

6/13/68

Memorandum 68-54

Subject: Budget for 1969-70 Fiscal Year

Attached is a proposed revision of the budget for the 1968-69 fiscal year (fiscal year that starts July 1, 1968) and a proposed budget for the 1969-70 fiscal year.

In connection with the attached materials, the following significant points should be noted:

(1) Although the Commission has been able to accomplish substantial savings during 1967-68, there has been a far greater decrease in the production of the Commission.

---

---

Table I  
Expenditures and Savings During Recent Years

	<u>1966-67</u>	<u>1967-68</u>	<u>1968-69</u>	<u>1969-70</u>
Total Appropriated	\$161,576	\$149,323	\$154,579	\$154,566**
Total Expended	149,323	138,060*	150,971**	154,566**
Savings	18,307	11,263*	3,608**	--

\* estimated

\*\* proposed

---

---

Table II  
Production of Law Revision Commission

	<u>1967 Session</u>	<u>1968 Session</u>	<u>1969 Session</u>
Recommendations	10	5*	1**

\*The major work during 1967-68 was the preparation and publication of the Tentative Recommendation and A Study Relating to Possession Prior to Final Judgment and Related Problems, which is not included in the total listed in the table. One additional recommendation was made to the 1968 session that did not involve a printed recommendation and hence is not included in the total listed.

\*\*The one recommendation listed is the one on powers of appointment. It may be possible to submit a recommendation on mutuality of remedy and on the statute of limitations in actions against public entities and public employees.

Note: Annual general sessions of the Legislature began in 1968.

---

---

Although we have substantially completed work on the powers of appointment recommendation for the next session, we do not have any other substantial recommendations substantially completed to include in our production for the 1969 session. It should be noted that the Executive Department, the Legislative Analyst, the legislative budget committees, and the Judiciary Committees are as concerned with production as they are with expenditures.

The major areas of savings during 1966-67 and 1967-68 have been the result of staff turnover, the lack of attendance of Commissioners at meetings, the cancellation of a number of meetings when a quorum could not be obtained (primarily between September 1967-February 1968), and the holding of two-day, rather than three-day, meetings during most of 1967-68.

(2) The proposed budget for 1969-70 provides funds to continue the Commission's program at the 1966-67 level. No increase in staff is proposed but funds are included to finance normal staff promotions and merit increases. The proposed budget for 1969-70 is for less than the amount appropriated for 1968-69--\$ 154,566 as compared to \$ 154,579. However, it is likely that we will save at least \$ 3,608 (and possibly as much as \$4,000-\$5,000 more) from the amount appropriated for 1968-69. The actual amount of savings depends upon whether we can find law students who produce a quality of work that justifies their employment, on the number of days the Commission meets, and on the amount of the Commission's production. Any savings over the amount included in the attached budget probably could only be realized because of a reduction in Commission output.

(3) The proposed budget does not include amounts reflecting any salary increases that may be provided by the 1968 Legislature. At the time these materials were prepared, no decision had been made on employee salary increases. Accordingly, the figures in the attached material will have to be

adjusted to reflect any across-the-board salary increases granted by the 1968 Legislature.

The attached materials consist of:

(1) Summary and General Analysis

(2) Summary of Expenditures which shows in summary form the "actual" expenditures for 1967-68 (these are estimated since this information was not available when the materials were prepared), the budgeted or appropriated amounts for 1968-69, the revised budget for 1968-69 (based on information we now have available that was not available when the budget for 1968-69 was prepared), and the proposed budget for 1969-70.

(3) Personal Services Detail

(4) Detailed Justification of Expenditures

We believe that the funds provided in the proposed budget for 1969-70 are sufficient to continue the Commission's program at a reasonable level. The major area of possible deficiency is printing. Assuming that the Commission production is at the 1965-67 level, we would need to use any surplus in other operating expense categories for printing and to defer some printing until the 1970-71 fiscal year. We suggest, however, that the proposed budget not be increased since we believe that it would be desirable to keep our budget for 1969-70 within the amount appropriated for 1968-69 and we believe that any problem we would have with respect to printing could be solved without seriously affecting the Commission's production. The amount of funds provided for research also is low. We plan to have most of the research performed by permanent staff members and intermittent part-time personnel. We may decide later to transfer some of the funds available for temporary help to research if we cannot obtain competent temporary help.

