that a new statement be filed, with one exception, whenever a change occurs in the facts required to be set forth by Section 17911 that renders the statement on file inaccurate. For example, when either the registrant changes his principal place of business in this state or, in a case where he had none previously, the registrant acquires a place of business in this state a new statement must be filed. In this regard, subdivision (b) is comparable to Corporations Code Sections 3301 (domestic corporations) and 6409 (foreign corporations)(new statement required to be filed by domestic or foreign corporation upon change in location or address of its principal office).

The requirement of former Civil Code Section 2469 that a new statement be filed upon a change in the membership of a partnership is continued by subdivision (b), but as limited partners need not be named in the statement (see Section 17911(e)), the subdivision requires a new filing only upon a change in general partners.

Subdivision (b) also requires a corporation to file a new statement if it continues to transact business regularly under a fictitious business name after a change in its corporate name. Whether former law required a new certificate in this case was uncertain.

Change in residence address of individual or partner. Although Section 17911 requires that a fictitious business name statement include the residence address of the individual registrant or of each partner of a partnership registrant, Section 17916 does not require that a new statement be filed each time there is a change in the residence address of the individual or a partner. Of course, when a new statement is filed because the previous statement has expired under Section 17916, it must contain the address of the individual or each partner as of the date of the new statement.

Subdivision (c). Under this subdivision, a registrant is no longer in compliance with Section 17910 if he continues to do business under his fictitious business name after filing a statement of abandonment under Section 17918.

## 17917. Notice of impending expiration

17917. Not later than the first day of December immediately preceding the expiration date of a fictitious business name statement as determined under subdivision (a) of Section 17916, the county clerk shall send by first class mail a notice, indicating the date on which the statement will expire and the file number assigned to the statement, to the person designated in the statement to receive such notices. Neither the failure of the county clerk to mail the notice as provided in this section nor the failure of the notice to reach the person to whom it is sent continues the fictitious business name statement in effect after its expiration. Neither the county nor any officer or employee of the county is liable for damages for failure to mail the notice required by this section.

Comment. Section 17917 is based substantially on Corporations Code Section 24006. The section is included to minimize the danger that the registrant will be unaware of the impending expiration of the statement.

## 17918. Abandonment of use of fictitious business name

- 17918. (a) A person who has filed a fictitious business name statement may, upon ceasing to transact business in this state under that fictitious business name, file a statement of abandonment of use of fictitious business name. The statement shall be signed in the same manner as a fictitious business name statement and shall be filed with the clerk of each county in which the person has filed his fictitious business name statement.
  - (b) The statement shall include:
  - (1) The name being abandoned.
- (2) The date on which the fictitious business name statement relating to the fictitious business name being abandoned was filed and the file number assigned to such statement.
- (3) In the case of an individual who is abandoning the use of a fictitious business name, the full name of the individual.
- (4) In the case of a partnership or other association of persons that is abandoning the use of a fictitious business name, the full names of all the general partners as set forth in the fictitious business name statement.
- (5) In the case of a corporation that is abandoning the use of a fictitious business name, the name of the corporation as set forth in its articles of incorporation.

Comment. Section 17918 supersedes Civil Code Section 2469.1. The information needed to comply with paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) can be secured from the county clerk and is marked on the statement at the time the fictitious business name statement is originally filed. See Section 17914.

#### 17919. Index of fictitious business name information

- 17919. (a) The county clerk shall maintain one or more indices which permit the determination of at least the following information:
- (1) Whether any business using a specific fictitious business name has on file a fictitious business name statement setting forth such name and, if so, the file number of the statement.
- (2) Whether any individual, general partner, or corporation is listed in any fictitious business name statement on file and, if so, the file number of the statement.
- (3) Whether a statement of abandonment of use of a specific business name is on file and, if so, the file number of the statement of abandonment.
- (b) Four years after a fictitious business name statement has expired, the county clerk may delete the information concerning that statement from the index. Four years after a statement of abandonment of use of fictitious business name has been filed, the county clerk may delete from the index all reference to the use of that name by the person filing the statement.

