

8/18/69

Third Supplement to Memorandum 69-85

Subject: Suggested New Topics

The attached letter is pertinent to the new topics suggested in the Second Supplement to Memorandum 69-85.

Respectfully submitted,

John H. DeMouilly

LAW OFFICES

IRELL & MANELLA

SUITE 900 GATEWAY EAST BUILDING

CENTURY CITY

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067

(213) 277-1010 AND 879-2600

August 13, 1969

CABLE ADDRESS: IRELLA

OF COUNSEL

LOUIS M. BROWN

LAWRENCE M. STONE

BERGER & IRELL 1941-1949

EUGENE M. BERGER 1982-1944

LAWRENCE E. IRELL  
ARTHUR MANELLA  
EDWARD SANDERS  
WERNER F. WOLFEN  
JOHN R. COYAN  
EDMUND M. KAUFMAN  
RONALD M. LOES  
CHARLES H. PHILLIPS  
ARTHUR O. ARMSTRONG, JR.  
ALBERT J. FINK  
HARVIN S. SHAPIRO  
RICHARD H. BORDOW  
GARY J. HENDLER  
RONALD L. BLANC  
IRWIN G. BARNET  
JOHN J. COST  
RICHARD L. BERNACCHI  
LOUIS M. CASTRUCCIO  
ELLIS J. HARMON  
RICHARD D. KIRSBERG  
ARNOLD D. KAHN  
JOHN C. FOSSUM  
ROBERT W. STEDMAN  
JOHN GAYMS  
S. PAULA CHERNOFF  
ANTHONY H. BARASH  
PAUL R. GREENBERG  
EDWARD G. VICTOR  
STEPHEN E. KALISH

Mr. John H. DeMouilly  
Executive Secretary  
California Law Revision Commission  
School of Law  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

Dear Mr. DeMouilly:

I received a copy of Mr. Boucher's letter to you concerning the repeal of Civil Code section 715.8 and Probate Code section 41.

Harold's view about Probate Code section 41 is quite appealing at first blush. The Beverly Hills Bar Probate Committee, of which I was chairman, studied this section at some length a year or two ago. While the repeal of this section has great merit in simplifying lawyers lives, our committee was quite concerned that people on their death bed might prepare wills for charitable organizations or religious institutions without the aid of lawyers. These people might well make an ill-advised bequest in favor of charity disregarding their relatives at a time when they are thinking too emotionally or not thinking at all. Thus we felt there is a lot of merit to keeping at least the portion of section 41 which deals with wills executed a short period before death. I would also feel that section 41 should not be lightly repealed without considering some of the policy problems involved.

Sincerely,

John R. Cohan  
of IRELL & MANELLA

JRC:rs  
CC: Harold Boucher