Respectfully submitted,

John H. DeMouilly  
Executive Secretary

LAW REVISION COMMISSION  
Office at Stanford University

SUMMARY AND GENERAL ANALYSIS	ACTUAL 1967-68	BUDGETED 1968-69	REVISED 1968-69	PROPOSED 1969-70
<u>SUMMARY</u>				
TOTAL EXPENDITURES Support <u>(General Fund)</u>	\$138,060	\$154,579	\$150,971	\$154,566
Personnel man-years	6.9	'8	8	8

GENERAL ANALYSIS

Objectives

The primary objective of the California Law Revision Commission is to study the statutory and decisional law of this state to discover defects and anachronisms and to recommend legislation to effect needed reforms. The subjects of commission study are designated by concurrent resolution of the Legislature.

Program and Performance

The commission consists of a Member of the Senate appointed by the Committee on Rules, a Member of the Assembly appointed by the Speaker, and 7 additional members appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate. The Legislative Counsel is an ex officio nonvoting member of the commission.

The workload of this commission is determined primarily by the number of topics assigned to it by the Legislature. The commission now has an agenda of 25 topics referred to it by the Legislature for study. The actual number of recommendations presented at each session is not an accurate definition of workload since both scope and complexity vary with each topic. The information presented below does give an indication of commission activity.

	<u>Actual 1967</u> <u>session</u>	<u>Actual 1968</u> <u>session</u>	<u>Estimated 1969</u> <u>session</u>
Recommendations	10	5	1

The commission plans to submit 1 recommendation to the 1969 session and to devote considerable time during 1969-70 to the study of inverse condemnation and condemnation law and procedure with a view to recommending comprehensive statutes on these subjects for enactment at future sessions.

SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURES

EXPENDITURES	NUMBER OF POSITIONS			ACTUAL 1967-68	BUDGETED 1968-69	REVISED 1968-69	PROPOSED 1969-70
	1967-68 (filled)	1968-69 (auth.)	1969-70 (auth.)				
<b>PERSONAL SERVICES</b>							
(See Personal Services Detail)							
Authorized positions	6.9	8	8	88,671	103,591	103,593	99,724
Estimated salary savings	-	-0.2	-	--	-2,589	-5,914	--
<u>Net Totals, Salaries and Wages</u>	<u>6.9</u>	<u>7.8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>88,671</u>	<u>101,002</u>	<u>97,679</u>	<u>99,724</u>
Staff benefits	-	-	-	7,435	8,977	8,692	8,922
Workmen's compensation	-	-	-	--	--	--	--
<u>Totals, Personal Services</u>	<u>5.9</u>	<u>7.8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>96,106</u>	<u>109,979</u>	<u>106,371</u>	<u>108,646</u>
<b>OPERATING EXPENSES</b>							
General expense				4,743	6,000	6,000	6,000
Printing				15,888	14,500	13,900	14,500
Communications				1,990	3,000	3,000	3,000
Law books				1,594	--	--	--
Traveling--in-state				4,028	7,500	7,500	7,500
Traveling--out-of-state				561	500	500	400
Rent-building and space				4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Accounting and personnel services				2,500	2,000	2,500	2,600
Research and contractual services				6,650	7,000	7,000	7,000
<u>Totals, Operating Expenses</u>				<u>41,954</u>	<u>44,500</u>	<u>44,500</u>	<u>45,000</u>
<b>EQUIPMENT</b>							
				--	100	100	920
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</b>				138,060	154,579	150,971	154,566
<b>TOTAL AVAILABLE</b>				<u>149,323</u>	<u>154,579</u>	<u>154,579</u>	
<b>SAVINGS</b>				11,263	--	3,608	