Comment. Section 17919 requires the county clerk to maintain and keep current indices of fictitious business name statements. This section supersedes former Civil Code Section 2470. The indices required are merely those that facilitate supplying the information required ty Section 17920. Generally, in the counties not using automatic processing

equipment, one index will contain in alphabetical order each fictitious business name in use in the county together with the file number of the statement relating to that name so that the other information contained in the statement can be ascertained. A second index will contain in alphabetical order the name of each person doing business under a fictitious name in the county together with the file number or numbers of each statement on file in which that person is listed. Once the file number of the statement is known, a copy of the statement can be easily secured. Section 17919 is drafted, however, to permit the use of any system that will enable a satisfactory records search. In some counties, this will be aided significantly by use of automatic data processing equipment.

Paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) retains the substance of former Civil Code Section 2470 insofar as it requires the fact of abandonment of use of a fictitious business name and the date of filing a statement of such abandonment to be entered in the indices.

Subdivision (b) authorizes the county clerk to purge the fictitious business name index of obsolete entries after four years. The four-year period parallels that provided by Section 17921.

### 17920. Certified copies of statements

- 17920. (a) For a fee of two dollars (\$2), the county clerk shall provide any person who so requests a certified copy of any fictitious business name statement or statement of abandonment of use of a fictitious business name on file in his office.
- (b) A copy of a statement, when certified as provided in subdivision (a), establishes a rebuttable presumption of all of the following:
  - (1) The existence of the original statement.
- (2) The execution of the statement by the person by whom it purports to have been executed.
- (3) The truth of the information required by Sections 17911 or 17918 that is contained in the statement.
- (c) The presumptions established by subdivision (b) are presumptions affecting the burden of producing evidence.

<u>Comment.</u> Section 17920 provides for the furnishing of certified copies of statements on file and gives a presumptive effect to the certified copy. The presumption is classified as one affecting the burden of producing evidence. Evidence Code Section 604 provides:

604. The effect of a presumption affecting the burden of producing evidence is to require the trier of fact to assume the existence of the presumed fact unless and until evidence is introduced which would support a finding of its nonexistence, in which case the trier of fact shall determine the existence or non-existence of the presumed fact from the evidence and without regard to the presumption. Nothing in this section shall be construed to prevent the drawing of any inference that may be appropriate.

#### 17921. Retention and destruction of statements

- 17921. (a) The county clerk shall mark each fictitious business name statement with a consecutive file number and the date of filing and shall retain the original statement for his file. He may destroy or otherwise dispose of such statement four years after the statement expires.
- (b) The county clerk shall mark each statement of abandonment of use of fictitious business name with a consecutive file number and the date of filing. He may destroy or otherwise dispose of any such statement four years after the statement is filed.
- (c) In lieu of retaining the original statement on file, the county clerk may retain a copy of the statement in accordance with Government Code Section 69844.5.

Comment. Section 17921 requires the county clerk to retain current fictitious business name statements and statements of abandonment. The section to this extent continues the substance of former Civil Code Sections 2469.2 and 2469.3. The statements are to be filed consecutively according to file numbers to be assigned to them when they are presented for filing. The statements may then be located by the use of indices prepared by the county clerk. See Section 17919.

Subdivision (a) further authorizes the county clerk to destroy fictitious business name statements four years after they expire. To this extent, it is based on subdivision (a) of Corporations Code Section 24004. However, under the prior law, a statement could be destroyed

only if microfilm copies were made and filed; this requirement is not continued.

Subdivision (b) makes similar provision for statements of abandonment and authorizes destruction of such statements four years after they are filed. No equivalent provision existed under prior law. Taken together, subdivisions (a) and (b) provide a procedure for purging the files of obsolete statements. Subdivision (c) also authorizes the county clerk to retain microfilm or other photographically reproduced copies of the current fictitious business name statements and statements of abandonment.

The county clerk is required to file any statement that meets the requirements of this chapter and is accompanied by the required filing fee. He is not authorized to reject a statement on the ground that the particular fictitious business name is already in use or that the statement was not presented for filing within the time specified in Section 17910. In this respect, Section 17921 continues prior law.

## 17922. Summaries or compilations of filings

- 17922. (a) Upon prepayment of the fee established pursuant to subdivision (b), the county clerk may furnish to any person who so requests daily or less frequent summaries or compilations of filings under this chapter.
  - (b) The fee for furnishing information under this section shall be fixed by the county clerk with the approval of the county board of supervisors and shall be sufficient to pay at least the actual cost of furnishing such information.

Comment. Section 17922 authorizes—but does not require—the county clerk to furnish daily or less frequent summaries or compilations of filings. A comparable provision is included in Section 9407 of the Commercial Code. Nothing in this section, of course, affects the right of any person to personally inspect the public records.

### 17923. Fees for filing statements

- 17923. (a) The fee for filing a fictitious business name statement is five dollars (\$5). This fee covers the cost of filing and indexing the statement and any affidavit of publication, furnishing one certified copy of the statement to the person filing the statement, and mailing the notice of expiration of the statement.
- (b) The fee for filing a statement of abandonment of use of a fictitious business name is two dollars (\$2). This fee covers the cost of filing and indexing the statement.

<u>Comment.</u> Section 17923 supersedes Government Code Section 26848 (repealed by this chapter). The fees are intended to compensate the county clerks for their duties under this chapter.

# 17924. Penalties for violation of chapter

17924. (a) Any person who knowingly and willfully fails to comply with the requirements of this chapter is liable civilly in a sum to be determined by the court not to exceed three hundred dollars (\$300).

- (b) Any person who files any statement under this chapter, knowing that such statement is false, in whole or in part, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be punished by a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1000).
- (c) The sum referred to in subdivision (a) may be recovered in an action brought in any court of competent jurisdiction by the county counsel, or if there be none, then by the district attorney, of any county in which the person has transacted or is transacting business under a fictitious business name. Any sums collected by the county counsel or district attorney shall be deposited in the general fund of the county where the action is brought.
- (d) No contract or transaction is impaired because a party to the contract or transaction has failed to comply with this chapter.
- (e) Nothing in this chapter prevents a person from filing a fictitious business name statement at any time after the time prescribed in this chapter.

<u>Comment.</u> Section 17924 provides the only sanctions for failure to comply with the requirements of this chapter.

Subdivision (d) makes clear that failure to comply with the chapter has no effect on the validity or enforceability of a contract or other transaction.

Subdivision (e) permits a person to comply with the provisions of this chapter at any time after the times prescribed in Section 17910. However, late compliance is not made a defense in an action to recover the civil penalty for willful failure to comply with the chapter within the periods prescribed.

Sec. 3. Section 7540 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:

7540. No licensee shall conduct a business under a fictitious business name unless and until he has obtained the written authorization of the bureau to do so.

The bureau shall not authorize the use of a fictitious <u>business</u> name which is so similar to that of a public officer or agency or of that used by another licensee that the public may be confused or misled thereby.

The authorization shall require, as a condition precedent to the use of such name, the-filing-of-a-certificate-of-doing-business under-a-fictitious-name-with-the-county-clerk-of-the-county-where the-licensee's-principal-place-of-business-is-located,-in-the manner-previded-in-Chapter-2-of-Title-10-of-Part-4-of-Division-3 of-the-Civil-Code that the licensee comply with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17900) of Part 3 of Division 7 of this code.

A licensee desiring to conduct his business under more than one fictitious <u>business</u> name shall obtain the authorization of the bureau in the manner prescribed in this section for the use of each such name.

The licensee shall pay a fee of ten dollars (\$10) for each authorization to use an additional fictitious <u>business</u> name and for each change in the use of a fictitious <u>business</u> name. If the original license is issued in a nonfictitious name and authorization is requested to have the license reissued in a fictitious <u>business</u> name the licensee shall pay a fee of ten dollars (\$10) for such authorization.

Comment. Section 7540, which relates to private investigators, private patrol operators, insurance adjusters, and repossessors, is amended to conform to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17900) of Part 3 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code which supersedes Chapter 2 of Title 10 of Part 4 of Division 3 of the Civil Code. No substantive change is made in this section.

Sec. 4. Section 10159.5 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:

10159.5. Every person applying for a license under this chapter who desires to have such license issued under a fictitious <u>business</u> name shall file with his application a certified copy of beth-the entry-ef-the-county-clerk-and-the-affidavit-ef-publication-made pursuant-te-the-provisions-ef-Chapter-2-(commencing-with-Section 2466)-ef-Title-10-ef-Part-4-ef-Division-3-ef-the-Civil-Cede <u>his</u> fictitious business name statement filed with the county clerk pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17900) of Part 3 of Division 7 of this code.

Comment. Section 10159.5, which relates to real estate salesmen and brokers, is amended to conform the section to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17900) of Part 3 of Division 7 which supersedes Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 2466) of Title 10 of Part 4 of Division 3 of the Civil Code. No substantive change is made in this section.