PERSONAL SERVICES DETAIL

	NUMBER OF POSITIONS			ACTUAL 1967-68 Salary Range	BUDGETED 1968-69	REVISED 1968-69	PROPOSED 1969-70
	1967-68 (filled)	1968-69 (auth.)	1969-70 (auth.)				
Comm'n members (7) per diem	-	-	-	\$20 per day	3,500	3,500	3,500
Executive Secretary	1	1	1	1626-1976	23,712	23,712	23,712
Assistant Executive Secretary I	1	1	1	1475-1793	19,044	19,512	20,495
Special Condemnation Counsel	-	1	-	1405-1708	18,576	-	-
Senior Attorney	0.4	-	-	1273-1548	-	-	-
Associate Counsel	-	-	0.5	1155-1405	-	-	6,930
Assistant Counsel	-	-	1.1	863-1048	-	5,178	11,219
Junior Counsel	1	1	0.4	746-821	9,524	10,185	3,915
Student Legal Assistant	-	-	-	457-710	-	3,380	-
Administrative Assistant I	1	1	1	746-905	9,936	9,935	10,140
Senior Legal Stenographer	-	1	1	517-628	6,515	6,515	6,852
Clerk-Typist II	1	1	1	395-505	5,760	5,760	5,760
Temporary Help	1.5	1	1	(5,680)	6,923	10,000	6,900
<b>TOTAL, AUTHORIZED POSITIONS</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>88,671</b>	<b>103,591</b>	<b>97,679</b>	<b>99,724</b>

## PERSONAL SERVICES DETAIL

### COMMENT

#### Proposed 1969-70 Budget

The amount budgeted for Commissioners' salaries (\$20 per meeting day) is believed to be adequate. The cost of five three-day meetings and six two-day meetings, assuming that all seven members attended, would be \$3,780. The amount budgeted assumes that one or two members will fail to attend each meeting. If the amount budgeted proves to be inadequate, it will be supplemented from salary savings or from amounts budgeted for temporary help.

The budget includes funds for normal merit increases and for the normal promotions of the two new Junior Counsels when they qualify for promotion. The budget does not contemplate any additional positions during 1969-70.

The budget does not reflect any across-the-board salary increases that may be approved by the 1968 Legislature. The amounts in the budget will need to be adjusted to reflect those increases.

#### Revised 1968-69 Budget

The replacement of the Senior Condemnation Counsel with a new Junior Counsel and the loss of our present Junior Counsel and his replacement with a new Junior Counsel will result in a significant reduction in staff productivity which we plan to replace with additional temporary help if we can obtain sufficient competent part-time help (both law students and persons admitted to the Bar). If we cannot obtain sufficient competent part-time help, a significant portion of the \$10,000 budgeted for temporary help will be saved.

It should be noted that the low expenditures for personal services during 1967-68 reflect staff turnover and savings that resulted because the Commission held a substantially less number of meetings than usual during 1967-68.

GENERAL EXPENSES

Actual 1967-68 <hr style="width: 100%;"/>	Approved Budget 1968-69 <hr style="width: 100%;"/>	Estimated Actual 1968-69 <hr style="width: 100%;"/>	Proposed 1969-70 <hr style="width: 100%;"/>
\$ 4,743	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000

COMMENT

General expenses were exceptionally low during 1967-68 because Commission production was exceptionally low during that period. In addition, law books were covered by a special budget item (\$ 1,594) during 1967-68, but will be included in "general expenses" during 1968-69 and 1969-70. It is anticipated that the Commission will resume normal productivity during 1968-69 and 1969-70.

The major Commission projects during 1968-69 and 1969-70 will be inverse condemnation and condemnation law and procedure. Accordingly, a substantial volume of mimeographed material (tentative recommendations and studies) will be produced and distributed to approximately 67 persons and organizations interested in inverse condemnation and 350 persons and organizations interested in condemnation law. Although the distribution of this material to such a substantial number of persons and organizations is costly, the Legislature in the past has urged the Commission to obtain the views of all interested persons and to consider those views in preparing the recommendations it submits to the Legislature. Failure to make this distribution results in many extra hours of legislative hearings in an effort to work out problems that can often be resolved before the legislation is submitted to the Legislature if interested persons and organizations are provided an opportunity to study tentative recommendations and studies and to comment thereon before the recommendation to the Legislature is prepared.

PRINTING

Actual 1967-68 <hr style="width: 100%;"/>	Approved Budget 1968-69 <hr style="width: 100%;"/>	Estimated Actual 1968-69 <hr style="width: 100%;"/>	Proposed 1969-70 <hr style="width: 100%;"/>
\$ 15,888	\$ 14,500	\$ 13,900	\$ 14,500

COMMENT

It is necessary to reduce the amount available for printing in 1968-69 by \$ 600 to cover the increased cost of accounting and personnel services.

The Commission plans to use most of the funds available for printing during 1968-69 and 1969-70 to cover the printing required in connection with the studies on inverse condemnation and condemnation law and procedure.