Sec. 5. Section 10522.5 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:

chapter who desires to have such license issued under a fictitious business name shall file with his application a certified copy of beth-the-entry-ef-the-county-elerk-and-the-affidavit-ef-publication-made-pursuant-to-the-provisions-ef-Chapter-2-(commencing-with-Section-2466)-ef-Title-19-ef-Part-4-ef-Division-3-ef--the Civil-Cede his fictitious business name statement filed with the county clerk pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17900) of Part 3 of Division 7 of this code.

Comment. Section 10522.5 which relates to mineral, oil, and gas brokers and salesmen, is amended to conform the section to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17900) of Part 3 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code which supersedes Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 2466) of Title 10 of Part 4 of Division 3 of the Civil Code. No substantive change is made in this section.

Sec. 6. Section 12300.2 of the Financial Code is amended to read:

12300.2. Every person engaging in the business of a check seller or casher shall conduct such business under his true name unless he has complied with the-previsions-of-Chapter-2, Title-10,-Part-4,-Division-3-of-the-Civil-Gode Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17900) of Part 3 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code.

Comment. Section 12300.2 is amended to conform the section to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17900) of Part 3 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code which supersedes Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 2466) of Title 10 of Part 4 of Division 3 of the Civil Code. No substantive change is made in this section.

Sec. 7. Section 26848 of the Government Code is repealed.

26848.--The-fee-fer-filing-and-indexing-a-certificate-of

fictitious-name,-including-affidavit-of-publication,-and-the

fee-fer-filing-and-indexing-a-renewal-certificate-of-fictitious

name,-is-two-dellars-(\$2).

<u>Comment.</u> Section 26848 of the Government Code is superseded by Business and Professions Code Section 17923.

- Sec. 8. (a) This act becomes operative on July 1, 1971, except that at any time after January 1, 1971, an individual, partnership, or corporation may file a fictitious business name statement as provided in Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17900) of Part 3 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code, and the certificate so filed shall be deemed to have been filed on July 1, 1971.
- (b) The county clerks shall retain all certificates of fictitious name and certificates of abandonment of fictitious names and the registers relating thereto, as provided in Civil Code Sections 2466 to 2471, inclusive, until July 1, 1975. After July 1, 1975, the county clerks may destroy or otherwise dispose of such certificates and registers. No certificate shall be accepted for filing by the county clerks under Civil Code Sections 2466 to 2471, inclusive, after June 30, 1971.

Comment. The new fictitious business name requirements (Business and Professions Code Sections 17900-17924) are made effective on July 1, 1971, but statements are permitted to be filed at any time after January 1, 1971, so that the persons covered by the new requirements will be in compliance on July 1, 1971.

A person who has complied with Civil Code Sections 2466-2471 (the former so-called fictitious name statute) is required to make a new filing under Business and Professions Code Sections 17900-17924 not later than July 1, 1971, if he is regularly transacting business in California. See Business and Professions Code Section 17910.

Subdivision (b) provides for retention of the fictitious name certificates and the registers relating thereto for a limited period following the enactment of the new statute so that the information will be available to persons who have claims arising before July 1, 1971, against firms operating under a fictitious business name.

Eliminate Section 17911 (present) and substitute the following: Section 17911. Contents of statement; forms

17911. (a) The fictitious business name statement shall contain the following information and be substantially in the following form:

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
The following (here insert whichever of the
following is applicable: (1) "individual," (2) "domestic partnership,"
(3) "limited partnership," (4) "domestic unincorporated association
other than a partnership," (5) "foreign partnership," (6) "foreign
unincorporated association other than a partnership," (7) "domestic
corporation," (8) "foreign corporation") is doing business as
(here insert business name) at (If registrant has
a place of business in this state, here insert the street address of
his principal place of business in this state. If the registrant has
no place of business in this state, here insert the street address of
his principal place of business outside this state.):
(If the registrant is an individual, here insert his full name and
residence address. If the registrant is a partnership or other associa-
tion of persons, here insert the full name and residence address of
each general partner. If the registrant is a corporation, here insert
the name of the corporation as set out in its articles of incorporation
and the state of incorporation.)
Signed
Statement Filed with the County Clerk of(name) County
on (dnto)

- (b) The form on which the fictitious business name statement is contained shall also contain a space where the registrant may indicate the name of the person to whom, and the address to which, the county clerk is to mail the notice required by Section 17917.
- (c) The county clerk shall furnish without charge forms satisfying the requirements of this section.