Past experience for printing costs is summarized below:

1961-62	--	\$	6,410
1962-63	--		24,271
1963-64	--		16,089
1964-65	--		19,000
1965-66	--		8,108
1966-67	--		12,421
1967-68	--		15,888

Since 1965, the Commission has been able to make a significant reduction in printing costs. Whenever possible, research studies are submitted for publication in a law review and the law review article is photo-offset in the Commission's report. This saves the cost of setting type, proofreading, page makeup, and certain editorial costs. In addition, when possible, the Commission's proposed legislation is set in bill form at legislative expense, the type is used to print the bill in the Commission's report, and the type is then used to print the bill when introduced. No extra cost is incurred by the Legislature since the type for the bill must otherwise be set when the bill is introduced. (It was not feasible to make arrangements to have the bills included in the Commission's 1968 legislative program set at legislative expense, but the type used to print the major bills in the Commission's reports was nevertheless used to print the bills when introduced so that savings were made in legislative printing costs.)

COMMUNICATIONS

<u>Actual 1967-68</u>	<u>Approved Budget 1968-69</u>	<u>Estimated Actual 1968-69</u>	<u>Proposed 1969-70</u>
\$ 1,990	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000

COMMENT

The major portion of the amount budgeted for communications is used for postage and telephone. The amount expended in 1967-68 is low because Commission production was low during that period and a number of meetings that normally would have been held were not held. The amount of postage is normally significantly greater than during 1967-68 because a substantial volume of mimeographed tentative recommendations and studies will be distributed to interested persons and organizations for study and comment. See the Comment under General Expenses.

The actual expenditure for communications during 1966-67 was \$ 2,142.

LAW BOOKS

Actual 1967-68	Approved Budget 1968-69	Estimated Actual 1968-69	Proposed 1969-70
<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
\$ 1,594	---	---	---

COMMENT

The cost of law books (including supplements to law books, advance sheets, and current volumes of the California Reports and California Appellate Reports) during 1968-69 and 1969-70 will be paid from funds under the item General Expenses.

TRAVELING--IN-STATE

Actual 1967-68	Approved Budget 1968-69	Estimated Actual 1968-69	Proposed 1969-70
\$ 4,028	\$ 7,500	\$ 7,500	\$ 7,500

COMMENT

Expenditures for traveling--in-state during 1967-68 were low because a number of Commission meetings that normally would have been held during that fiscal year were not held. In addition, vacancies on the legal staff resulted in less expense for staff travel. If the amount budgeted for 1968-69 and 1969-70 is inadequate, the deficiency will have to be made up by deferring printing or making savings in other buget categories.

Past experience on traveling--in-state is summarized below.

1961-62 --	\$ 5,318
1962-63 --	7,828
1963-64 --	6,424
1964-65 --	8,035
1965-66 --	4,803 (no recommendation submitted to Legislature)
1966-67 --	6,701
1967-68 --	4,028 (number of Commission meetings not held)

Note: It should be recognized that, from time to time since 1961-62, the **rate** of compensation for reimbursement for travel has been increased.

TRAVELING--OUT-OF-STATE

<u>Actual 1967-68</u>	<u>Approved Budget 1968-69</u>	<u>Estimated Actual 1968-69</u>	<u>Proposed 1969-70</u>
\$ 561	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 400

COMMENT

This budget item normally provides for one out-of-state trip by the Executive Secretary to the Annual Meeting of the National Legislative Conference. No money was included in the budget for 1967-68 for out-of-state travel and the Executive Secretary did not attend the Annual Meeting. However, in view of his reappointment as a member of the Executive Committee of the National Legislative Conference, the Department of Finance and the Governor's Office approved two unbudgeted out-of-state trips by the Executive Secretary to meetings of the Executive Committee.

The justification for expenditure for out-of-state travel is stated by the Legislative Analyst as follows: "The out-of-state travel of \$500 to permit the attendance of one staff member at the national legislative conference is requested by the commission as a means of saving staff research time by learning of current developments in other states in areas of law under study as well as improving the substance of the commission's recommendations to the Legislature."

RENT-BUILDING SPACE

<u>Actual 1967-68</u>	<u>Approved Budget 1968-69</u>	<u>Estimated Actual 1968-69</u>	<u>Proposed 1969-70</u>
\$ 4,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,000	\$ 4,000

COMMENT

Rent is payable on a lease with Stanford University where the Commission's office is located.

ACCOUNTING AND PERSONNEL SERVICES

Actual 1967-68	Approved Budget 1968-69	Estimated Actual 1968-69	Proposed 1969-70
<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
\$ 2,500	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,600	\$ 2,600

COMMENT

Prior to 1966, the Office of the Legislative Counsel provided accounting and personnel services for the Law Revision Commission without charge. This service is now provided by the Department of General Services on a contract basis. The 1967-68 fiscal year was the first full year that this service was purchased. The amount budgeted for the service for 1967-68 was \$2,000, but the actual cost was \$2,500. The amount included in the revised budget for 1968-69 (\$2,600), and the proposed budget for 1969-70 (\$ 2,600) reflects the increased cost that will result from the anticipated salary increase effective July 1, 1968.

RESEARCH AND CONTRACTUAL SERVICES

Actual 1967-68 <hr/>	Approved Budget 1968-69 <hr/>	Estimated Actual 1968-69 <hr/>	Proposed 1969-70 <hr/>
\$ 6,650	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000

COMMENT

The amount budgeted for 1968-69 will provide a limited amount of funds for research. The amount is low because the Commission will devote almost all of its time to the study of inverse condemnation and condemnation law and procedure during that fiscal year. The amounts budgeted for 1968-69 and 1969-70 may not be adequate. The Commission has a number of topics which have been on its agenda for an number of years. A consultant must be retained several years in advance of the time that the particular topic will be considered by the Commission so that the completed research study will be available when the Commission is ready to take up the topic. The Commission has indicated that it will wish to take up a number of new topics within the next few years. Priorities will have to be established on the use of the moneys budgeted for research, and it is possible that some of the money will be needed for additional research on inverse condemnation and condemnation law and procedure.

Past experience on research and contractual services is summarized below.

1961-62 -- \$15,267  
 1962-63 -- 5,170  
 1963-64 -- 4,656  
 1964-65 -- 1,897  
 1965-66 -- 6,650  
 1966-67 -- 12,750  
 1967-68 -- 6,650

Note: The major activity during 1962-65 was the study of evidence. The cost of the research studies on this topic was paid in prior fiscal years.

EQUIPMENT

Actual 1967-68 <hr/>	Approved Budget 1968-69 <hr/>	Estimated Actual 1968-69 <hr/>	Proposed 1969-70 <hr/>
---	\$ 100	\$ 100	\$ 920

COMMENT

The \$100 budgeted for 1968-69 will be used for the purchase of an inexpensive adding machine. This is needed in computing time worked by employees, for budget preparation, and for other fiscal matters.

The \$920 proposed for 1969-70 is for a new mimeograph machine. The machine now in use was purchased in July 1962 and has had extremely heavy use since then. Some major repairs have been necessary during the past fiscal year, but we are hopeful that the machine will continue to operate without additional major repairs until the 1969-70 fiscal year.

CALIFORNIA LAW REVISION COMMISSION

STUDIES AUTHORIZED OR DIRECTED TO BE MADE

The Law Revision Commission is not authorized to study any topic without prior legislative approval in the form of a concurrent resolution. Each session a new concurrent resolution must be adopted to continue study of topics previously authorized.

The topics that the Commission is authorized to study are listed below. It should be noted that it was necessary to defer study of some of these topics to give priority to the subjects of governmental immunity and evidence. These two topics occupied substantially all of the Commission's time for a six-year period. For the next three or four years, two other topics that the Legislature has requested be given priority will occupy substantially all of the Commission's time. These topics are inverse condemnation and condemnation law and procedure.

TOPICS UNDER ACTIVE CONSIDERATION

1. Inverse condemnation. Authorized: 1965 (legislative initiative). The Senate Judiciary Committee directed the Commission to give this study a top priority. The Commission plans to devote a substantial portion of its resources to this topic during the next few years so that it may make a recommendation on the topic to the Legislature.
2. Sovereign or governmental immunity. Authorized: 1957 (legislative initiative). A comprehensive statute was submitted and enacted in 1963. A follow-up recommendation was submitted and enacted in 1965. The topic is closely related to topic 1 above and will be considered in connection with that topic. The Commission also is reviewing law review articles and recent decisions to determine whether any changes are needed in the 1963 statute.
3. Condemnation law and procedure. Authorized: 1956 (legislative initiative). The Commission has submitted recommendations covering portions of this topic in 1961 (three recommendations), 1963 (one recommendation), 1967 (one recommendation), 1968 (one recommendation). In 1965, the Legislature again directed the Commission to study this topic with a view to submitting a recommendation for a comprehensive statute. The Senate Judiciary Committee directed the Commission to give this topic

a top priority. One additional lawyer was authorized on the Commission's staff by the 1966 Legislature to expedite this study. A substantial portion of the Commission's resources are and will be devoted to this topic during the next four or five years so that a recommendation for a comprehensive statute may be submitted to the 1972 Legislature. One tentative recommendation and study, dealing with possession prior to final judgment and related problems, has recently been published as background work for the comprehensive statute.

4. Evidence Code revisions. Authorized: 1965 (legislative initiative). Review of the new Evidence Code (enacted in 1965 upon Commission recommendation). The Commission is reviewing the Evidence Code and related statutes with a view to conforming them to the Evidence Code. Three recommendations were enacted by the 1967 Legislature. As time permits, the Commission plans to submit additional recommendations to future sessions.
5. Powers of appointment. Authorized: 1965 (legislative initiative). The Commission plans to submit a recommendation on this topic to the 1969 Legislature.
6. Mutuality of remedy in suits for specific performance. Authorized: 1957. The Commission is working on this topic and may submit a recommendation to the 1969 Legislature.
7. Fictitious business names statute. Authorized: 1957. The Commission is working on this topic and may submit a recommendation to the 1970 Legislature.
8. Abandonment or termination of a lease. Authorized: 1957; scope of topic expanded in 1965. A recommendation was submitted to the 1967 Legislature but the Commission withdrew its recommendation for further study. The Commission is working on this topic and may submit a recommendation to the 1970 Legislature.
9. Additur and remittitur. Authorized: 1957 (legislative initiative). Topic expanded to cover remittitur in 1965. Recommendation on additur enacted by 1967 Legislature. Topic now under active study in view of recent decision of California Supreme Court relating to additur and also with a view to determining whether any recommendation should be made with respect to remittitur.

### OTHER TOPICS AUTHORIZED FOR STUDY

The Commission has not yet considered the topics listed below.

1. Jurisdiction of courts in custody proceedings. Authorized: 1956. Not yet considered.
2. Attachment, garnishment, and property exempt from execution. Authorized: 1957 (legislative initiative). Time has not permitted the Commission to consider the topic. Since the topic is important and complex and was added to the Commission's agenda by the Legislature, the Commission plans to begin work on this topic when its study of inverse condemnation and condemnation law and procedure are completed unless the Legislature indicates that it wishes other topics to be given priority.
3. Small Claims Court Law. Authorized: 1957. Not yet considered.
4. Civil Code Section 1698. Authorized: 1957. Not yet considered.
5. Business and Professions Code Section 7031. Authorized: 1958. Not yet considered.
6. Service of process by publication. Authorized: 1958. Not yet considered.
7. Code of Civil Procedure Section 1974. Authorized: 1958. Not yet considered.
8. Partition and confirmation of sales of estate property. Authorized: 1956. Not yet considered.
9. Arbitration. Authorized: 1968. Follow-up study on statute enacted upon Commission recommendation in 1961.

### TOPICS CONTINUED ON CALENDAR FOR FURTHER STUDY

On the following topics, studies and recommendations relating to the topic, or one or more aspects of the topic, have been made. The topics are not under active consideration but are continued on the Commission's calendar for further study of recommendations not enacted or for the study of additional aspects of the topic or new developments.

1. Escheat of property. Authorized: 1956. Legislation on this topic was enacted in 1968 on Commission recommendation.

2. Personal injury damages as separate property. Authorized: 1957 (legislative initiative). Legislation on this topic was enacted in 1968 on Commission recommendation.
3. Rights of good faith improver. Authorized: 1957. Legislation on this topic was enacted on Commission recommendation.
4. Quasi-community property and property described in Section 201.5 of the Probate Code. Authorized: 1966. Not yet considered.
5. Vehicle Code Section 17150 and related statutes. Authorized: 1962. Recommendation enacted by 1967 Legislature. Topic continued on agenda for study of future developments.
6. Suit by and against unincorporated associations. Authorized: 1957. Recommendation submitted to 1967 Legislature. Follow-up legislation enacted in 1968 upon Commission recommendation. Continued on agenda for study of future developments.
7. Taking instructions into jury room. Authorized: 1955. A recommendation was submitted to the 1959 Legislature, but the Commission withdrew the recommendation for further study. Since then, time has not permitted consideration of this topic.